ARTICLE



www.asianpubs.org

An Efficient and Selective α-Monobromination of Aromatic Ketones under Ultrasonic Irradiation in Aqueous Medium

Devendra S. Wagare^{1,⊠}, Mazahar Farooqui², Nitin D. Vilaytkar³ and Ayesha N. Durrani^{2,⊠}

ABSTRACT

Asian Journal of Organic & Medicinal Chemistry

Year: 2017

DOI: https://doi.org/10.14233/ajomc.2017.AJOMC-P86

Month: October-December

A facile, efficient, environmentally benign protocol has been developed for rapid synthesis of α -bromoacetophenones from direct reaction of acetophenones and N-bromosuccinimide by using ultrasound waves within 15-20 min with good to excellent yields. Their formation characterized by IR, ¹H NMR and mass spectroscopy.

KEYWORDS

Ultrasound, α -Bromo acetophenones, Selective monobromination, PEG-400; N-Bromosuccinimide

Received: 12 July 2017 Accepted: 16 November 2017 Published: 29 December 2017

Volume: 2

pp: 165-168

Issue: 4

Author affiliations:

¹Department of Chemistry, Vivekanand Arts, Sardar Dalip Singh Commerce and Science College, Aurangabad-431 001, India ²Department of Chemistry, Dr. Rafiq Zakaria College for Women, Aurangabad-431 001, India

³Department of Chemistry, S.S. Jaiswal College, Arjuni, Morgoan-441 701, India

 $^{\bowtie}$ To whom correspondence to be addressed:

E-mail: dswagare@rediffmail.com; drayeshandurrani@gmail.com

Available online at: http://ajomc.asianpubs.org

INTRODUCTION

 α -Haloketones, first obtained and described as early as the end of the 18th century [1] have been attracting increasing attention in view of their high reactivity and widely used as most important precursors for the synthesis of various classes of heterocyclic compounds *viz*. furans [2], benzofurans [3], 2-aroylbenzofurans [4], naphthofuranone [5], furocoumarins [6], azaindolizine [7], thiophene [8], 2-aminoimidazole [9].

Ultrasound waves act as a non-conventional energy source and selective, reduced reaction time and simple operation. It used in (a) organic synthesis (b) nanomaterial synthesis and (c) sonoelectrochemistry [10]. Recently, ultrasound used in organic synthesis due to it enhance reaction rates and improve yields of reactions [11,12]. In recent years, many improved methods have been reported for α -bromination by using molecular bromine or Br₂/NaH, NBS/NaH or CuBr₂ with hydroxy(tosyloxy)iodo benzene or Mg(ClO₄)₂, from olefins using TsNBr₂ [13]. All these methods provides good yields but most of them have disadvantages [14-30]. In this approach instead of using toxic, corrosive and irritating bromine, we used eco-friendly N-bromosuccinimide for the selective monobromination of various substituted acetophenones in water as affordable and green solvents.

EXPERIMENTAL

All the chemicals and solvents were of AR grade and used without further purification. The completion of reactions were monitored with the help of thin layer chromatography using precoated aluminium sheets with GF254 silica gel, 0.2 mm layer thickness by E. Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). Melting

166 Wagare et al.

points were determined in open capillary tubes and are uncorrected. IR spectra were recorded on Shimadzu FT-IR-8400 instrument using KBr pellet method. Mass spectra were recorded on Shimadzu GC-MS-QP-2010 model using direct inlet probe technique. ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR was determined in DMSO-*d*₆ solvent on a Bruker AC 400 MHz Spectrometer. Ultrasound wave for sonochemical synthesis is generated with the help of ultrasonic instrument set-up (horn type).

General procedure for synthesis of compounds (3a-3j): A mixture of acetophenone (1) (1.0 eq) and N-bromosuccinimide (NBS, 2) (1.0 eq) was added to PEG-400 and water (5 mL) with stirring. The reaction mixture was then placed under sonication using an ultrasonic horn (ACE horn, 25 kHz frequency) at 40 % amplitude for required time with the temperature of the process was maintained at 80 °C by means of supply of water to jacketed reactor, used for the synthesis. The reaction was monitored by TLC by observing complete consumption of the reactant acetophenone. The reaction mass was extracted using dichloromethane. The dichloromethane layer was subjected to evaporation under reduced vacuum to obtain the final product (Scheme-I). The spectral data of synthesized compounds were consistent with previous literature report [31,32].



2-Bromo-1-phenylethanone (3a): m.p. 48-49 °C; lit. 49-51 °C [32]; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) d 4.31 (s, 2H), 7.45-7.52 (m, 2H), 7.61-7.74 (m, 1H), 7.98-7.99 (m, 2H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) d 30.12, 126.54, 127.16, 132.12, 133.33, 190.83. HRMS (ESI) *m/z* 197.9679, calc. for C₈H₇OBr 197.9683.

2-Bromo-1-(4-chlorophenyl)ethanone (3b): m.p. 88-89 °C; lit. 87-89 °C [32]; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) d 4.46 (s, 2H), 7.66-7.69 (m, 2H), 7.85-7.89 (m, 2H).¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) d 30.51, 130.57, 131.22, 132.95, 133.51, 190.22. HRMS (ESI) m/z 232.3786, calc. for C₈H₇OBrCl 232.3783.

2-Bromo-1-(2-bromophenyl)ethanone (3c): ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) d 4.48 (s, 2H), 7.35-7.38 (m, 1H), 7.40-7.43 (m, 1H), 7.47-7.48 (m, 1H), 7.63-7.64 (m, 1H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) d 34.19, 119.32, 128.42, 129.36, 131.27, 133.44. 137.95, 193.98. HRMS (ESI) *m/z* 275.8785, calc. for C₈H₆OBr₂ 275.8789.

2-Bromo-1-(4-bromophenyl)ethanone (3d): ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) d 4.42 (s, 2H), 7.64-7.66 (m, 2H), 7.81-7.85 (m, 2H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) d 30.39, 129.84, 130.92, 131.95, 133.41, 190.27. HRMS (ESI) *m/z* 275.8785, calc. for C₈H₆OBr₂ 275.8782.

2-Bromo-1-(4-methoxyphenyl)ethanone (3e): ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) d 3.89 (s, 3H), 4.45 (s, 2H) 6.93-6.98 (m, 2H), 7.92-7.95 (m, 2H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) d 30.18, 56.44, 113.15, 127.74, 132.16, 162.84, 189.54, HRMS (ESI) *m/z* 227.9788, calc. for $C_9H_9O_2Br$ 227.9791.

2-Bromo-1-(4-fluorophenyl)ethanone (3f): ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) d 4.43 (s, 2H), 7.14-7.19 (m, 2H), 8.00-8.13

(m, 2H). 13 C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) d 30.53,115.05, 115.81, 128.80, 129.82, 131.23, 131.45, 163.44, 167.48,189.68. 19 F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃) d 102.59. HRMS (ESI) *m/z* 215.9587, calc. for C₈H₆OBrF 215.9589.

2-Bromo-1-(3-hydroxyphenyl)ethanone (3g): ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) d 4.46 (s, 2H), 6.14 (s, 1H), 7.14-7.17 (m, 1H), 7.37-7.38 (m, 1H), 7.56-7.58 (m, 2H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) d 30.88, 113.93, 121.17, 122.92, 130.45, 136.73, 156.41, 190.77. HRMS (ESI) *m/z* 213.9631, calc. for C₈H₇O₂Br 213.9633.

2-Bromo-1-(4-methylphenyl)ethanone (3h): m.p. 38-39 °C; lit. 38-40 °C [32]; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) d 2.13 (s, 3H), 4.43 (s, 2H) 6.94-6.99 (m, 2H), 7.93-7.96 (m, 2H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) d 30.21,46.15, 113.85, 127.77, 132.25, 157.54, 188.10; HRMS (ESI) *m*/*z* 211.9788, calc. for C₉H₉O₂Br 211.9785.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

As a part of our growing interest in ultrasound promoted one-pot synthesis of heterocyclic compounds, α-halo ketones is the key precursor used in synthesis of most of the biologically and pharmacologically important heterocyclic compounds. It prompted our interest to design new methods for the synthesis of α -bromo ketones under environmentally benign conditions. N-Bromosuccinimide is selected as a brominating agents for the selective mono-bromination of various acetophenones .The synthesis was carried out by mixing equimolar quantities of acetophenone (1), N-bromosuccinimide (2) under ultrasonic irradiation at 18 to 25 kHz and a power of 300 W in different solvents. In order to search for the better solvent, the ultrasonic assisted reaction to obtain compound 3a was examined using different solvents viz. water, PEG-400, methanol, dichloromethane, DMF and ethanol. The results are summarized in Table-1. Although the reaction could be efficiently carried out in all these solvents, the use of water and PEG-400 in different proportion consistently slightly higher yields and shorter reaction times (entry 2). It was observed that an increase in the frequency from 18 kHz to 25 kHz causes a very slight increase in yields. Therefore, we have followed ultrasonic irradiation at 25 kHz. By using this frequency, products (3a-3j) were obtained in high yields and significantly shorter reaction times (Table-2). The electronic effect and the nature of substituent on the aromatic ring of acetophenones shows slight effect in terms of yields, under the same reaction conditions. Aromatic ketones bearing electron withdrawing groups (such as nitro group) in metaand para- positions slightly but consistently increased the yield.

TABLE-1 OPTIMIZATION OF REACTION CONDITION							
Entry	Solvents	Reaction time (min)	Frequency (kHz)	Yield (%)			
1	Water	18	25	85			
2	PEG-400	17	25	84			
3	Water and PEG-400 (2:1)	16	25	87-94			
4	Di-chloro methane	23	25	76			
5	Methanol	20	25	73			
6	Ethanol	23	25	67			
7	DMF	22	25	52			
8	DMSO	25	25	63			
^a Isolated vield							

TABLE-2 ULTRASOUND MEDIATED SYNTHESIS OF PHENACYL BROMIDES						
Entry	Acetophenones	Product	Time (min)	Yield (%)		
3a		O Br	17	87		
3b	CI		15	91		
3с	Br	Br	16	89		
3d	Br	Br Br	16	88		
3e	H ₃ CO		15	90		
3f	F	F	15	94		
3g	ОН	HOBR	16	86		
3h	H ₃ C		19	85		
3i		OCH ₃	16	89		
3j	O ₂ N O	O ₂ N O	15	93		

^aReaction condition: 1) acetophenones (0.5 mmol), NBS (0.5 mmol), (PEG-400/water in (1:2) ratio (5 mL) at 80 °C at 300 W; frequency 25 kHz; ^bIsolated yield.

Conclusion

In conclusion, a novel and environmentally benign approach for the synthesis of α -bromo aromatic ketones using N-bromosuccinimide as brominating agent by using ultrasound waves in PEG-400 and water (1:2) as reaction medium is reported. The important features of this procedure are enhanced reaction rate, mild reaction condition, high yields and green chemistry such as avoiding hazardous organic solvents, use of toxic catalysts and waste, ease of work up procedure and high yield.

A C K N O W L E D G E M E N T S

The authors are thankful to The Principal, VVivekanand Arts, Sardar Dalip Singh Commerce and Science College, Aurangabad, India for supporting this research work by providing the infrastructure at College Central Research facility.

REFERENCES

- A.W. Erian, S.M. Sherif and H.M. Gaber, *Molecules*, 8, 793 (2003); https://doi.org/10.3390/81100793.
- G. Sabitha and A.V.S. Rao, Synth. Commun., 17, 341 (1987); https://doi.org/10.1080/00397918708077315.
- N. Jain, N. Devi and H.G. Krishnamurthy, *Indian J. Chem.*, 33B, 1085 (1994).
- K.V.V. Reddy, P.S. Rao and D. Ashok, Synth. Commun., 30, 1825 (2000); https://doi.org/10.1080/00397910008087228.
- L.G. Minyaeva, O.M. Golyanskaya and V.V. Mezheritskii, Org. Khim., 30, 258 (1994); Chem. Abstr., 122, 160425 (1995).
- D.S. Wagare, D. Lingampalle, M. Farooqui and A. Durrani, *Der Pharma Chemica*, 8, 408 (2016).
- D.S. Wagare, M. Farooqui, T.D. Keche and A. Durrani, *Synth. Commun.*, 46, 1741 (2016);
- https://doi.org/10.1080/00397911.2016.1223314.
- 8. Y.J. Miyahara, *Heterocycl. Chem.*, **16**, 1147 (1979); https://doi.org/10.1002/jhet.5570160612.
- F.G. Medina, J.G. Marrero, M. Macías-Alonso, M.C. González, I. Córdova-Guerrero, A.G. Teissier García and S. Osegueda-Robles, *Nat. Prod. Rep.*, 32, 1472 (2015); <u>https://doi.org/10.1039/C4NP00162A</u>.
- R.B.N. Baig and R.S. Varma, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, **41**, 1559 (2012); https://doi.org/10.1039/C1CS15204A.
- 11. H. Zang, Y. Zhang, Y. Zang and B.W. Cheng, *Ultrason. Sonochem.*, **17**, 495 (2010);
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ultsonch.2009.11.003.
- 12. M.R.P. Heravi, *Ultrason. Sonochem.*, **16**, 361 (2009); https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ultsonch.2008.11.001.
- 13. K.K. Rajbongshi, D. Hazarika and P. Phukan, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **56**, 356 (2015);
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tetlet.2014.11.096.
 14. K. Gewald, H.J. Jaensch and O. Calderon, East German Patent, 113359 (1975); *Chem. Abstr.*, 84, 135647 (1976).
- 15. M. Kidwai, B. Dave and K.R. Bhushan, *Chem. Pap.*, **54**, 231 (2000).

- D.E. Tupper, T.M. Hotten and W.G. Prowse, J. Heterocycl. Chem., 33, 1123 (1996); https://doi.org/10.1002/jhet.5570330420.
- P. Molina, A. Ferao, P.M. Fresneda, A. Lorenzo and A. Tarraga, J. Heterocycl. Chem., 20, 381 (1983); https://doi.org/10.1002/jhet.5570200222.
- V.V. Kuz'menko, T.A. Kuz'menko, A.F. Pozharskii and O.V. Kryshtalyuk, Khim. Geterotsikl. Soedin., 1689 (1990); Chem. Abstr., 114, 207130 (1991).
- P. Molina, A. Arques, M. de los Desamparados Velasco and J.M. Villalgordo, *Heterocycles*, 26, 1323 (1987);
- https://doi.org/10.3987/R-1987-05-1323.
 I.M.A. Awad, A.E. Abdel-Rahman and E.A. Bakite, *J. Chem. Technol. Biotechnol.*, **51**, 483 (1991); https://doi.org/10.1002/jctb.280510406.
- K. Prasad, D.V. Pinjari, A.B. Pandit and S.T. Mhaske, Ultrason. Sonochem., 17, 409 (2010);

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ultsonch.2009.09.003.

- R.M. Srivastava, R.A.W. Neves Filho, C.A. da Silva and A.J. Bortoluzzi, Ultrason. Sonochem., 16, 737 (2009); https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ultsonch.2009.04.006.
- A. Duarte, W. Cunico, C.M.P. Pereira, A.F.C. Flores, R.A. Freitag and G.M. Siqueira, *Ultrason. Sonochem.*, **17**, 281 (2010); https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ultsonch.2009.08.004.
- K.J. Jarag, D.V. Pinjari, A.B. Pandit and G.S. Shankarling, Ultrason. Sonochem., 18, 617 (2011);

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ultsonch.2010.09.010. 25. C. Petrier and J.-L. Luche, ed.: J.-L. Luche, Synthetic Organic Sono-

- chemistry, Plenum Press, New York, Chap. 2, pp. 53-56 (1998).26. B. Toukoniitty, E. Toukoniitty, P. Mäki-Arvela, J.-P. Mikkola, T. Salmi,
- D.Y. Murzin and P.J. Kooyman, Ultrason. Sonochem., 13, 68 (2006); <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ultsonch.2004.11.001</u>.
- 27. A. Santagati, M. Santagati and M. Modica, *Heterocycles*, **36**, 1315 (1993); https://doi.org/10.3987/COM-92-6304.
- 28. K. Makino, M.M. Mossoba and P. Riesz, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 104, 3537 (1982);

https://doi.org/10.1021/ja00376a064. 29. J.H. Bang and K.S. Suslick, *Adv. Mater.*, **22**, 1039 (2010);

- J.H. Bang and K.S. Sushek, *Adv. Mater.*, 22, 1059 (2010), https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.200904093.
- D. S. Wagare, D. Lingampalle, M. Farooqui and A. Durrani, *Der Pharma Chemica*, 8, 408 (2016).
- X.-Y. Guan, Z. Al-Misba'aa and K.-W. Huang, *Arabian J. Chem.*, 8, 892 (2014); https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arabjc.2014.01.024.

P. Bhosale, R.S. Chavan and P.V. Bhosale, *Indian J. Chem.*, **51B**, 1649 (2012).