

Kinetics and Mechanism of Oxidation of Diclofenac Sodium by Keggin Type 12-Tungstocobalt(III) in Aqueous Medium

M. SANJANA^{1,*}, A.K. PATNAIK², P. MOHANTY² and S.K. BADAMALI³

¹Department of Chemistry, Christ College, Cuttack-753 008, India ²Post Graduate Department of Chemistry, Ravenshaw University, Cuttack-753 001, India ³Post Graduate Department of Chemistry, Utkal University, Bhubaneswar-751 004, India

*Corresponding author: E-mail: msanjanaa@gmail.com

Received: 14 August 2017;	Accepted: 29 September 2017;	Published online: 30 November 2017;	AJC-18672

The kinetics of electron transfer reaction of diclofenac sodium with 12-tungstocobaltate (III) complex has been studied spectrophotometrically over the range $2.0 \times 10^{-3} \leq [diclofenac sodium] \leq 6.0 \times 10^{-3} mol/L$, $6.03 \leq pH \leq 8.0$ and at $293 \leq T \leq 308$ K in aqueous medium at constant ionic strength I (0.5 mol/L sodium perchlorate). The electron transfer reaction showed pseudo-first order dependence in [diclofenac sodium] and [12-tungstocobaltate(III)] and less than unit order in $[OH^-]_T$. The activation parameters calculated for the electron transfer reaction favoured the formation of a precursor complex between the reactants. The product is characterized by FTIR and NMR spectra and is found to be [2-(2,6-dichloro phenylamino)phenyl]methanol.

Keywords: Kinetic, Oxidation, Diclofenac sodium, 12-Tungstocobaltate(III), Keggin Type.

INTRODUCTION

Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) are of great significance [1] due to their large pharmaceutical importance. Diclofenac [2-(2,6-dichloranilino)phenylacetic acid] is the most potent member of this class of drugs. It works by reducing the production of prostaglandins [2], the chemical that causes pain, fever and inflammation. Diclofenac blocks the enzyme (cyclooxygenase) that produces prostaglandin, resulting in decrease in production of prostaglandin and hence subsequent relief from pain. The drug is used in the form of its sodium salt due to its low solubility in water. This analgesic drug is used for the treatment of osteoarthritis, rheumatoid arthritis and ankylo spondylitis [3,4]. This drug has also many side effects such as nausea, heartburn, diarrhoea, constipation, gastritis, headache, drowsiness and dizziness. Its presence in wastewater is harmful for ecological environment. The oxidative degradation of the drug has large significance [5] because it may through light how to reduce the diclofenac content in waste water.

The oxidant is 12-tungstocobalt(III) ($\text{Co}^{III}\text{W}_{12}\text{O}_{40}^{5-}$ or $\text{Co}^{III}\text{W}^{5-}$) is a Keggin type cluster and its redox potential is 1.0V [6]. It is a well known outer sphere oxidant [7,8] and a mild oxidant. Its electron transfer reaction with ascorbic acid [9] glutathione [1]0, citric acid [11], DL-methionine [12], L-cysteine [13], NADH [14] and L-cystine [15] have been studied. In order to

examine the oxidative degradation of diclofenac sodium, its oxidation reaction with the above oxidant has been studied.

EXPERIMENTAL

 $\text{Co}^{III}\text{W}_{12}\text{O}_{40}^{5-}$ was prepared as reported by McAuley *et al.* [9]. It was characterized spectrophotometrically [16] at 388 nm ($\epsilon_{388} = 1150 \pm 2 \text{ L}^{-1} \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$). A.R. grade chemicals were used and conductivity water was used for preparing different solutions. The redox reaction was studied at pH = 6.03 to 8.0 using Systronics (India) digital pH meter which was standardized by suitable buffers. The phosphate buffer has been used to maintain pH of different solutions.

The CECIL CE 7200 UV-visible spectrophotometer equipped with CE 2024 thermoelectric controller was used for measuring absorbance for the kinetic studies.

Kinetic measurements: In order to follow the kinetics, pseudo first order conditions were maintained. The maximum mole ratio of reductant/oxidant was 12:1. The reaction was initiated by mixing previously thermostated solutions of diclofenac sodium and $\text{CoW}_{12}\text{O}_{40}^{5-}$ and conductivity water. The redox reaction was followed at 388 nm. The absorbance due to oxidant decreased with time. The pseudo-first order rate constant (k_{obs}) were determined from the slope of the linear plot of ln ($A_t - A_{\infty}$) *versus* t (s) using Excel program.

$$n (A_t - A_{\infty}) = ln (A_0 - A_{\infty}) - k_{obs}.t$$
(1)

where A_t and A_{∞} are the absorbance of the reaction mixture at time 't' and at equilibrium respectively. The reaction was studied up to 80 % completion and rate constants were reproducible within ± 5 %. The correlation coefficients of the plots which were used to determine k_{obs} were found to be 0.99 in most of the cases.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

UV-visible spectral scan of the reaction mixture was studied spectrophotometrically at different time intervals (Fig. 1). The decrease in absorbance at λ_{max} 388 nm with time was observed on mixing the diclofenac sodium with 12-tungstocobaltate(III) and simultaneously increase of a new peak at 624 nm appeared due to the formation of Co^{II}W₁₂O₄₀. The redox reaction was monitored at λ_{max} 388 nm under pseudo-first order conditions keeping [Co^{III}W₁₂O₄₀⁵⁻] = 5.0 × 10⁻⁴ mol/L and varying [diclofenac sodium] from 2.0 × 10⁻³ to 6.0 × 10⁻³ mol/L and pH from 6.03 to 8.0. The pseudo-first order rate constants were found to increase with the increase in the concentration of reductant showing first order dependence of rate with [diclofenac sodium] (Fig. 2).



Fig. 1. UV-visible spectral scan of the reaction mixture at different time intervals. $[Co^{II} W_{12}O_{40}^{5-}] = 5.0 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mol/L}$, $[diclofenac sodium] = 2.0 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol/L}$, pH 6.03 and temperature 298 K



Fig. 2. Plot of k_{obs} versus [diclofenac sodium] at different temperatures. Temperature was varied from 293 to 308 K at fixed pH. $[Co^{III}W_{12}O_{40}^{5-}]$ = 5.0 × 10⁻⁴ mol/L ionic strength I = 0.3 mol/L, pH = 6.03, [diclofenac sodium] was varied from 2.0 × 10⁻³ to 6.0 × 10⁻³ mol/L

The pseudo first order rate constants were measured by varying the pH from 6.08 to 8.0 at four different temperatures, 293 to 308 K keeping all other parameters constants. The plots of k_{obs} versus pH at four different temperatures (Fig. 3) shows that the electron transfer reaction could not be studied at higher pH because the oxidants, Co(III) clusters will undergo base hydrolysis.



Fig. 3. Plot of k_{obs} versus pH at fixed [Co^{III}W₁₂O₄₀⁵⁻] (5.0 × 10⁻⁴ mol/L), [diclofenac sodium] 3.0×10^{-3} mol/L, pH varied from 6.03 to 8.0 and temperature was varied from 293 to 308 K

Effect of diclofenac sodium: At a fixed $[Co^{III}W_{12}O_{40}^{5-}]$ (5 × 10⁻⁴ mol/L), ionic strength I = 0.3 mol/L, pH = 6.03, when [diclofenac sodium] was changed from 2.0 × 10⁻³ to 6.0 × 10⁻³ mol/L, 10⁴ k_{obs} changed from 4.63 to 7.79 s⁻¹ at 298 K. The pseudo first order rate constants were found to increase with the increase in the concentration of diclofenac sodium, showing first order dependence of rate with [diclofenac sodium]. The plot of k_{obs} versus [dicofenac sodium] at different temperature and at fixed pH is shown in Fig. 2.

Effect of variation of pH on rate: The electron transfer reaction has been carried out in pH range 6.03 to 8.0. At 298 K, when $[Co^{III}W_{12}O_{40}^{5-}] = 5.0 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mol/L}$, [diclofenac sodium] = $3.0 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol/L}$ with change in pH from 6.03 to 8.00, the 10^{4} k_{obs} varied from 5.50 to 13.38 s⁻¹. The plot of k_{obs} versus pH at different concentration of diclofenac sodium at 298K is shown in Fig. 3. This plot shows that the electron transfer reaction is pH dependent. By increasing pH, the concentration of conjugate base of diclofenac sodium increases which is a better reductant, hence the rate of reaction increases.

Effect of ionic strength: The effect of ionic strength on the redox reaction was studied by increasing the ionic strength from 0.3 to 1.0 mol/L. This pseudo first order rate constant was found to be unaffected indicating a charged and a neutral species were involved in the rate determining step.

Effect of temperature: The pseudo first order rate constants were determined by varying the temperature from 293 to 308 K. The oxidant concentration was kept constant and reductant concentration was varied from 2.0×10^{-3} to 6.0×10^{-3} mol/L at constant pH and varying temperature. The k_{obs} was found to increase with increase in temperature. The plot of k_{obs} versus [diclofenac sodium] at constant pH and varying temperature is shown in Fig. 2. Basing on the above experimental facts the mechanism of the reaction is delineated as follows.

Mechanism



(1)

$$S^{-} + [Co^{III}W_{12}O_{40}^{5-}] \xrightarrow{k} Product$$
$$K_{1} = \frac{[S^{-}]_{e}[H^{+}]}{[SH]_{e}}$$
$$[S^{-}]_{e} = \frac{K_{1}[SH]_{e}}{[H^{+}]}$$

Rate = $k_1[S^-][Co^{III}W_{12}O_{40}^{5-}]$ (2)

Substituting $[S]_e$ in eqn. 2 we have

$$Rate = \frac{k_{1}K_{1}[SH]_{e}[Co^{III}W_{12}O_{40}^{5-}]}{[H^{+}]}$$
(3)
$$[SH]_{T} = [SH]_{e} + [S^{-}]_{e}$$
$$= [SH]_{e} + \frac{K_{1}[SH]_{e}}{[H^{+}]}$$
$$= [SH]_{e} \left(1 + \frac{K_{1}}{[H^{+}]}\right)$$
$$= [SH]_{e} \left(\frac{[H^{+}] + K_{1}}{[H^{+}]}\right)$$
$$[SH]_{e} = [SH]_{T} \left(\frac{[H^{+}]}{[H^{+}] + K_{1}}\right)$$
(4)

Putting the value of [SH]_e from eqn. 4 in eqn. 3, we have

$$Rate = \frac{k_1 K_1 [SH]_T [Co^{III} W_{12} O_{40}^{5-}]}{[H^+] + K_1}$$
(5)

$$Rate = k_{obs} [Co^{III} W_{12} O_{40}^{5-}]_{T}$$
(6)

Comparing eqns. 5 and 6:

k

$$\mathbf{e}_{obs} = \frac{\mathbf{k}_1 \mathbf{K}_1 [\mathbf{SH}]_{\mathrm{T}}}{[\mathbf{H}^+] + \mathbf{K}_1} \tag{7}$$

$$\frac{1}{k_{obs}} = \frac{[H^+] + K_1}{k_1 K_1 [SH]_T} = \frac{1}{k_1 [SH]_T} + \frac{[H^+]}{k K_1 [SH]_T}$$
(8)

$$\frac{[SH]_{T}}{k_{obs}} = \frac{1}{k_{1}} + \frac{[H^{+}]}{k_{1}K_{1}}$$
(9)

[SH]_T/k_{obs} is plotted against [H⁺] (Fig. 4).



Fig. 4. Plot of variation of [Diclofenac]/ k_{obs} versus [H⁺] at 298 K. [Diclofenac] = 2 × 10⁻³, [Co^{III}W₁₂O₄₀⁵⁻] = 5.0 × 10⁻⁴, I = 0.5 mol/L. [H⁺] was varied from 9.33 × 10⁻⁷ to 1.0 × 10⁻⁸ mol/L

Slope =
$$\frac{1}{k_1 K_1}$$
, Intercept = $\frac{1}{k_1}$
Intercept = K_1 , $\frac{1}{Intercept} = k_1$

 K_1 , k_1 , activation enthalpy and activation entropy are collected in Table-1.

TABLE-1				
ELECTRON TRANSFER RATE	CONSTANTS AT DIFFERENT			
TEMPERATURES AND AC	TIVATION PARAMETERS			
AND THERMODYNAMIC	PARAMETERS FOR THE			
REDOX REACTIONS OF 12-	TUNGSTOCOBALTATE(III)			
WITH DICLOFI	ENAC SODIUM			

Parameters -	Temperature (K)				
	293	298	303	308	
$k_1(s^{-1})$	0.57	0.64	1.16	1.27	
$K_1 (mol/L)$	-	5.33×10^{-7}	-	-	
$\Delta H^{\#} (kJ mol^{-1})$	-	42.87	-	-	
$\Delta S^{\#} (J K^{-1} mol^{-1})$	-	-103.6	-	-	

Product analysis: The product [2-(2,6-dichlorophenylamino)phenyl]methanol is confirmed by its characteristic data of IR (Fig. 5). The broad peak at 3324 cm⁻¹ is due to hydrogen bonded –OH group fused with secondary amine –NH stretching frequency and C–H stretching frequency in the aromatic group. The peaks in 1694-1453 cm⁻¹ region are due to C=C aromatic group and –NH bending. 1283 cm⁻¹ is due to –OH bending vibration. CO stretching at 1725-1700 cm⁻¹ region is missing in the product. All the above data [17] corresponds to the formation of the product [2-(2,6-dichloro phenylamino)phenyl]methanol (Fig. 6)



Conclusion

The oxidation of diclofenac sodium by 12-tungstocobalt(III) in aqueous medium was studied. The redox reaction showed first order dependence in [diclofenac sodium] and [12-tungstocobaltate(III)] and fractional order in $[OH^-]_T$. The activation parameters $\Delta H^{\#}$ (kJ mol⁻¹) and $\Delta S^{\#}$ (JK⁻¹ mol⁻¹) values are found to be 42.87 and -103.6, respectively. The negative value of $\Delta S^{\#}$ indicates an ordered transition state for the electron transfer reaction. The product is found to be [2-(2,6-dichloro phenylamino)-phenyl]methanol.



Fig. 6. Structure of [2-(2,6-dichloro phenylamino)phenyl]methanol

REFERENCES

- J.P. Puttaswamy and J.P. Shubha, Am. Inst. Chem. Eng., 55, 3234 (2009); https://doi.org/10.1002/aic.11980.
- 2. E.C. Ku, J.M. Wsvary and W.D. Cash, *Biochem. Pharmacol.*, **24**, 641 (1975);
- https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-2952(75)90186-0. 3. P.A. Todd and E.M. Sorkin, *Drugs*, **35**, 244 (2012);
- https://doi.org/10.2165/00003495-198835030-00004.
 N. Gostick, I.G.V. James, T.K. Khong, P. Roy, P.R. Shepherd and A.J. Miller, *Curr. Med. Res. Opin.*, **12**, 135 (1990); https://doi.org/10.1185/03007999009111494.

- 5. H. Yu, E. Nie, J. Xu, S. Yan, W.J. Cooper and W. Song, *Water Res.*, **47**, 1909 (2013);
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2013.01.016. b. L. Eberson, J. Am. Chem. Soc., **105**, 3192 (1983);
- L. Eberson, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 105, 3192 <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/ja00348a039</u>.
- A.L. Nolan, R.C. Burns and G.A. Lawrance, J. Chem. Soc. Trans., 3041 (1998);
- <u>https://doi.org/10.1039/a804598d.</u>
 M. Ali, S.K. Saha and P. Banerjee, *J. Chem. Soc. Trans.*, 2305 (1991); https://doi.org/10.1039/dt9910002305.
- 9. Z. Amjad, J.C. Brodovitch and A. McAuley, *Can. J. Chem.*, **55**, 3581 (1977);
- https://doi.org/10.1139/v77-502. 10. A.G. Ayoko and A.M. Olatunji, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, **80**, 287 (1983);
- https://doi.org/10.1016/S0020-1693(00)91296-9.
- S.K. Saha, M.C. Ghosh and P. Banerjee, *Int. J. Chem. Kinet.*, **20**, 699 (1988); <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/kin.550200904</u>.
- P.K. Satpathy, G.C. Dash, S. Acharya and P. Mohanty, J. Indian Chem. Soc., 83, 891 (2006).
- G.A.Ayoko and M.A. Olatunji, *Polyhydron*, 2, 577 (1983); https://doi.org/10.1016/S0277-5387(00)81513-2.
- P. Kumari, A. Das, D.K. Baral, A.K. Pattanaik and P. Mohanty, *E-J. Chem.*, 8, 1152 (2011); https://doi.org/10.1155/2011/341865.
- 15. P.K. Satpathy, G.C. Dash and P. Mohanty, *Indian J. Chem.*, **47A**, 1199 (2008).
- P.G. Rasmussen and C.H. Brubaker Jr., *Inorg. Chem.*, 3, 977 (1964); https://doi.org/10.1021/ic50017a011.
- K. Nakamoto, Infrared and Raman Spectra of Inorganic compounds, John Wiley & Sons, New York, edn 3 (1977).