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#### Abstract

The molecular structure and vibrational spectra of 10 H -dibenzo $[b, e][2,4]$ oxazine was calculated with the help of B3LYP density functional theory (DFT) using 6-311G (d,p) basis set. The FT-IR and FT-Raman spectra of title compound were interpreted by comparing the experimental results with the theoretical B3LYP/6-311G (d,p) calculations. The experimental observed vibrational frequencies are compared with the calculated vibrational frequencies and they are in good agreement with each other. Natural bond orbital (NBO) analysis interprets the intramolecular contacts of title molecule. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR chemical movements of the particle have been determined by the gauge independent atomic orbital (GIAO) strategy and contrasted with the experimental outcome. The deciphered HOMO and LUMO energies showed the chemical stability of the molecules. Fukui capacity and natural charge investigation on atomic charges of the title molecule have been discussed. Docking reads were performed for title molecule utilizing the molecular docking programming with fungicidal dynamic PDB's.
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## INTRODUCTION

Present day society is subjected to engineered heterocycles for use as medications, pesticides and colours. The most common heterocycles are those having five- or six-membered rings and containing heteroatoms of nitrogen, oxygen or sulfur. The structure of 10 H -dibenzo[b,e][2,4]oxazine consists of an oxazine fused to two benzene rings. It occurs as the central core of a number of naturally occurring chemical compounds such as dactinomycin [1]. The 10 H -dibenzo $[b, e][2,4]$ oxazine framework is a chromophoric part of the atomic structures of the normally happening actinomycin antitoxins, which are yellowred in colour. Numerous polycyclic compounds containing a 10 H -dibenzo-[ $b, e][2,4]$ oxazine ring are utilized as natural stains, texture colours and light-producing materials in colour lasers [1]. Oxazine heterocycles have uncommon intrigue since they establish a significant class of characteristic and non-common items and show helpful biological activities [2]. Oxazine deriva-
tives are a significant class of heterocycles, which has generated a lot of engineered enthusiasm because of their wide scope of natural exercises. Oxazine and related heterocyclic compounds were accounted for to have antimycobacterial, antibacterial, antifungal, anticoagulant, anticancer, cancer prevention agent and cytotoxic exercises. Oxazine obtained from benzene and its reduced form, by substitution of carbon (and hydrogen) atoms by nitrogen and oxygen. Over the most recent couple of years oxazine derivatives have been considered as significant engineered intermediates and furthermore have significant organic exercises like soothing, pain relieving, antipyretic, anti-convulsant, antitubercular, anti-tumour, antimalarial and antimicrobial [3].

In the present study, vibrational spectroscopic analysis of 10 H -dibenzo $[b, e][2,4]$ oxazine ( 10 HXZ ) are reported experimentally and theoretically. A complete vibrational spectroscopic investigation of the 10 HXZ molecule to give a brief assignment of the fundamental bands in FT-IR and FT-Raman

[^0]spectra on the basis of normal coordinate analysis. The straight connection between the scale factor and the vibrational wavenumbers are related to the assistance of the wavenumber linear scaling (WLS) strategy. The redistribution of electron density (ED) in different bonding and antibonding orbitals alongside E (2) energies have been determined by normal bond orbital (NBO) utilizing B3LYP/6-311G (d,p) basis set. A few properties like highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO), lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO), MESP and global reactive descriptors are done to give data about charge move inside the compound. The chemical shifts 10 HXZ molecule is predicted from NMR spectrum. The microbial activity of 10 HXZ molecule is discussed by molecular docking. The present study provides complete information about spectral, structural, chemical and microbial activity of 10HXZ compound.

## COMPUTATIONAL METHODS

The 10HXZ molecule is theoretically optimized DFT method at B3LYP/6-311G(d,p) basis set to using Gaussian 09W programs [4]. The detailed vibrational spectra of 10 HXZ molecule can be determined with the help of normal coordinate analysis (NCA). Normal coordinate analysis was performed utilizing the MOLVIB program version 7.0 composed by Sundius [5]. The wavenumbers acquired from Normal coordinate analysis technique were downsized by the (WLS) wavenumber linear scaling strategy [6]. The natural bond orbitals (NBO) figurings [7] were performed utilizing NBO 3.1 program as actualized in the Gaussian 09W bundle at DFT/B3LYP level. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR isotropic shielding were calculated by GIAO method [8] using optimized parameters obtained from B3LYPwith 6311G (d,p) method. AutoDock4 (version 4.2) with the Lamarckian genetic algorithm was used to perform docking studies [9].

## EXPERIMENTAL

The compound 10 HXZ in the solid form was bought from sigma Aldrich chemical company (USA) with a stated purity of greater than $97 \%$ and it was used as such without further purification. The Fourier Transform infrared (FTIR) range of this compound was recorded in the area $4000-450 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ on a Bruker model IFS 66 V spectrophotometer utilizing KBr pellet procedure. The FT-Raman range was likewise recorded in FTRaman BRUKER RFS 100/s instrument outfitted with Nd: YAG laser source working at 1064 nm frequency and 150 mw powers in the range $4000-100 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra are taken in methonal solutions and all signals are referenced to TMS on a BRUKER AVANCE III500 MHz (AV500) spectrometer. The spectral measurements were done at Regional Sophisticated Instrumentation Centre, IIT, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Optimized geometry: The molecule 10HXZ molecular structure and atom numbering is shown in Fig. 1. The optimized parameters of 10 HXZ molecule are listed in Table-1. The optimized values are compared with X-ray diffraction results $[10,11]$. The 10 HXZ calculated $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond lengths are


Fig. 1. Optimized structure of 10 HXZ molecule optimized at B3LYP/6$311 \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p})$ basis set
almost agreed with the experimental $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond lengths. The $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond lengths of 10 HXZ are not in same length. The calculated C-C bond length values of 10 HXZ are varied between 1.3841-1.4037 $\AA$ and the experimental values are varied between 1.379-1.402 A. From the Table-1, $\mathrm{C}_{5}-\mathrm{C}_{6}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{12}-\mathrm{C}_{13}$ bond length shows greater value due to the presence of neighboring nitrogen and oxygen atom and its range belongs to 1.40 and the lower range is for $\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{13}-\mathrm{C}_{19}$ which is 1.384. The experimental and calculated $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ bond lengths are lie in the range $1.39 \AA$ and $1.40 \AA$, whereas these bond lengths are much shorter than the normal C-N ( $1.49 \AA$ ) single bond [12] which confirms these bonds have some character of a double or conjugate bond. The calculated C-O bond length ( $1.384 \AA$ ) agreed with the experimental C-O $(1.389 \AA)$ bond length value. The $\mathrm{N}_{11}-\mathrm{H}_{15}$ bond length of the compound is 0.860 (XRD), 1.0077 $\AA$ (DFT). Dandia et al. [13] reported this value as $0.80 \AA$. The $\mathrm{C}_{12}-\mathrm{N}_{11}-\mathrm{C}_{5}, \mathrm{C}_{5}-\mathrm{N}_{11}-\mathrm{H}_{15}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{12}-\mathrm{N}_{11}-\mathrm{H}_{15}$ bond angle of the 10HXZ molecule for DFT (XRD) are 119.73 (124.06), 117.48 (117.9) and 117.48 (118.05). The asymmetry of the bond angle values is due to the interaction of $\mathrm{N}_{11}-\mathrm{H}_{15}$ with hydrogen atoms $\mathrm{H}_{10}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{20}$.

The computational and experimental dihedral angles of the 10 HXZ are $\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{4}-\mathrm{C}_{5}-\mathrm{N}_{11}=178.47$ (B3LYP), -177.23 (XRD); $\mathrm{C}_{4}-\mathrm{C}_{5}-\mathrm{N}_{11}-\mathrm{C}_{12}=-166.87$ (B3LYP), 169.67 (XRD); $\mathrm{C}_{2}{ }^{-}$ $\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{6}-\mathrm{O}_{14}=178.36$ (B3LYP), -177.56 (XRD); $\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{6}-\mathrm{O}_{14}-\mathrm{C}_{13}$ $=-167.07$ (B3LYP), -170.99 (XRD); $\mathrm{C}_{18}-\mathrm{C}_{19}-\mathrm{C}_{13}-\mathrm{O}_{14}=-178.36$ (B3LYP), 179.37 (XRD); $\mathrm{C}_{19}-\mathrm{C}_{13}-\mathrm{O}_{14}-\mathrm{C}_{6}=-167.07$ (B3LYP), 170.64 (XRD); $\mathrm{C}_{17}-\mathrm{C}_{16}-\mathrm{C}_{12}-\mathrm{N}_{11}=178.47$ (B3LYP), -178.61 (XRD); $\mathrm{C}_{16}-\mathrm{C}_{12}-\mathrm{N}_{11}-\mathrm{C}_{5}=166.87$ (B3LYP), -169.28 (XRD); and these values declare the little boat conformation for the oxazine ring with respect to the phenyl rings.

Vibrational analysis: The molecular structure of 10HXZ molecule comprises of 23 atoms, indicating a total number of 63 normal modes of vibrations which are assigned by using PED. Most of the observed vibrational patterns concur with hypothetically determined vibrational modes. Both experimental and theoretical FT-IR, FT-Raman spectra of 10 HXZ molecule are shown in Figs. $2 \& 3$ and vibrational frequencies are furnished in Table-2.

NH vibration: The NH modes are expected in the range $3500-3000 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (stretching) and around $1500 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (deformation) [14-16]. In 10HXZ, a strong band observed at $3396 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in IR

TABLE-1
OPTIMIZED GEOMETRIC PARAMETERS OF 10HXZ MOLECULE ON B3LYP/6-311G (d,p) BASIS SET

| Bond length | Values ( $\AA$ ) |  | Bond angle | Values ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) |  | Dihedral angle | Values ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cal . | Exp. |  | Cal. | Exp. |  | Cal. | Exp. |
| $\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | 1.3972 | 1.387 | $\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{3}$ | 119.6769 | 119.62 | $\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{4}$ | -0.150 | 0.53 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{3}$ | 1.3896 | 1.384 | $\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{4}$ | 120.1823 | 120.46 | $\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{4}-\mathrm{C}_{5}$ | -0.372 | 1.05 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{4}$ | 1.3956 | 1.394 | $\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{4}-\mathrm{C}_{5}$ | 120.5404 | 120.44 | $\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{6}$ | 0.581 | -1.21 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{5}-\mathrm{C}_{6}$ | 1.4037 | 1.400 | $\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{6}$ | 120.0513 | 119.95 | $\mathrm{C}_{5}-\mathrm{C}_{6}-\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{H}_{7}$ | 179.88 | -179.73 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{6}$ | 1.3841 | 1.379 | $\mathrm{C}_{6}-\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{H}_{7}$ | 118.3145 | 120.01 | $\mathrm{C}_{6}-\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{H}_{8}$ | -179.56 | 178.80 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{H}_{7}$ | 1.0832 | 0.950 | $\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{H}_{8}$ | 119.7525 | 120.16 | $\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{H}_{9}$ | 179.84 | -179.45 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{H}_{8}$ | 1.0831 | 0.950 | $\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{H}_{9}$ | 120.3735 | 119.73 | $\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{4}-\mathrm{H}_{10}$ | -179.85 | -179.00 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{H}_{9}$ | 1.0835 | 0.951 | $\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{4}-\mathrm{H}_{10}$ | 120.3083 | 119.74 | $\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{4}-\mathrm{C}_{5}-\mathrm{N}_{11}$ | -178.47 | 177.23 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{4}-\mathrm{H}_{10}$ | 1.0853 | 0.950 | $\mathrm{C}_{4}-\mathrm{C}_{5}-\mathrm{N}_{11}$ | 122.8062 | 122.75 | $\mathrm{C}_{4}-\mathrm{C}_{5}-\mathrm{N}_{11}-\mathrm{C}_{12}$ | -166.87 | 169.67 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{5}-\mathrm{N}_{11}$ | 1.3974 | 1.407 | $\mathrm{C}_{5}-\mathrm{N}_{11}-\mathrm{C}_{12}$ | 119.7328 | 118.99 | $\mathrm{C}_{5}-\mathrm{N}_{11}-\mathrm{C}_{12}-\mathrm{C}_{13}$ | -14.19 | 11.40 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{12}-\mathrm{N}_{11}$ | 1.3974 | 1.404 | $\mathrm{N}_{11}-\mathrm{C}_{12}-\mathrm{C}_{13}$ | 118.4392 | 119.17 | $\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{6}-\mathrm{O}_{14}$ | 178.36 | -177.56 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{12}-\mathrm{C}_{13}$ | 1.4037 | 1.402 | $\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{6}-\mathrm{O}_{14}$ | 117.9823 | 117.10 | $\mathrm{C}_{4}-\mathrm{C}_{5}-\mathrm{N}_{11}-\mathrm{H}_{15}$ | -13.18 | 11.04 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{6}-\mathrm{O}_{14}$ | 1.3842 | 1.389 | $\mathrm{C}_{5}-\mathrm{N}_{11}-\mathrm{H}_{15}$ | 117.4896 | 117.90 | $\mathrm{C}_{5}-\mathrm{N}_{11}-\mathrm{C}_{12}-\mathrm{C}_{16}$ | 166.87 | -169.28 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{11}-\mathrm{H}_{15}$ | 1.0077 | 0.860 | $\mathrm{N}_{11}-\mathrm{C}_{12}-\mathrm{C}_{16}$ | 122.8062 | 122.80 | $\mathrm{N}_{11}-\mathrm{C}_{12}-\mathrm{C}_{16}-\mathrm{C}_{17}$ | 178.47 | -178.61 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{12}-\mathrm{C}_{16}$ | 1.394 | 1.390 | $\mathrm{C}_{12}-\mathrm{C}_{16}-\mathrm{C}_{17}$ | 120.5404 | 120.59 | $\mathrm{C}_{12}-\mathrm{C}_{16}-\mathrm{C}_{17}-\mathrm{C}_{18}$ | 0.372 | 0.06 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{16}-\mathrm{C}_{17}$ | 1.3956 | 1.387 | $\mathrm{C}_{16}-\mathrm{C}_{17}-\mathrm{C}_{18}$ | 120.1823 | 120.80 | $\mathrm{N}_{11}-\mathrm{C}_{12}-\mathrm{C}_{13}-\mathrm{C}_{19}$ | -178.95 | 178.12 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{17}-\mathrm{C}_{18}$ | 1.3896 | 1.379 | $\mathrm{C}_{12}-\mathrm{C}_{13}-\mathrm{C}_{19}$ | 120.7993 | 121.27 | $\mathrm{N}_{11}-\mathrm{C}_{12}-\mathrm{C}_{16}-\mathrm{H}_{20}$ | -1.022 | 1.38 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{13}-\mathrm{C}_{19}$ | 1.3841 | 1.379 | $\mathrm{C}_{12}-\mathrm{C}_{16}-\mathrm{H}_{20}$ | 119.1493 | 119.68 | $\mathrm{C}_{12}-\mathrm{C}_{16}-\mathrm{C}_{17}-\mathrm{H}_{21}$ | -179.63 | -180.00 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{16}-\mathrm{H}_{20}$ | 1.0853 | 0.949 | $\mathrm{C}_{16}-\mathrm{C}_{17}-\mathrm{H}_{21}$ | 119.4441 | 119.58 | $\mathrm{C}_{16}-\mathrm{C}_{17}-\mathrm{C}_{18}-\mathrm{H}_{22}$ | -179.99 | 179.71 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{17}-\mathrm{H}_{21}$ | 1.0835 | 0.950 | $\mathrm{C}_{17}-\mathrm{C}_{18}-\mathrm{H}_{22}$ | 120.5705 | 120.32 | $\mathrm{C}_{12}-\mathrm{C}_{13}-\mathrm{C}_{19}-\mathrm{H}_{23}$ | -179.88 | -179.03 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{18}-\mathrm{H}_{22}$ | 1.0831 | 0.950 | $\mathrm{C}_{13}-\mathrm{C}_{19}-\mathrm{H}_{23}$ | 118.3145 | 120.09 | $\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{6}-\mathrm{O}_{14}-\mathrm{C}_{13}$ | 167.07 | -170.99 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{19}-\mathrm{H}_{23}$ | 1.0832 | 0.950 | $\mathrm{C}_{12}-\mathrm{N}_{11}-\mathrm{H}_{15}$ | 117.480 | 118.05 | $\mathrm{C}_{18}-\mathrm{C}_{19}-\mathrm{C}_{13}-\mathrm{O}_{14}$ | -178.36 | 179.37 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{13}-\mathrm{O}_{14}$ | 1.3842 | 1.389 | $\mathrm{C}_{12}-\mathrm{N}_{11}-\mathrm{C}_{5}$ | 119.730 | 124.06 | $\mathrm{C}_{19}-\mathrm{C}_{13}-\mathrm{O}_{14}-\mathrm{C}_{6}$ | -167.07 | 170.64 |



Fig. 2. Combined FT-IR spectrum of 10HXZ molecule


Fig. 3. Combined FT-Raman spectrum of 10HXZ molecule

TABLE-2
COMPARISON OF THE EXPERIMENTAL (FT-IR, FT-RAMAN) WAVENUMBERS $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ AND THEORETICAL WAVENUMBERS ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) OF 10HXZ MOLECULE CALCULATED BY B3LYP/6-311G ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p}$ ) LEVEL OF THEORY

| Wavenumbers |  |  | IR <br> intensity | Raman intensity | Assignments with PED (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Experimental values |  | Calculated values |  |  |  |
| FT-IR | FT-Raman |  |  |  |  |
| 3396 vs | - | 3444 | 4.09674 | 1.06895 | $v \mathrm{~N}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{15}(100)$ |
| - | 3061 vs | 3061 | 0.55512 | 6.1804 | $v \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{PH} 2}(50), \nu \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{PH} 1}(49)$ |
| - | - | 3060 | 2.82725 | 0.2782 | $v \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{PH} 1}(50), \mathrm{vCH}_{\mathrm{PH} 2}(49)$ |
| 3052 m | - | 3051 | 1.72868 | 2.66384 | $\nu \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{PH} 1}(50), \nu \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{PH} 2}$ (49) |
| - | - | 3050 | 3.56295 | 0.3827 | $v \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{PH} 2}(50), \nu \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{PH} 1}(49)$ |
| - | - | 3040 | 1.87697 | 0.64568 | $v \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{PH} 2}(50), \mathrm{vCH}_{\mathrm{PH} 1}(49)$ |
| - | - | 3039 | 0.02027 | 1.83698 | $v \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{PH} 1}(50), \nu \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{PH} 2}(49)$ |
| - | - | 3024 | 2.27438 | 1.59624 | $v \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{PH} 1}(50), \mathrm{vCH}_{\mathrm{PH} 2}(49)$ |
| - | 3000 w | 3023 | 0.59697 | 0.00341 | $v \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{PH} 2}(50), \nu \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{PH} 1}(49)$ |
| 1628 m | 1630 s | 1640 | 0.82638 | 4.69462 | $v \mathrm{CC}_{\mathrm{PH} 1}(32), \nu \mathrm{CC}_{\mathrm{PH} 2}(28), \delta \mathrm{CCN}(7), \beta \mathrm{CCH}_{\mathrm{PH} 1}(6), \beta \mathrm{CCH}_{\mathrm{PH} 2}(6), \delta \mathrm{CCC}_{\mathrm{PH} 1}(6)$ |


| - | - | 1617 | 0.2305 | 0.80277 | $v \mathrm{CC}_{\text {PH1 }}(33), \mathrm{vCC}_{\text {PH2 }}(33), \delta \mathrm{CCC}_{\mathrm{PH} 2}(5), \delta \mathrm{CCC}_{\mathrm{PH1}}(5), \beta \mathrm{CCH}_{\mathrm{PH1}}(5)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | - | 1606 | 0.97902 | 0.10309 | $v \mathrm{CC}_{\mathrm{PH1}}(34), \mathrm{vCC}_{\mathrm{PH} 2}(34), \beta \mathrm{CNH}(9), \delta \mathrm{CCC}_{\mathrm{PH} 2}(6), \beta \mathrm{CCH}_{\mathrm{PH} 2}(5)$ |
| 1588s | 1588s | 1594 | 7.90107 | 4.35886 | $v \mathrm{CC}_{\mathrm{PHI}}(30), \nu \mathrm{vCC}_{\mathrm{PH} 2}(30), \beta \mathrm{CCH}_{\mathrm{PHI}}(7), \beta \mathrm{CCH}_{\mathrm{PH} 2}(7), \delta \mathrm{CCN}(6), \nu \mathrm{vN}(5)$ |
| - | - | 1519 | 0.27101 | 1.52313 | $\beta \mathrm{CCH}_{\mathrm{PH} 2}(21), \beta \mathrm{CCH}_{\mathrm{PH} 1}(21), \nu \mathrm{CC}_{\mathrm{PH1}}(21), \nu \mathrm{CC}_{\mathrm{PH} 2}(17), v \mathrm{CN}(8), v \mathrm{CO}(5)$ |
| - | 1509 w | 1507 | 12.72074 | 0.01804 | $\beta \mathrm{CNH}(23), \mathrm{CCCH}_{\mathrm{PH1}}(19), \beta \mathrm{CCH}_{\mathrm{PH2}}(19), \nu \mathrm{CC}_{\mathrm{PH1}}(15), \nu \mathrm{CC}_{\mathrm{PH} 2}(13)$ |
| 1498 m | - | 1502 | 100 | 0.01344 | $\nu \mathrm{CN}(28), \nu \mathrm{cCC}_{\mathrm{PHI}}(17), \nu \mathrm{CC}_{\mathrm{PH} 2}(12), \beta \mathrm{CCH}_{\mathrm{PH1}}(10), \beta \mathrm{CCH}_{\mathrm{PH} 2}(10), \beta \mathrm{CNH}(9)$ |
| - | - | 1472 | 1.18997 | 0.04431 | $\beta \mathrm{CCH}_{\text {PHI }}(24), \mathrm{SCCH}_{\text {PH2 }}(24), \nu \mathrm{vCC}_{\text {PHI }}(20), v \mathrm{CC}_{\text {PH2 }}(20), \delta \mathrm{CCN}(10)$ |
| 1410 m | 1406vw | 1424 | 19.70371 | 0.34168 | $\beta \mathrm{CCH}_{\mathrm{PH2}}(23), \beta \mathrm{CCH}_{\mathrm{PH1}}(23), \beta \mathrm{CNH}(16), \mathrm{vCC}_{\mathrm{PH2}}(12), \nu \mathrm{VC}_{\mathrm{PH1}}(12), \mathrm{vCN}(6)$ |
| - | 1374 w | 1340 | 0.39754 | 0.29338 | $v \mathrm{VCC}_{\mathrm{PH1}}(43), \mathrm{vCC}_{\text {PH2 }}(43)$ |
| - | - | 1311 | 30.76201 | 0.05885 | $v \mathrm{CC}_{\mathrm{PHI}}(38), \mathrm{vCC}_{\mathrm{PH} 2}(38), \nu \mathrm{CN}(8), \beta \mathrm{CCH}_{\mathrm{PH} 2}(7), \beta \mathrm{CCH}_{\mathrm{PH1}}(7)$ |
| - | - | 1300 | 0.00652 | 0.06711 | $\beta \mathrm{CCH}_{\mathrm{PH1}}(36), \beta \mathrm{CCH}_{\mathrm{PH} 2}(36), \delta \mathrm{CCN}(19)$ |
| 1294 m | - | 1295 | 30.97402 | 0.03855 | $\nu \mathrm{CO}(18), \beta \mathrm{CCH}_{\mathrm{PH2}}(17), \beta \mathrm{CCH}_{\mathrm{PH1}}(17), \nu \mathrm{CC}_{\mathrm{PH1}}(13), \nu \mathrm{CC}_{\mathrm{PH} 2}(13), \nu \mathrm{CN}(10)$ |
| - | 1258 s | 1260 | 0.39797 | 14.9248 | $v \mathrm{CN}(38), \mathrm{vCC}_{\text {PH1 }}(14), \mathrm{vCC}_{\mathrm{PH} 2}(11), \beta \mathrm{CCH}_{\mathrm{PH1}}(11), \beta \mathrm{CCH}_{\mathrm{PH} 2}(11), \delta \mathrm{CCC}_{\mathrm{PH1}}(5)$ |
| - | - | 1241 | 1.50216 | 0.02306 | $\beta \mathrm{CNH}(18), v \mathrm{CC}_{\mathrm{PH1}}(16), \beta \mathrm{CCH}_{\mathrm{PH2}}(14), \beta \mathrm{CCH}_{\mathrm{PH1}}(14), \nu \mathrm{CC}_{\mathrm{PH} 2}(13), \delta \mathrm{CCN}(9)$ |
| - | 1200 m | 1203 | 10.50757 | 0.78765 | $\nu \mathrm{CO}(37), \nu \mathrm{CN}(13), \beta \mathrm{CCH}_{\mathrm{PH} 2}(10), \beta \mathrm{CCH}_{\text {PH1 }}(10), \delta \mathrm{CCC}_{\text {PH1 }}(8), \delta \mathrm{CCC}_{\mathrm{PH} 2}$ (8) |
| 1198 m | - | 1197 | 0.65846 | 5.61628 | $\nu \mathrm{CO}(38), \delta \mathrm{CCC}_{\text {PHI }}(14), \delta \mathrm{CCC}_{\mathrm{PH} 2}(14), \nu \mathrm{CC}_{\mathrm{PHI}}(9), \nu \mathrm{vCC}_{\mathrm{PH} 2}(8)$ |
| - | 1156 w | 1168 | 0.16257 | 0.582 | $\beta \mathrm{CCH}_{\mathrm{PH} 2}(42), \mathrm{CCCH}_{\mathrm{PH} 1}(42), v \mathrm{vCC}_{\mathrm{PH1}}(8), v \mathrm{CC}_{\mathrm{PH} 2}(8)$ |
| - | - | 1165 | 0.05876 | 0.04823 | $\beta \mathrm{CCH}_{\text {PHI }}(40), \mathrm{CCCH}_{\mathrm{PH} 2}(40), v \mathrm{CC}_{\mathrm{PH1}}(10), v \mathrm{CC}_{\text {PH2 }}(10)$ |
| 1113 m | - | 1127 | 3.38939 | 0.15677 | $\beta \mathrm{CCH}_{\mathrm{PH2}}(26), \beta \mathrm{CCH}_{\mathrm{PH1}}(26), \nu \mathrm{CC}_{\mathrm{PH1}}(14), \nu \mathrm{CC}_{\mathrm{PH} 2}(13), \delta \mathrm{CCC}_{\mathrm{PH} 2}(5), \delta \mathrm{CCC}_{\mathrm{PH1}}(5)$ |
| - | - | 1103 | 0.61518 | 0.00315 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{vCC}_{\mathrm{PHI}}(17), \mathrm{vCC}_{\mathrm{PH} 2}(17) \beta \mathrm{PCH}_{\mathrm{PHI}}(15), \beta \mathrm{CCH}_{\mathrm{PH2}}(15), \delta \mathrm{CCC}_{\mathrm{PH} 1}(11), \delta \mathrm{CCC} \\ & \text { (11) } \end{aligned}$ |
| - | - | 1048 | 1.84483 | 0.01946 | $v \mathrm{CC}_{\mathrm{PH1}}(34), \mathrm{vCC}_{\text {PH2 }}(33), \mathrm{\beta CCH}_{\mathrm{PH} 2}(16), \beta \mathrm{CCH}_{\mathrm{PH1}}(16)$ |
| 1027 m | 1029 s | 1046 | 0.04275 | 8.01679 | $v \mathrm{CC}_{\mathrm{PH1}}(36), \nu \mathrm{CC}_{\mathrm{PH} 2}(36), \beta \mathrm{CCH}_{\mathrm{PH} 1}(13), \beta \mathrm{CCH}_{\mathrm{PH} 2}(13)$ |
| - | - | 952 | 0.01095 | 0.00764 | $\omega \mathrm{CCHC}_{\text {PH1 }}(45), \omega \mathrm{CCHC}_{\text {PH2 }}(45)$ |
| 920 s | - | 951 | 0.00087 | 0.00981 | $\omega \mathrm{CCHC}_{\mathrm{PH2}}(45), \omega^{\text {( }}$ (CHC $\mathrm{PHI}(45)$ |
| - | - | 917 | 1.46749 | 0.03291 | $\omega \mathrm{CCHC}_{\text {PH1 }}(45), \omega \mathrm{CCHC}_{\text {PH2 }}(45)$ |
| - | - | 916 | 0.02353 | 0.1683 | $\omega \mathrm{CCHC}_{\text {PH2 }}(45), \omega \mathrm{CCHC}_{\text {PH1 }}(45)$ |
| - | 894 w | 899 | 0.00611 | 1.22543 | $\delta \mathrm{CCC}_{\mathrm{PH2} 2}(30), \delta \mathrm{CCC}_{\mathrm{PH1}}(30), \mathrm{vCN}(11), \mathrm{vCO}(11)$ |
| 877 w | - | 880 | 1.16844 | 0.10926 | $\delta \mathrm{COC}(23), \delta \mathrm{CCC}_{\text {PHI }}(22), \delta \mathrm{CCC}_{\text {PH2 }}(22), v \mathrm{CO}(8), \mathrm{vCN}(7)$ |
| - | - | 841 | 2.22297 | 0.11203 | $\nu \mathrm{VC}_{\mathrm{PHI}}(27), \nu \mathrm{CC}_{\mathrm{PH} 2}(27), \nu \mathrm{CO}(11), \omega \mathrm{CCHC}_{\mathrm{PH1}}(9), \omega \mathrm{CCHC}_{\mathrm{PH2}}(9)$ |
| - | - | 840 | 0.03073 | 0.40494 | $\omega \mathrm{CCHC}_{\mathrm{PH2}}(43), \omega^{\text {( }} \mathrm{CCHC}_{\mathrm{PH1}}(43)$ |
| 823 m | - | 837 | 0.38347 | 0.04059 | $\omega \mathrm{CCHC}_{\text {PH2 }}(40), \omega \mathrm{CCHC}_{\text {PH1 }}(40)$ |
| - | - | 750 | 0.28681 | 0.00201 | $\omega \mathrm{CCHC}_{\mathrm{PH} 2}(48), \omega \mathrm{CCHC}_{\mathrm{PH} 1}(48)$ |
| - | - | 749 | 22.16407 | 0.28323 | $\omega \mathrm{CCHC}_{\text {PH1 }}(44), \omega \mathrm{CCHC}_{\mathrm{PH} 2}(43)$ |
| 740 s | 734 w | 742 | 2.62347 | 3.20809 | $v \mathrm{VCC}_{\text {PHI }}(16), \mathrm{vCO}(13), \delta \mathrm{CCC}_{\mathrm{PH} 2}(12), \delta \mathrm{CCC}_{\mathrm{PH1}}(12), \mathrm{vCC}_{\mathrm{PH} 2}(12), \delta \mathrm{CCC}_{\mathrm{PH} 2}(10)$ |
| - | - | 719 | 0.01009 | 0.0085 | $\pi \mathrm{CCCC}_{\mathrm{PH1}}(34), \pi \mathrm{CCCC}_{\mathrm{PH2}}(31), \pi \mathrm{CCNC}(16), \omega \mathrm{CCHC}_{\mathrm{PH1}}(6), \omega \mathrm{CCHC}_{\mathrm{PH2}}(6)$ |
| - | - | 691 | 0.02665 | 0.01652 | $\pi \mathrm{CCCC}_{\text {PH1 }}(39), \pi \mathrm{CCCC}_{\text {PH2 }}(35), \omega \mathrm{CCHC}_{\text {PH1 }}(7), \omega \mathrm{CCHC}_{\mathrm{PH} 2}(7), \tau \mathrm{CCNC}(6)$ |
| - | - | 681 | 0.32259 | 0.17987 | $\delta \mathrm{CCN}(33), \delta \mathrm{CCC}_{\mathrm{PH1}}(18), \delta \mathrm{CCC}_{\text {PH2 }}(12), \mathrm{vCC}_{\text {PH1 }}(8), \nu \mathrm{vCC}_{\text {PH2 }}(8)$ |
| - | - | 624 | 0.44051 | 0.05126 | $\delta \mathrm{CCC}_{\mathrm{PH} 2}(55), 8 \mathrm{CCC}_{\mathrm{PH} 1}(36)$ |
| 578 vw | - | 597 | 1.52547 | 0.51253 | $\delta \mathrm{CCC}(39), \delta \mathrm{CCC}_{\mathrm{PH} 2}(29), \delta \mathrm{CCC}_{\mathrm{PH1}}(15)$ |
| - | 539 vw | 561 | 0.07559 | 0.22589 | $\tau \mathrm{CCCC}_{\mathrm{PH2}}(25), \pi \mathrm{CCNC}(16), \tau \mathrm{CCCC}_{\mathrm{PH1}}(15), \pi \mathrm{CCCC}_{\text {PH1 }}(10), \pi \mathrm{CCCC}_{\mathrm{PH} 2}(9)$ |
| - | - | 557 | 0.000155 | 0.16691 | $\tau \mathrm{CCCC}_{\text {PH2 }}(30), \tau \mathrm{CCCC}_{\text {PH1 }}(26), \omega \mathrm{CCHC}_{\text {PH2 }}(8), \omega \mathrm{CCHC}_{\text {PH1 }}(8)$ |
| - | 532 vw | 545 | 0.10803 | 1.82055 | $\delta \mathrm{CCC}_{\text {PHI }}(37), \delta \mathrm{CCC}_{\mathrm{PH2}}(33), \delta \mathrm{CCN}(7)$ |
| 460 m | - | 460 | 0.67722 | 1.20524 | Butterfly $\mathrm{PHI}(21)$, Butterfly $\mathrm{PH2}(21), \tau \mathrm{CCCC}_{\text {PH2 }}(20), \mathrm{CCCC}_{\mathrm{PHI}}(17)$ |
| - | - | 449 | 0.00504 | 0.63012 | $\tau \mathrm{CCCC}_{\mathrm{PH}}(28), \tau \mathrm{CCCC}_{\mathrm{PH} 2}(27)$, Butterfly $\mathrm{PH}(19)$, Butterfly $\mathrm{PH}(19)$ |
| - | - | 431 | 0.24664 | 0.8705 | $\delta \mathrm{CCN}(80), \mathrm{vCC} \mathrm{PH}(6), \nu \mathrm{VC}_{\text {PH2 }}(6)$, |
| - | 390 m | 413 | 3.48202 | 1.5427 |  |
| - | - | 349 | 9.44885 | 33.556 | $\beta \mathrm{CNH}(62), \mathrm{\delta CCN}(15)$ |
| - | 276 w | 295 | 2.19229 | 1.0922 | $\begin{aligned} & \tau \mathrm{CCCC}_{\mathrm{PH} 2}(13), \tau \mathrm{CCOC}(12), \text { Butterfly } \mathrm{PHH}_{2}(11), \text { Butterfly }_{\mathrm{PH} 1}(11), \tau \mathrm{CCCC}_{\mathrm{PH} 1}(10), \\ & \omega \mathrm{CCHC}_{\mathrm{PH} 1}(9) \end{aligned}$ |
| - | - | 271 | 0.0368 | 6.0984 | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Butterfly}_{\mathrm{PHI}}(19), \text { Butterfly }_{\mathrm{PH} 2}(19), \tau \mathrm{CCCC}_{\mathrm{PH} 1}(14), \omega \mathrm{CCHC}_{\mathrm{PH} 1}(13), \omega \mathrm{CCHC}_{\mathrm{PH} 2}(13), \\ & \tau \mathrm{CCC}_{\mathrm{PH} 2}(12) \end{aligned}$ |
| - | 237 w | 259 | 0.20316 | 1.7924 | $\pi \mathrm{CCOC}(15), \nu \mathrm{CN}(11), \delta \mathrm{CCC}_{\mathrm{PH} 2}(10), \delta \mathrm{CCC}_{\mathrm{PH1}}(8), \nu \mathrm{VO}(8), \tau \mathrm{CCCC}_{\mathrm{PH} 2}(8)$ |
| - | 184 m | 212 | 0.04794 | 3.5265 | $\pi \mathrm{CCNC}$ (28), $\tau \mathrm{CCCC}_{\text {PH1 }}$ (24), $\tau \mathrm{CCCC}_{\text {PH2 }}(20)$ |
| - | 109 s | 124 | 0.00189 | 0.5776 | $\tau \mathrm{CCCC}_{\mathrm{PH} 1}(30), \tau \mathrm{CCCC}_{\mathrm{PH} 2}(27), \tau \mathrm{CCNC}(27)$ |
| - | 74 s | 40 | 0.17757 | 100 | $\tau \mathrm{CCNC}(72), \omega \mathrm{CNHC}(21)$ |

vs- very strong, s-strong, w-weak, vw-very weak, m-mediam, $v$-stretching, $\beta$-in-plane bending, $\delta$-deformation, $\omega$-out-of-plane bending, $\pi$ puckering, $\tau$-torsion, PH1-phenyl ring 1, PH2- phenylring 2
spectrum is assigned for NH symmetric stretching with $100 \%$ of PED contribution and the compared calculated value for this NH symmetric stretching mode is $3444 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. In IR spectrum, the NH stretching frequency is red shifted by $48 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ with a strong intensity from the calculated frequency $3444 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, which indicates weakening of the NH bond resulting in hydrogen ion transfer to the neighbouring units. In the present 10 HXZ molecule, the weak $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ deformation band is observed at $1509 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in Raman spectrum and the calculated value for this mode is $1507 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

CH vibration: The phenyl CH stretching vibrations occur above $3000 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and are typically exhibited as multiplicity of weak to moderate bands compared with the aliphatic CH stretching [17]. In this area, the peaks are not disturbed markedly by the nature of the elements. In the present investigation, A very strong band observed in Raman spectrum at $3061 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, medium band is observed in IR spectrum at $3052 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ is assigned for $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ stretching vibration and the compared calculated wavenumber is $3061 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (Raman) and $3051 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (IR) with maximum $99 \%$ of PED contribution. The experimental C-H stretching wavenumbers are coinciding with the calculated C-H stretching wavenumbers. The C-H in-plane and out of-plane bending vibrations typically occur as various high to low intensity sharp bands in the area of 1300-1000 and 1000-750 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ [18] respectively. In this case, the medium bands observed in IR spectrum at $1294,1113,1027 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and the strong Raman band observed at $1258,1029 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, medium Raman band at $1200 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, weak Raman band at $1156 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ correspond to C-H in-plane bending vibration, which are mixed with other vibrational modes. The strong band observed in IR spectrum at $920 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and medium band observed in IR spectrum at 823 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ is assigned for $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ out of plane bending vibration and the calculated value for this mode is 951 and $837 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

C-N vibrations: The stretching vibration of C-N modes is occurred in the range $1300-1100 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ [19]. In the 10 HXZ molecule a strong band observed in the range $1258 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (Raman) is assigned as $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ stretching modes. The compared calculated value for $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ stretching mode is $1260 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. The $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ deformation mode of 10 HXZ molecule theoretically observed at 431 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (DFT) with $80 \%$ PED contribution and one medium band found at $184 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (Raman) is assigned for C-N out-of-plane bending vibration with 28 percentage minimum contribution of PED.

C-C vibrations: Most of the ring vibrational modes are affected by the substitutions in the ring of the 10HXZ molecule. The ortho substituted phenyl stretching modes are expected in the range $1615-1260 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ [20]. Two strong bands are observed at $1630,1588 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in Raman spectrum and one medium and strong band observed at $1628,1588 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in IR spectrum is assigned for phenyl ring stretching modes. The compared calculated value for this stretching mode is $1640,1594 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ respectively. In present work, strong band observed at $740 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in FT-IR spectrum and weak band at $734 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in FT-Raman spectrum is allotted for phenyl ring bending vibrations and the corresponding calculated value for this mode is $742 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in the molecule under analysis. The out-of plane and in-plane bending C-C-C modes of 10 HXZ molecule are presented in

Table-2. Theoretically the band determined at $1048 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ is assigned as the ring breathing mode of ortho subbed phenyl ring. Kaur et al. [21] reported the ring breathing mode of ortho subbed benzene rings at 1026 and $1023 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ theoretically.

C-O vibration: Usually, the bands at $1200-1300 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ are assigned to $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ stretching vibrations for substituted phenol [22]. In the present study, medium band observed at 1200$1198 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in FT-IR and FT-Raman spectrum is assigned for C-O stretching vibration, the compared calculated value for C-O stretching mode is $1203-1197 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. The C-O in-plane bending vibrations are observed at $877 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in FT-IR spectrum and theoretically computed value at $880 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ show good agreement with experimental observations. The medium to weak band are observed in Raman spectrum at 276, 237 and 184 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ as C-O out-of-plane bending vibrations and the compared theoretical values are $295,259,212 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

Natural bond orbital analysis: The natural bond orbital (NBO) analysis was performed at the B3LYP/6-311G(d,p) level basis set. The stabilizing interactions between filled and unoccupied orbital's and destabilizing interactions between filled orbital's can be obtained from this analysis [23-25]. Various second order interactions between the filled and unoccupied orbitals are investigated using DFT level computation which gives a measure of the delocalization or hyper conjugation. NBO analysis provides the most accurate possible 'natural Lewis structure' picture of j , because all orbital details are mathematically chosen to include the highest possible percentage of the electron density. Delocalization of electron density between occupied Lewis-type (bond or lone pair) NBO orbital's and formally unoccupied (antibond) non-Lewis NBO orbital's corresponds to a stabilizing donor-acceptor interaction. The NBO method also gives information about interactions in both filled and virtual orbital spaces that could enhance the analysis of intra- and intermolecular interactions [23,26]. The interactions due to electron delocalization are generally analyzed by selecting a number of bonding and antibonding NBO's. For each donor NBO (i) and accepter NBO (j) the stabilization energy $\mathrm{E}(2)$ is associated with $\mathrm{i} \rightarrow \mathrm{j}$ delocalization is given by the following equation:

$$
\mathrm{E}(2)=\Delta \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{ij}}=\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{~F}(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j})^{2} / \Sigma \mathrm{j}-\Sigma \mathrm{i}
$$

where, $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{i}}$ is the donor orbital occupancy, $\Sigma \mathrm{i}$ and $\Sigma \mathrm{j}$ are diagonal elements and $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j})$ is the off diagonal NBO Fock matrix element. The second-order perturbation theory analysis of Fock matrix in NBO basis is given in Table-2. In NBO analysis large E(2) value shows the intensive interaction between electron-donors and electron-acceptors and greater the extent of conjugation of the whole system [27]. The important donor-acceptor Interactions of 10 HXZ compound are given in Table-3. The intramolecular hyper-conjucative interaction is formed by an orbital overlap between $\pi(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}), \sigma(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C})$ and $\pi^{*}(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}), \sigma^{*}(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C})$ bond orbital which results in intra-molecular charge transfer causing the system to stabilize.

The electron density of the phenyl ring ( 1.6 to 1.9 e ) show strong charge delocalization. The important interaction energy in this molecule, is electron donating from $\sigma\left(\mathrm{C}_{18}-\mathrm{C}_{19}\right)$ to the antibonding $\sigma^{*}\left(\mathrm{C}_{19}-\mathrm{H}_{23}\right)$ and another one electron donating

TABLE-3
SECOND ORDER PERTURBATION THEORY ANALYSIS OF FOCK MATRIX OF 10HXZ MOLECULE BY NBO METHOD

| Donar (NBO) | E.D(i) | Acceptor (NBO) | E.D(j) | $\mathrm{E}^{2}(\mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{j}}-\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{i}}$ ( $\mathrm{a} . \mathrm{u}$ ) | $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}}$ (a.u) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\pi\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{6}\right)$ | 1.69332 | $\sigma^{*}\left(\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{C}_{18}\right)$ | 0.01620 | 55.07 | 0.04 | 0.045 |
| $\sigma\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{H}_{7}\right)$ | 1.97542 | $\sigma^{*}\left(\mathrm{C}_{17}-\mathrm{C}_{18}\right)$ | 0.01620 | 14.24 | 0.29 | 0.058 |
| $\sigma\left(\mathrm{C}_{6}-\mathrm{O}_{14}\right)$ | 1.98878 | $\sigma^{*}\left(\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{C}_{18}\right)$ | 0.01620 | 84.06 | 0.67 | 0.213 |
| $\sigma\left(\mathrm{C}_{6}-\mathrm{O}_{14}\right)$ | 1.98878 | $\sigma^{*}\left(\mathrm{C}_{19}-\mathrm{H}_{23}\right)$ | 0.01263 | 53.35 | 1.56 | 0.257 |
| $\pi\left(\mathrm{C}_{12}-\mathrm{C}_{16}\right)$ | 1.68188 | $\pi *\left(\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{C}_{18}\right)$ | 0.34852 | 65.56 | 0.04 | 0.051 |
| $\sigma\left(\mathrm{C}_{13}-\mathrm{C}_{19}\right)$ | 1.97340 | $\sigma^{*}\left(\mathrm{~N}_{11}-\mathrm{C}_{12}\right)$ | 0.02442 | 39.46 | 0.93 | 0.071 |
| $\sigma\left(\mathrm{C}_{13}-\mathrm{C}_{19}\right)$ | 1.97340 | $\sigma^{*}\left(\mathrm{C}_{13}-\mathrm{C}_{19}\right)$ | 0.01904 | 160.70 | 0.90 | 0.340 |
| $\sigma\left(\mathrm{C}_{13}-\mathrm{C}_{19}\right)$ | 1.97340 | $\sigma^{*}\left(\mathrm{C}_{17}-\mathrm{C}_{18}\right)$ | 0.01620 | 292.91 | 0.54 | 0.355 |
| $\sigma\left(\mathrm{C}_{13}-\mathrm{C}_{19}\right)$ | 1.97340 | $\pi *\left(\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{C}_{18}\right)$ | 0.34852 | 129.11 | 2.10 | 0.507 |
| $\sigma\left(\mathrm{C}_{13}-\mathrm{C}_{19}\right)$ | 1.97340 | $\sigma^{*}\left(\mathrm{C}_{19}-\mathrm{H}_{23}\right)$ | 0.01263 | 119.20 | 1.42 | 0.369 |
| $\sigma\left(\mathrm{C}_{18}-\mathrm{C}_{19}\right)$ | 1.97303 | $\sigma *\left(\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{C}_{19}\right)$ | 0.01904 | 115.13 | 0.89 | 0.286 |
| $\sigma\left(\mathrm{C}_{18}-\mathrm{C}_{19}\right)$ | 1.97303 | $\sigma^{*}\left(\mathrm{C}_{17}{ }^{-\mathrm{C}_{18}}\right)$ | 0.01620 | 235.03 | 0.53 | 0.316 |
| $\sigma\left(\mathrm{C}_{18}-\mathrm{C}_{19}\right)$ | 1.97303 | $\pi *\left(\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{C}_{18}\right)$ | 0.34852 | 129.45 | 2.09 | 0.507 |
| $\sigma\left(\mathrm{C}_{18}-\mathrm{C}_{19}\right)$ | 1.97303 | $\sigma^{*}\left(\mathrm{C}_{19}-\mathrm{H}_{23}\right)$ | 0.01263 | 298.88 | 1.41 | 0.582 |
| $\sigma\left(\mathrm{C}_{19}-\mathrm{H}_{23}\right)$ | 1.97635 | $\sigma^{*}\left(\mathrm{~N}_{11}-\mathrm{C}_{12}\right)$ | 0.02442 | 160.35 | 0.26 | 0.181 |
| $\sigma\left(\mathrm{C}_{19}-\mathrm{H}_{23}\right)$ | 1.97635 | $\sigma^{*}\left(\mathrm{C}_{13}-\mathrm{C}_{19}\right)$ | 0.01904 | 260.02 | 0.22 | 0.214 |
| $\sigma\left(\mathrm{C}_{19}-\mathrm{H}_{23}\right)$ | 1.97635 | $\sigma^{*}\left(\mathrm{C}_{19}-\mathrm{H}_{23}\right)$ | 0.01263 | 202.11 | 0.74 | 0.347 |
| LP(1) $\mathrm{N}_{11}$ | 1.74780 | $\pi^{*}\left(\mathrm{C}_{12}-\mathrm{C}_{16}\right)$ | 0.40259 | 34.17 | 0.32 | 0.097 |
| LP(1) $\mathrm{N}_{11}$ | 1.74780 | $\sigma *\left(\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{C}_{19}\right)$ | 0.01904 | 25.44 | 0.44 | 0.100 |
| LP(1) $\mathrm{N}_{11}$ | 1.74780 | $\sigma^{*}\left(\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{C}_{18}\right)$ | 0.01620 | 343.83 | 0.08 | 0.154 |
| LP(1) $\mathrm{N}_{11}$ | 1.74780 | $\sigma^{*}\left(\mathrm{C}_{19}-\mathrm{H}_{23}\right)$ | 0.01263 | 50.45 | 0.96 | 0.209 |
| LP(1) $\mathrm{O}_{14}$ | 1.96311 | $\pi^{*}\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{6}\right)$ | 0.36848 | 22.70 | 0.35 | 0.084 |

from $\sigma\left(\mathrm{C}_{13}-\mathrm{C}_{19}\right)$ to the antibonding $\sigma^{*}\left(\mathrm{C}_{17}-\mathrm{C}_{18}\right)$ resulting stabilization of $298.88,292.91 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. The most important interaction $\left(n-\pi^{*}\right)$ and $\left(n-\sigma^{*}\right)$ energies of lone pair $\mathrm{O}_{14}$ to $\pi^{*}\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}-\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6}\right)$ and lone pair $\mathrm{N}_{11} \sigma^{*}\left(\mathrm{C}_{17}-\mathrm{C}_{18}\right)$ are 22.70 and $343.83 \mathrm{kcal} /$ mol, respectively. This larger $\mathrm{E}(2)$ value reveals the strong ICT interactions of this molecule. The interaction between the bonding and antibonding orbitals are observed as an increase in (electron density) electron density of C-C orbital that weakens the respective bonds. There arise a strong intramolecular hyperconjugative interaction of $\mathrm{C}_{12}-\mathrm{C}_{16}$ from $\mathrm{N}_{11}$ of LP1 $\left(\mathrm{N}_{11}\right)-\pi^{*}$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{12}-\mathrm{C}_{16}\right)$ which increases electron density $(0.40259 \mathrm{e})$ that weakens the respective bonds $\mathrm{C}_{12}-\mathrm{C}_{16}$ leading to stabilization of $34.17 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. The strong intramolecular hyperconjugative interaction of lone pair $\mathrm{N}_{11}$ to anti bonding $\sigma^{*}\left(\mathrm{C}_{19}-\mathrm{H}_{23}\right)$ atoms increases electron density ( 0.01263 e ) that weakens the respective bond $\mathrm{C}_{19}-\mathrm{H}_{23}$ leading to stabilization of $50.45 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ of the system. The above higher energy $\mathrm{E}(2)$ value for the bonding and antibonding interaction of the title molecule tend to system stabilization.

HOMO-LUMO analysis: To demonstrate some type of interaction and find out the highest reactive part of conjugated molecules, MOs and their properties are used [28]. HOMO and LUMO are the most important orbital in a molecule. Highest occupied molecular orbital containing electrons in an outer orbital tend to act as an electron donor, thus the ionization potential directly connected with the energy of HOMO. In other side, lowest unoccupied MOs ready to accept an electron and the energy of LUMO is directly associated with electron affinity of the molecule [29]. HOMO and LUMO type of molecular orbital in 10HXZ molecule are plotted in Fig. 4. In the 10 HXZ molecule, the $\pi$ nature of HOMO is delocalized over the phenyl rings and oxazine ring except phenyl rings hydrogen


Fig. 4. HOMO-LUMO plot of 10HXZ molecule on B3LYP/6-311G(d,p) basis set
atom. From the molecular orbitals plot LUMO is located over the entire structure except oxazine ring oxygen and NH group. Oxazine ring nitrogen and oxygen atom involve charge transfer interaction within the molecule in both HOMO and LUMO
state, which suggest oxazine ring is the active site of the title molecule. Using HOMO and LUMO orbital energies, the ionization energy and electron affinity can be expressed as: I $=-\mathrm{E}_{\text {номо }}, \mathrm{A}=-\mathrm{E}_{\text {LUмо }}, \eta=\left(-\mathrm{E}_{\text {Номо }}+\mathrm{E}_{\text {LUмо }}\right) / 2$ and $\mu=1 / 2$ ( $\mathrm{E}_{\text {LUмо }}$ $+\mathrm{E}_{\text {номо) }}$ [30]. The global electrophilicity power of a molecule as $\omega=\mu^{2} / 2 \eta$ is initiated by Parr et al. [31]. The stabilization in energy may measured by the electrophilicity index when the molecule acquires an additional electronic charge from the environment. The ability of an electrophile and the resistance of the system are related to the electrophilicity index of the molecule. It contains information about chemical potential and stability (hardness) of the system. The hardness $(\eta)$ and chemical potential $(\mu)$ are given the following relations $\eta=(I-A) / 2$ and $\mu=-(\mathrm{I}+\mathrm{A}) / 2$, where I and A are the first ionization potential and electron affinity of the chemical species [32]. For the title compound, $\mathrm{E}_{\text {Номо }}=-5.039 \mathrm{eV}, \mathrm{E}_{\text {LUмо }}=-0.517 \mathrm{eV}$, Energy gap $=\mathrm{E}_{\text {номо }}-\mathrm{E}_{\text {LUмо }}=4.522 \mathrm{eV}$, Ionization potential $\mathrm{I}=5.039 \mathrm{eV}$, Electron affinity A $=0.517 \mathrm{eV}$, global hardness $\eta=2.261 \mathrm{eV}$, chemical potential $\mu=-2.778 \mathrm{eV}$, global electrophilicity $=\omega=$ $\mu^{2} / 2 \eta=1.7066 \mathrm{eV}$. Based on HOMO and LUMO energies the global reactive descriptors are listed in Table-4. The negative value of chemical potential reveals the stability of 10 HXZ molecule.

\left.| TABLE-4 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| GLOBAL REACTIVE DESCRIPTORS OF 10HXZ |  |  |$\right]$

Natural population analysis: Natural population analysis provides an effective method to calculate atomic charges and electron distribution within a molecule [33]. The net atomic charges of the 10 HXZ molecule obtained by natural population analysis and distribution of natural charges are plotted in Fig. 5. The natural load values of 10 HXZ molecule are presented in Table-5. All hydrogen atoms in 10 HXZ molecule have positive charge only. Hydrogen atom $\mathrm{H}_{15}(0.3868 \mathrm{e})$ have highest positive charge due to their attachment of heavy electronegative nitrogen atom $\mathrm{N}_{11}(-0.5906 \mathrm{e})$ which shows highest negative charge and these highest positive and negative natural charges indicating charge delocalization in the molecule. The positive charges are localized on the hydrogen atoms where the charge noticed on the $\mathrm{H}_{7}, \mathrm{H}_{13}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{15}$ are larger compared to other hydrogen by in natural charges involving hydrogen bonding. The charges at the sites of the C atoms attached to the O atoms $\mathrm{C}_{6}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{13}$ are more positive than other carbon atoms due to the presence of the electron-withdrawing nature of the O atom.


Fig. 5. Natural charges of 10HXZ molecule

| TABLE-5 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CALCULATED NATURAL CHARGE OF 10HXZ <br> MOLECULE B3LYP/6-311G $(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p})$ |  |  |  |  |
| Atoms BASIS SET |  |  |  |  |

Natural charges of all carbon atoms are except $\mathrm{C}_{5}, \mathrm{C}_{6}, \mathrm{C}_{12}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{13}$ due to their attachment with electronegative nitrogen or oxygen atoms.

Fukui function: Fukui indices are, in short, reactivity indices provide information concerning that atoms during a molecule have a large tendency to either loose or accept an electron, which we tend to chemist interpret as that are a lot of susceptible to endure a nucleophilic or an electrophilic attack, respectively. The Fukui function is defined as [34].

$$
\mathrm{F}=\left(\frac{\delta \rho(\mathrm{r})}{\delta \mathrm{N}}\right) \mathrm{r}
$$

where $\rho(r)$ is the electron density, $N$ is the number of electrons, $r$ is that the external potential. The Fukui function is a local reactivity descriptor which gives the preferred regions where a chemical species will change its density when the number of electrons is modified. Hence, Fukui function indicates the propensity of the electronic density to deform at a given position upon accepting or donating electrons $[35,36]$ and the corresponding condensed or atomic Fukui functions on the $j^{\text {th }}$ atom site are given as:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{j}}^{+}=\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{j}}(\mathrm{~N}+1)-\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{j}}(\mathrm{~N}) \\
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{j}}^{-}=\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{j}}(\mathrm{~N})-\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{j}}(\mathrm{~N}-1) \\
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{j}}^{0}=1 / 2\left[\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{j}}(\mathrm{~N}+1)-\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{j}}(\mathrm{~N}-1)\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

The electrophilic, nucleophilic and free radical on the reference molecule denoted by the symbol $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{j}}^{-}, \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{j}}^{+}, \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{j}}{ }^{0}$ respectively. In the above equations, $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{j}}$ is that the atomic charge at the $\mathrm{j}^{\text {th }}$ atomic site is the neutral ( N ), anionic $(\mathrm{N}+1)$ or $(\mathrm{N}-1)$ chemical species. Chattaraj et al. [36] have introduced the thought of generalized philicity.

It contains the majority info concerning hitherto well-known completely different global and local reactivity and selectivity descriptor, in additionally to the data concerning electrophilic/ nucleophilic power of a given atomic site during a molecule. Morell et al. [37] have recently planned a dual descriptor $\Delta \mathrm{F}(\mathrm{r})$, which is defined as the difference between the nucleophilic and electrophilic Fukui function and is given by the equation:

$$
\Delta \mathrm{F}(\mathrm{r})=\left[\mathrm{F}^{+}(\mathrm{r})-\mathrm{F}^{-}(\mathrm{r})\right]
$$

The site is favoured for a nucleophilic attack whereas dual descriptor $\Delta \mathrm{F}(\mathrm{r})>0$ and the site is favoured for an electrophilic attack whereas dual descriptor $\Delta \mathrm{F}(\mathrm{r})<0$. According to dual descriptor $\Delta \mathrm{F}(\mathrm{r})$ give a transparent distinction between nucleophilic and electrophilic attack at a particular site with their sign. That is they provide positive value prone for electrophilic attack. Dual descriptor values are reported in Table-6, according to the condition for dual descriptor, nucleophilic site for in our 10 HXZ molecule is $\mathrm{C}_{2}, \mathrm{C}_{3}, \mathrm{C}_{5}, \mathrm{C}_{6}, \mathrm{~N}_{11}, \mathrm{C}_{12}, \mathrm{C}_{13}, \mathrm{O}_{14}, \mathrm{C}_{17}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{18}$ are positive values i.e. $\Delta \mathrm{F}(\mathrm{r})>0$. Similarly the electrophilic site is $\mathrm{C}_{1}, \mathrm{C}_{4}, \mathrm{H}_{7}, \mathrm{H}_{8}, \mathrm{H}_{9}, \mathrm{H}_{10}, \mathrm{H}_{15}, \mathrm{C}_{16}, \mathrm{C}_{19}, \mathrm{H}_{20}, \mathrm{H}_{21}, \mathrm{H}_{22}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{23}$ are negative values i.e. $\Delta \mathrm{F}(\mathrm{r})<0$. The behaviour of molecule as electrophilic and nucleophilic attack throughout reaction depends on the local behaviour of molecule.

Molecular electrostatic potential: Using molecular electrostatic potential maps, the charge distribution of the molecules
can be explained and charge distribution is important since they determine how molecules interact with one another as they point out the reactive sites in the molecule [38,39]. The dissimilar values of the MEP surface represented by different colours in the arrangement such as red surface represents the most electro negative electrostatic potential, blue surface represents the most positive electrostatic potential and green surface represent the zero potential. Potential increases in the order red $>$ orange $>$ yellow $>$ green $>$ blue [40]. In the MEP plot, higher electrostatic potential energy (blue) indicates stronger positive charge which in turn gives the relative absences of electrons and low electrostatic potential (red) indicates the abundance of electrons [41].

In order to visually take into account the most likely sites of the title compound for interaction with nucleophilic and electrophilic species, the MEP was calculated at the optimized geometry level of B3LYP/6-311 G (d,p) and the MEP image shown in Fig. 6. The electrophilic reactivities are visualized in orange colour, indicating the negative regions of the molecule and the regions of the nucleophilic reactivity are coloured in blue, indicating the positive regions of the molecule, as shown in Fig. 6. In this study, the negative potential of the region exceeds the oxazine ring oxygen atom and the positive potential of the region exceeds the phenyl ring hydrogens and oxazine ring NH group. The cloud charge transfer occurs between the range of $-5.586 \mathrm{e}^{-2}$ and $5.586 \mathrm{e}^{-2}$.

NMR analysis: The observed ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of the 10HXZ molecule in methanol solvent are shown in Figs. 7 and 8. For 10HXZ molecule, the calculated and experimental values of ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR chemical shifts are listed in Table-7. The B3LYP/GIAO model calculated the absolute isotropic

TABLE-6
USING MULLIKEN POPULATION ANALYSIS: FUKUI FUNCTIONS ( $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{i}}+, \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{i}}-, \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{i}} 0, \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{r})$ ) FOR ATOMS OF 10HXZ MOLECULE AT B3LYP/6-311G (d,p) METHODS

| Atoms | Mulliken atomic charges |  |  | Fukui functions |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\mathrm{q}_{(\mathbb{N}+1)}$ | $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{N} 0}$ | $\mathrm{q}_{(\mathrm{N}-1)}$ | $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{j}}+$ | $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{j}}-$ | $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{j}} 0$ | $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{r})$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ | -0.062520 | -0.092020 | -1.119410 | 0.029498 | 1.027396 | 0.528447 | -0.997900 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | -0.240360 | -0.274890 | 0.189492 | 0.034535 | -0.464380 | -0.214920 | 0.498918 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ | -0.287650 | -0.304000 | 1.349664 | 0.016344 | -1.653660 | -0.818660 | 1.670003 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ | -0.157060 | -0.205180 | -0.423580 | 0.048123 | 0.218403 | 0.133263 | -0.170280 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ | 0.226063 | 0.205176 | 1.099578 | 0.020887 | -0.894400 | -0.436760 | 0.915289 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{6}$ | -0.027890 | -0.022840 | 0.194016 | -0.005050 | -0.216850 | -0.110950 | 0.211798 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{7}$ | 0.235783 | 0.184056 | 0.073812 | 0.051727 | 0.110244 | 0.080986 | -0.058520 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{8}$ | 0.223082 | 0.158684 | -0.164170 | 0.064398 | 0.322855 | 0.193627 | -0.258460 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{9}$ | 0.221382 | 0.159874 | -0.337920 | 0.061508 | 0.497791 | 0.279650 | -0.436280 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{10}$ | 0.191297 | 0.138304 | -1.204210 | 0.052993 | 1.342517 | 0.697755 | -1.289520 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{11}$ | 0.078890 | -0.024230 | -0.115110 | 0.103123 | 0.090874 | 0.096999 | 0.012249 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{12}$ | 0.226063 | 0.205176 | 1.099578 | 0.020887 | -0.894400 | -0.436760 | 0.915289 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{13}$ | -0.027890 | -0.022840 | 0.194016 | -0.005050 | -0.216850 | -0.110950 | 0.211798 |
| $\mathrm{O}_{14}$ | -0.033640 | -0.127740 | -0.131030 | 0.094101 | 0.003290 | 0.048696 | 0.090811 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{15}$ | 0.310479 | 0.257621 | -0.068390 | 0.052858 | 0.326015 | 0.189437 | -0.273160 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{16}$ | -0.157060 | -0.205180 | -0.423580 | 0.048123 | 0.218403 | 0.133263 | -0.170280 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{17}$ | -0.287650 | -0.304000 | 1.349664 | 0.016344 | -1.653660 | -0.818660 | 1.670003 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{18}$ | -0.240360 | -0.274890 | 0.189492 | 0.034535 | -0.464380 | -0.214920 | 0.498918 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{19}$ | -0.062520 | -0.092020 | -1.119410 | 0.029498 | 1.027396 | 0.528447 | -0.997900 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{20}$ | 0.191297 | 0.138304 | -1.204210 | 0.052993 | 1.342517 | 0.697755 | -1.289520 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{21}$ | 0.221382 | 0.159874 | -0.337920 | 0.061508 | 0.497791 | 0.279650 | -0.436280 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{22}$ | 0.223082 | 0.158684 | -0.164170 | 0.064398 | 0.322855 | 0.193627 | -0.258460 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{23}$ | 0.235783 | 0.184056 | 0.073812 | 0.051727 | 0.110244 | 0.080986 | -0.058520 |



Fig. 6. Molecular electrostatic plot (MEP) of 10HXZ molecule


Fig. 8. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of 10 HXZ molecule
chemical shielding of 10 HXZ molecule. Relative chemical shifts were then estimated using the corresponding TMS shielding: $\sigma_{\text {calc }}$ (TMS) is calculated in advance at the same theoretical level. Numerical values of chemical shift $\delta_{\text {pred }}=\sigma_{\text {calc }}($ TMS $)$ - $\sigma_{\text {calc }}$ together with calculated values of $\sigma_{\text {calc }}$ (TMS), are given in Table-7.

| TABLE-7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CALCULATED AND OBSERVED ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \&{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR SHIFT OF |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10HXZ MOLECULE ON B3LYP/6-311G $(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p})$ BASIS SET |  |  |  |  |  |
| Atoms | Theor. | Exp. | Atoms | Theor. | Exp. |
| $\mathrm{H}_{7}$ | 6.96 | 6.57 | $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ | 117.266 | 114.792 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{8}$ | 6.96 | 6.57 | $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | 122.770 | 120.108 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{9}$ | 7.12 | 6.71 | $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ | 126.506 | 123.313 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{10}$ | 6.66 | 6.42 | $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ | 114.231 | 112.842 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{15}$ | 4.64 | 4.91 | $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ | 135.069 | 132.631 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{20}$ | 6.66 | 6.41 | $\mathrm{C}_{6}$ | 147.546 | 142.443 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{21}$ | 7.12 | 6.71 | $\mathrm{C}_{12}$ | 135.069 | 132.631 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{22}$ | 6.96 | 6.57 | $\mathrm{C}_{13}$ | 147.546 | 142.443 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{23}$ | 6.96 | 6.57 | $\mathrm{C}_{16}$ | 114.231 | 112.842 |
| - | - | - | $\mathrm{C}_{17}$ | 126.506 | 123.313 |
| - | - | - | $\mathrm{C}_{18}$ | 122.770 | 120.108 |
| - | - | - | $\mathrm{C}_{19}$ | 117.266 | 114.792 |

The protons chemical shift occurs with a range of 6.5-8.0 ppm, which usually indicates benzene ring protons. A collection of signals obtained in low field region at 6.41-6.71 ppm corresponds to aromatic protons of 10HXZ moieties and these values are in harmony with the theoretical results. The protons chemical shift occurs with a range of $3.0-5.0 \mathrm{ppm}$, which usually indicates aromatic NH protons. The singlet peaks at 4.91 ppm are attributed to NH group and the corresponding computed value is 4.64 ppm .

In the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, the aromatic carbon signals fall in overlapped areas of the spectrum with the chemical shifts ranging from 100 to 160 ppm in organic molecules [42]. The aromatic carbons in 10HXZ molecule appeared in the deshielded region from 112.842 to 142.443 ppm are in accordance with the calculated results which is 114.231 to 147.546 ppm . The carbon atom $\mathrm{C}_{6}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{13}$ appear highest ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR signals as oxazine ring oxygen atom present in between these two carbon atoms.

Molecular docking: Antimicrobial activity of 10HXZ molecule was determined by the help of molecular docking analysis. For the molecular docking studies of 10HXZ molecule, various microbial active PDBs were selected from protein data bank (RCSB) website. For the identification of title compound antimicrobial activity high resolution three dimension (bactericides and fungicides) PDBs are selected such as 2W7Q, 2X75, 5LM8, 1NMT. The selected proteins are docked with the ligand the following steps are processed. By removing cocrystallized ligands and water molecules, the protein was prepared for docking. The graphical user interface of Auto Dock Tools (ADT) was used to prepare the receptor using a predefined script (add hydrogen, calculate Kolmann charges, save as a PDBQT file). The ligand was prepared for docking by minimizing its energy at the theory level of B3LYP/6-311 G ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p}$ ) and using the ADT script, the ligand was converted to PDBQT. The search area (docking grid box) covered the active site of the enzyme. The size of the box covered the entire active cavity. All calculations of molecular docking were carried out on software Auto Dock-Vina [43]. The low energy binding values indicate the highest affinity of the ligand protein complex. In the present docking analysis, the 10HXZ molecule exhibits significant activity with protein 1NMT, with a binding energy of $-6.98 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, indicating that 10 HXZ molecule could exhibit significant antimicrobial activity against different species
by targeting protein 1NMT. The 10HXZ molecule shares one hydrogen bond with 1NMT protein target as shown in Fig. 9. The hydrogen bond interactions were formed with the residue ARG 423 with the bond distance 2.09 Å. Amino acid ARG 423 associate Hydrogen bond with oxazine ring oxygen atom. The 10HXZ molecule also exhibits significant activity with protein 5LM8 with a binding energy of $-6.34 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ and share two hydrogen bonds with the target protein 5LM8, which is PRO 415 and ASN 568. Amino acids PRO 415, ASN 568 associate Hydrogen bond with oxazine ring oxygen and hydrogen atom. The protein ligand interactions of the title compound 10 HXZ molecule with different target proteins are presented in Table-8.


Fig. 9. 10HXZ molecule interact with protein 1NMT
TABLE-8
PROTEIN-LIGAND INTERACTION OF 10HXZ MOLECULE WITH DIFFERENT TARGET PROTEINS

| Protein <br> (PDB:ID) | Binding <br> residue | H-bond <br> distance | Incubation <br> constant <br> $(\mu \mathrm{m})$ | Binding <br> affinity <br> $(\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 w 7 q | ASN 75 | 2.55 | 45.24 | -5.93 |
| $2 \times 75$ | VAL 98 | 2.55 | 51.35 | -5.85 |
| $5 \operatorname{lm} 8$ | PRO 415 | 2.11 | 22.61 | -6.34 |
|  | ASN 538 | 2.62 |  |  |
| 1 nmt | ARG 423 | 2.09 | 7.63 | -6.98 |

## Conclusion

$10 H$-dibenzo $[b, e][2,4]$ oxazine (10HXZ) molecule has been characterized by combined experimental and theoretical quantum chemical calculations using B3LYP/6-311G(d,p) basis set. By comparing calculated chemical shifts and wavenumbers values with experimental value, which show both results almost agreed with each other. NBO analysis indicates the various types of interactions within molecule. The strong intramolecular charge transfer interactions observed between lonepair nitrogen $\mathrm{N}_{11}$ to antibonding $\sigma^{*}\left(\mathrm{C}_{17}-\mathrm{C}_{18}\right)$ atoms which leads to the stabalization of $343.83 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ of the system. The chemical shifts were compared with experimental data in methonal solution, showing a very good agreement both for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \&{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR. All the theoretical results show good correspondence with experimental data. In addition, theoretical results from local reactivity descriptors show that the most electrophilic sites are phenyl ring hydrogen $\mathrm{H}_{10}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{20}$ whereas the most nucleophilic site is $\mathrm{N}_{11}$. The local reactivity descriptors analyses have been used to determine the reactive sites within molecule.

Frontier orbital analysis reveals charge transfer interaction takes place within the molecule and the stability of 10 HXZ molecule. Docking studies confirms antimicrobial activity of title compound. The above work gives complete structural information and reactive property of 10 HXZ molecule with the help of spectroscopic studies.

## CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this article.

## REFERENCES

1. O.V. Denisko and A.R. Katritzky, Heterocyclic Compound, Encyclopedia Britannica (1998).
2. Z. Turgut, E. Pelit and A. Köycü, Molecules, 12, 345 (2007); https://doi.org/10.3390/12030345
3. D.S. Zinad, A. Mahal, R.K. Mohapatra, A.K. Sarangi and M.R.F. Pratama, Curr. Biol. Drug Des., 95, 16 (2020); https://doi.org/10.1111/cbdd. 13633
4. M.J. Frisch, G.W. Trucks, H.B. Schlegel, G.E. Scuseria, M.A. Robb, J.R. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, B. Mennucci, G.A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, M. Caricato, X. Li, H.P. Hratchian, A.F. Izmaylov, J. Bloino, G. Zheng, J.L. Sonnenberg, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, T. Vreven, J.A. Montgomery Jr., J.E. Peralta, F. Ogliaro, M. Bearpark, J.J. Heyd, E. Brothers, K.N. Kudin, V.N. Staroverov, T. Keith, R. Kobayashi, J. Normand, K. Raghavachari, A. Rendell, J.C. Burant, S.S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, M. Cossi, N. Rega, J.M. Millam, M. Klene, J.E. Knox, J.B. Cross, V. Bakken, C. Adamo, J. Jaramillo, R. Gomperts, R.E. Stratmann, O. Yazyev, A.J. Austin, R. Cammi, C. Pomelli, J.W. Ochterski, R.L. Martin, K. Morokuma, V.G. Zakrzewski, G.A. Voth, P. Salvador, J.J. Dannenberg, S. Dapprich, A.D. Daniels, O. Farkas, J.B. Foresman, J.V. Ortiz, J. Cioslowski and D.J. Fox, Gaussian 09, Revision C.02, Gaussian Inc., Wallingford CT (2010).
5. T. Sundius, Vib. Spectrosc., 29, 89 (2002); https://doi.org/10.1016/S0924-2031(01)00189-8
6. H. Yoshida, K. Takeda, J. Okamura, A. Ehara and H. Matsuura, J. Phys. Chem. A, 106, 3580 (2002); https://doi.org/10.1021/jp013084m
7. E.D. Glendening, A.E. Reed, J.E. Carpenter and F. Weinhold, NBO Version 3.1, Theoretical Chemistry Institute and Department of Chemistry, University of Wisconsin, Madison (1998).
https://nbo.chem.wisc.edu/nboman.pdf
8. R. Ditchfield, J. Chem. Phys., 56, 5688 (1972); https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1677088
9. G.M. Morris, R. Huey, W. Lindstrom, M.F. Sanner, R.K. Belew, D.S. Goodsell and A.J. Olson, J. Comput. Chem., 30, 2785 (2009); https://doi.org/10.1002/jcc. 21256
10. R.M. Pearson, C.-H. Lim, B.G. McCarthy, C.B. Musgrave and G.M. Miyake, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 138, 11399 (2016); https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.6b08068
11. R.F. Jin, K. Yu, S.Y. Yang and R.B. Huang, Acta Crystallogr. Sect. E Struct. Rep. Online, 66, 03267 (2010); https://doi.org/10.1107/S1600536810047914
12. S.M. Bakalova, A. Gil Santos, I. Timcheva, J. Kaneti, I.L. Filipova, G.M. Dobrikov and V.D. Dimitrov, J. Mol. Struct. THEOCHEM, 710, 229 (2004); https://doi.org/10.1016/j.theochem.2004.07.037
13. A. Dandia, P. Sarawgi, M.B. Hursthouse, A.L. Bingham, M.E. Light, J.E. Drake and R. Ratnani, J. Chem. Res., 2006, 445 (2006); https://doi.org/10.3184/030823406777980709
14. R.M. Silverstein, G.C. Bassler and T.C. Morril, Spectrometric Identification of Organic Compounds, John Wiley \& Sons Inc., Singapore, edn 5 (1991).
15. N.B. Colthup, L.H. Daly and S.E. Wiberly, Introduction of Infrared and Raman Spectroscopy, Academic Press: New York (1975).
16. L.J. Bellamy, The Infrared Spectrum of Complex Molecules, Chapman \& Hall: London, edn 3 (1975).
17. J. Coates, ed.: R.A. Meyers, Interpretation of Infrared Spectra, A Practical Approach, John Wiley \& Sons Inc., Chichester (2000).
18. D. Sajan, J. Binoy, B. Pradeep, K. Venkata Krishna, V.B. Kartha, I.H. Joe and V.S. Jayakumar, Spectrochim. Acta A Mol. Biomol. Spectrosc., 60, 173 (2004); https://doi.org/10.1016/S1386-1425(03)00193-8
19. S. Kundoo, A.N. Banerjee, P. Saha and K.K. Chattopadhyay, Mater. Lett., 57, 2193 (2003); https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-577X(02)01172-2
20. G. Varsanyi, Assignments of Vibrational Spectra of Seven Hundred Benzene Derivatives, Wiley: New York (1974).
21. M. Kaur, Y.S. Mary, C.Y. Panicker, H.T. Varghese, H.S. Yathirajan, K. Byrappa and C. Van Alsenoy, Spectrochim. Acta, 120, 445 (2014); https://doi.org/10.1016/j.saa.2013.10.032
22. A.J. Abkowicz-Bienko, D.C. Bienko and Z. Latajka, J. Mol. Struct., 552, 165 (2000); https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-2860(00)00476-2
23. A.E. Reed, L.A. Curtiss and F. Weinhold, Chem. Rev., 88, 899 (1988); https://doi.org/10.1021/cr00088a005
24. J.P. Foster and F. Weinhold, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 102, 7211 (1980); https://doi.org/10.1021/ja00544a007
25. F. Weinhold and C.R. Landis, Valency and Bonding: A Natural Bond Orbital Donor-Acceptor Perspective, Cambridge University Press: New York (2005).
26. L. Padmaja, C. Ravikumar, C. James, V.S. Jayakumar and I. Hubert Joe, Spectrochim. Acta A Mol. Biomol. Spectrosc., 71, 252 (2008); https://doi.org/10.1016/j.saa.2007.12.019
27. D.A. Dhas, I.H. Joe, S.D.D. Roy and T.H. Freeda, Spectrochim. Acta A Mol. Biomol. Spectrosc., 77, 36 (2010); https://doi.org/10.1016/j.saa.2010.04.020
28. N. Choudhary, S. Bee, A. Gupta and P. Tandon, Comput. Theor. Chem., 1016, 8 (2013);
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.comptc.2013.04.008
29. B. Kosar and C. Albayrak, Spectrochim. Acta A Mol. Biomol. Spectrosc., 78, 160 (2011); https://doi.org/10.1016/j.saa.2010.09.016
30. T.A. Koopmans, Physica, 1, 104 (1934); https://doi.org/10.1016/S0031-8914(34)90011-2
31. R.J. Parr, L.V. Szentpaly and S. Liu, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 121, 1922 (1999); https://doi.org/10.1021/ja983494x
32. R.G. Parr and R.G. Pearson, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 105, 7512 (1983); https://doi.org/10.1021/ja00364a005
33. A.E. Reed, R.B. Weinstock and F. Weinhold, J. Chem. Phys., 83, 735 (1985); https://doi.org/10.1063/1.449486
34. P.W. Ayers and R.G. Parr, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 122, 2010 (2000); https://doi.org/10.1021/ja9924039
35. R.G. Parr and W. Yang, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 106, 4049 (1984); https://doi.org/10.1021/ja00326a036
36. P.K. Chattaraj, B. Maiti and U. Sarkar, J. Phys. Chem. A, 107, 4973 (2003); https://doi.org/10.1021/jp034707u
37. C. Morell, A. Grand and A. Toro-Labbe, J. Phys. Chem. A, 109, 205 (2005); https://doi.org/10.1021/jp046577a
38. E.Scroco and J. Tomasi, Quantum Chem., 11, 115 (1979); https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-3276(08)60236-1
39. P. Politzer and J.S. Murray, eds.: D.L. Beveridge and R. Lavery, Theoretical Biochemistry and Molecular Biophysics: A Comprehensive Survey, In: Electrostatic Potential Analysis of Dibenzo-p-dioxins and Structurally Similar Systems in Relation to their Biological Activities, Protein, Academic Press: New York, vol. 2, (1991).
40. V.P. Gupta, A. Sharma, V. Virdi and V.J. Ram, Spectrochim. Acta A Mol. Biomol. Spectrosc., 64, 57 (2006); https://doi.org/10.1016/j.saa.2005.06.045
41. A.M. Koster, M. Leboeuf and D.R. Salahub, Theor. Comput. Chem., 3, 105 (1996); https://doi.org/10.1016/S1380-7323(96)80042-2
42. D.L. Pavia, G.M. Lampman, G.S. Kriz and J.R. Vyvyan, Introduction to Spectroscopy, Brooks/Cole: Belmont, USA, edn 4 (2009).
43. O. Trott and A.J. Olson, J. Comput. Chem., 31, 455 (2010); https://doi.org/10.1002/jcc. 21334

[^0]:    This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0) License. This license lets others distribute, remix, tweak, and build upon your work, even commercially, as long as they credit the author for the original creation. You must give appropriate credit, provide a link to the license, and indicate if changes were made.

