



Extraction and Perconcentration of Lead(II) using Octadecyl Bonded Silica Cartridge and Its Determination by Flame Atomic Absorption Spectrometry

ALI MOGHIMI^{1,*}, SHAHIMEH ESFANJANI² and HEFZOLLAH MAZLOOMIFAR²

¹Department of Chemistry, Varamin (Pishva) Branch, Islamic Azad University, Varamin, Iran

²Department of Chemistry, Shahr-Rey Branch, Islamic Azad University, Shahr-Rey, Iran

*Corresponding author: E- mail: alimoghimi@iauvaramin.ac.ir

(Received: 27 August 2011;

Accepted: 12 May 2012)

AJC-11471

A simple method has been developed for the preconcentration of lead(II) based on the adsorption of its 1-(2-pyridyl azo)-2-naphthol complex on a octadecyl bonded silica cartridges. The influence of acidity, eluting agents, stability of the column, sample volume and interfering ions has been investigated. The adsorbed complex could be eluted using environmentally benign 4 M HNO₃ and the concentration of lead(II) was determined by flame atomic absorption spectrometry. A detection limit of 50 µg L⁻¹ could be achieved and the developed procedure was successfully applied for the determination of lead(II) in tap water and waste water samples.

Key Words: Lead(II), Preconcentration, Octadecyl bonded silica cartridges, 1-(2-Pyridyl azo)-2-naphthol.

INTRODUCTION

Lead is one of the most ubiquitous elements in the environment and recognized as a major health risk to humans and animals^{1,2}. Flame atomic absorption spectrometry (FAAS) is a simple technique, commonly used for determination of lead in water³, soil^{4,5} and plant samples⁶. However, the determination of traces of lead by flame atomic absorption spectrometry in such complex matrices is difficult due to its low sensitivity (0.01 µg cm⁻³) and the interfering effects of matrix components. Hence, separation step is frequently necessary to improve the detection limit and sensitivity⁷, which is nowadays preferably done by solid phase extraction due to the several advantages⁸.

Solid phase extraction for lead have been reported using various solid supports such as active carbon⁹, silica gel¹⁰, cellulose¹¹, Amberlite XAD series resins¹²⁻¹⁷, chromosorb resin¹⁸, amborsorb resin¹⁹ and polyurethane foam²⁰. Two methodologies were commonly practiced for solid phase extraction of lead. One was based on chemical reactions either for synthesis of a selective ligand¹⁴ or its covalent coupling to support material^{12,15,16} and other for functionalization of the solid support itself^{10-13,17}. These methods were somewhat tedious and time-consuming.

A relatively simple alternative for preparation of the solid phase is based upon the impregnation of reagents on solid supports. Interesting studies using acidic organophosphorous extractant such as DEHPA [di-(2- ethylhexyl)phosphoric acid],

cyanex272 [*bis*(2,4,4-trimethyl pentyl)phosphinic acid], cyanex302 (*bis*(2,4,4-trimethyl pentyl)monothio phosphinic acid), cyanex301 (*bis*(2,4,4-trimethyl pentyl)dithio phosphinic acid) and PC-88A or IONOQUEST 801 (2-ethylhexyl hydrogen 2-ethylhexyl phosphonate) impregnated on a solid support have been reported²¹⁻²⁹. Mechanism and kinetics of the sorption of cadmium(II) with cyanex302²³ and cyanex301²⁴ was undertaken. The extent of impregnation of cyanex302²⁵ and PC-88A26 on different Amberlite XAD series resins was found to influence the extractability of cadmium(II) and lead(II)²⁵ and ytterbium(III), terbium(III), samarium(III) and lanthanum(III)²⁶. Selective separation of iron(III), cadmium(II) and nickel(II) was achieved using cyanex272 and cyanex302 impregnated on Amberlite XAD-2 by controlling aqueous phase pH²⁷. While the decontamination of ground water for some heavy metals was possible due to the high sorption capacity of cyanex302 impregnated on amberlite IRA-96²⁸. Extraction of lead with DEHPA, cyanex272 and IONOQUEST 801 impregnated on amberlite XAD-7 was influenced by the pH of aqueous phase and type of extractant²⁹. No attempts have been made for selective separation and concentration of lead to facilitate its determination from complex matrices using 1-nitroso-2-naphthol-3,6-disulfonic acid impregnated on C₁₈ disk.

Solid phase extraction methods using columns with a narrow internal diameter limit the usable flow rates to a range of 1-10 cm³ min⁻¹ resulting in long time for enrichment from large sample volumes. While C₁₈ disks enable much higher flow rates^{5,30-38}.

This work preconcentration of Pb^{2+} based on the adsorption of its 1-(2-pyridyl azo)-2-naphthol (PAN) (Fig. 1) complex on an octadecyl bonded silica cartridges. The adsorbed complex could be eluted using environmentally and the concentration of Pb^{2+} was determined by flame atomic absorption spectrometry. The influence of various experimental parameters such as acidity, sample volume, flow rate, diverse ions, *etc.* was examined in detail. The validity of the proposed method was tested in tap water samples and waste water.




Fig. 1. Molecular structure of 1-(2-pyridyl azo)-2-naphthol (PAN)

EXPERIMENTAL

Determination of Pb^{2+} contents in working samples were carried out by a PG-990 flame atomic absorption spectrometer equipped with a high intensity hollow cathode lamp (HI-HCL) according to the recommendations of the manufacturers. These characteristics are tabulated in (Table-1). The pH measurements were carried out by a pH meter (sartorius model PB-11).

TABLE-1
OPERATIONAL CONDITIONS OF FLAME FOR
DETERMINATION OF LEAD

Slit width	0.7 nm
Operation current of HI-HCL	5.0 mA
Resonance fine	283.3 nm
Type of background correction	Deuterium lamp
Type of flame	Air/acetylene
Air flow	7.0 mL min ⁻¹
Acetylene flow	1.7 mL min ⁻¹

1-(2-Pyridyl azo)-2-naphthol (PAN) and sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) was of analytical grade from E. Merck, D-6100 Darmstadt, F.R. Germany. The solutions were prepared using analytical grade reagents. Triple distilled and deionized water was used for the preparation of solutions. A stock solution of 1000 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ $Pb(II)$ was prepared by dissolving 0.160 g of lead(II) nitrate (Qualigens Fine Chemicals, Mumbai, India) in 100 mL water. A working solution of 10 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ was prepared by suitable dilution. About 0.01 g of 1-(2-pyridyl azo)-2-naphthol(PAN) was dissolved in minimum amount of 4 M HNO_3 of deionized water. Neutral octadecyl bonded silica cartridges (Himedia Research Laboratories, Mumbai, India) of particle size 150 mesh was used as the adsorbent. Sulfuric acid (Qualigens Fine Chemicals, Mumbai, India) of concentration 1 mol L^{-1} was prepared by diluting 55.5 mL of concentrated sulfuric acid with 1 L of de-ionized water. Water samples (Pilani, India) were collected, acidified and stored in polythene bottles.

Preparation of octadecyl bonded silica cartridge: A glass column 1.5 cm in diameter and 15 cm in length was used for the preconcentration of lead(II). About 5 g of octadecyl

bonded silica cartridge was mixed with 25 mL of 1 M HCl to form slurry and then loaded on to the column. Cotton was placed at the bottom for allowing octadecyl bonded silica cartridge to settle properly. The column was packed up to a height of 3 cm.

Procedure for preconcentration: A 50mg SDS and 30 mg 1-(2-pyridyl azo)-2-naphthol (PAN) of 50 mL was loaded on to the column of neutral octadecyl bonded silica cartridge maintaining a flow rate of 5 mL min⁻¹. Then 250 mL volume of 10 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ $Pb(II)$ solution was loaded on to the column. The sample solution was loaded on to the column of neutral octadecyl bonded silica cartridge maintaining a flow rate of 0.5 mL min⁻¹. The complex was adsorbed as a narrow band on the top of the column. The adsorbed complex was eluted using 10 mL of HNO_3 4 M at a flow rate of 0.5 mL min⁻¹ and the concentration of lead(II) was determined by flame atomic absorption spectrometry.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Effect of acidity: The effect of acidity plays a significant role in the preconcentration studies. The volume of 0.5 mol L^{-1} sulfuric acid was varied from 1 to 6 mL in 100 mL sample volume. Quantitative recovery (> 97 %) was obtained in the range 3.5-6.0 mL of 0.5 mol L^{-1} sulfuric acid. Beyond 6 mL, there was no change in the recovery of lead(II).

Choice of the eluent: A variety of reagents were tested in order to elute the adsorbed complex from the column. In order to choose the most effective eluent for the quantitative recovery of lead(II), methanol, ethanol, acetone, 1 M HCl, 3 M HCl, 1 M H_3PO_4 and acidified methanol (acidified solvents obtained by addition of HNO_3 4 M), were studied. The adsorption studies were carried out maintaining an overall $Pb(II)$ concentration of 10 μg in 100 mL sample volume. The recovery of lead(II) was found to be quantitative with ethanol and 4 M HNO_3 as eluting agents. However, 4 M HNO_3 was preferred owing to its non-inflammability and less toxicity^{16,17}. It was observed that when the ratio of 4 M HNO_3 a recovery of 99.7 % could be attained.

Effect of sample volume: The effect of sample volume on the recovery of the analyte was investigated in the range 100-1500 mL maintaining an overall concentration of 0.025 mol L^{-1} sulfuric acid. The resulting complex was eluted using 10 mL of 4 M HNO_3 . It is evident that the recovery of lead(II) is quantitative (> 97 %) up to 250 mL sample volume. A preconcentration factor of 170 could be attained for quantitative recovery (> 97 %) of $Pb(II)$ when the sample volume was 250 mL.

Effect of flow rate: The flow rate of 1-7 mL min⁻¹ was found to be suitable for optimum loading of $Pb(II)$ 1-(2-pyridyl azo)-2-naphthol (PAN) complex on the octadecyl bonded silica cartridge. At higher flow rates, there was a reduction in the percentage adsorption of lead(II). This could be probably due to the insufficient contact time between the sample solution and octadecyl bonded silica cartridge. A flow rate of 5 mL min⁻¹ was maintained for the elution of lead(II) (Fig. 2).

Effect of the amount of octadecyl bonded silica cartridge: The amount of octadecyl bonded silica cartridge loaded was varied from 0.25 to 2.0 g and the preconcentration studies




Fig. 2. Effect of flow rates of the sample solutions on the recovery percentage of lead(II)

were carried as before. Quantitative recovery of Pb(II) could be attained in the range 0.75-2.0 g of octadecyl bonded silica cartridge. For amounts less than 0.75 g there was a significant reduction in the recovery beyond a sample volume of 100 mL.

Precision studies and limit of detection: The precision studies were carried out at 10 µg level of lead(II) by carrying out 10 separate determinations using the above-mentioned procedure. The sample volume was maintained at 100 mL. The relative standard deviation of the method was found to be 1.48 %. The sensitivity of the developed method is reflected by the limit of detection studies, defined as the lowest concentration of Pb(II) below which quantitative recovery of the metal ion by octadecyl bonded silica cartridge is not perceptibly seen. The limit of detection was found to be 0.78 µg L⁻¹.

Stability of the column: The stability of the column was tested using 10 µg Pb(II) maintaining a sample volume of 50 mL. The adsorbed Pb(II) 1-(2-pyridyl azo)-2-naphthol (PAN) complex on sodium dodecyl sulfate was eluted using 6 mL of 4 M HNO₃. The column could be used with good precision and quantitative recovery (> 97 %) for at least 10 cycles. Beyond 10 cycles, there was a significant reduction in the recovery of lead(II).

Effect of other ions: The interfering effect of diverse ions was studied at varying concentrations. The preconcentration studies were carried out as mentioned above using 10 µg Pb(II) maintaining a sample volume of 100 mL. The studies indicated that Na⁺, K⁺, Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺, Cd²⁺, Cl⁻, Br⁻, Fe³⁺, NO₃⁻, Zn²⁺, Co²⁺, Ni²⁺, Mn²⁺ did not cause any significant reduction in the recovery of lead(II). The results are presented in Table-2 showing the recovery of Pb(II) with varying concentrations of metal ions. The recovery was found to be quantitative in the concentration range of the metal ions that was investigated. Since, the ions that are commonly present in water samples did not interfere significantly, the method was applied to study the recovery of lead(II) in water samples.

Recovery studies in tap water and rain water samples: The validity of the proposed method was tested by spiking known concentrations of lead(II) to tap water (Tehran, taken after 10 min operation of the tap) and rain water (Tehran, 31 January, 2011) samples. The water samples were filtered and stored in polythene bottles. The recovery of lead(II) was found to be satisfactory with a relative standard deviation of 2 % for five replicate measurements and the results are shown in Table-3.

Comparison with other solid phase adsorbents: The proposed methodology was compared to a variety of solid

TABLE-2
EFFECT OF DIVERSE IONS ON THE RECOVERY OF
10 µg Pb(II) IN A SAMPLE VOLUME OF 100 mL

Ions	Amount (mg)	Recovery of found ion (%)	Recovery of lead (%)
Na ⁺	10.00	1.16 (1.2) ^a	100 (0.5)
K ⁺	10.00	0.22 (2.5)	99.0 (0.3)
Ca ²⁺	10.00	1.18 (1.1)	102.4 (1.3)
Mg ²⁺	10.00	0.28 (1.6)	102 (0.2)
Fe ³⁺	0.80	2.18 (2.3)	99.1 (1.7)
Cd ²⁺	0.80	1.88 (1.5)	99.1 (1.4)
Cr ³⁺	0.80	1.95 (2.3)	96.5 (0.7)
Co ²⁺	0.50	1.52 (0.5)	97.5 (1.5)
Ni ²⁺	0.50	0.52 (2.3)	101.2 (2.0)
NO ₃ ⁻	0.50	0.18 (0.8)	99.9 (1.0)
Cl ⁻	0.50	0.11 (0.5)	99.1 (1.1)
Br ⁻	0.05	0.48 (2.3)	98.6 (1.5)
F ⁻	0.05	1.95 (0.5)	101.7 (0.8)
Mn ²⁺	0.05	0.25 (0.2)	101 (0.6)
Zn ²⁺	0.05	0.75 (1.2)	103 (1.2)

TABLE-3
ANALYTICAL RESULTS FOR THE RECOVERY
OF Pb(II) IN WATER SAMPLES

Sample	Pb(II) added (µg)	Found of Pb(II) with FAAS (µg)	GF-AAS (µg)
Tap Water	0.00	N.D ^a	N.D
	30.00	30.06 (0.4) ^b	29.85 (1.9)
Ground water	0.00	35.00 (1.3)	38.18 (2.2)
	30.00	52.3 (1.3)	51.52 (2.5)
Waste water	0.00	44.07 (1.0)	44.30 (1.3)
	30.00	64.09 (1.5)	64.18 (2.1)
Synthesis sample 0.2 mg of Co ²⁺ , Cd ²⁺ , Na ⁺ Al ³⁺ , Ba ²⁺ , Ca ²⁺	0.00	N.D	N.D
	30.00	30.48 (1.1)	30.42 (1.5)

adsorbents reported recently in the literature. Also, the proposed method was free of interference compared to conventional procedures to determine lead^{38,39}. As can be seen from the references, it is evident that the preconcentration factor obtained with octadecyl bonded silica cartridge is comparable to or even better than most of the other chelating matrices. The other significant feature of the proposed method is the use of environmentally benign 4 M HNO₃ for the elution of the complex.

Calibration curve: The calibration curve of lead(II) solutions is represented in Fig. 3 and the related regression is $Y = 0.0227X - 0.0009$ providing a correlation coefficient of $R^2 = 0.9987$.




Fig. 3. Calibration curve of lead(II) measured by SPE-AAS

Conclusion

The proposed spectrophotometric method for lead(II) is simple, sensitive and exhibits good selectivity. The elution of the complex does not involve strong acids or toxic organic solvents. The advantage of using 4 M HNO₃ as the eluent lies in the fact that it is non-inflammable, inexpensive and non-toxic. The conventional solvent extraction procedure associated with metal dithizonates is avoided in this methodology. The highest preconcentration factor attainable was 36 for a 250 mL sample volume. The method showed minimum interferences with commonly found ions in water sample and the recovery of lead(II) was quantitative. The important features of the proposed method are its higher adsorption capacity with good preconcentration factor. The developed method is sensitive in detecting Pb(II) at ppb levels. The column could be used with good precision and quantitative recovery for at least 10 cycles. The quantitative recovery of lead(II) with a low relative standard deviation of 1.48 % reflects the validity and accuracy of the proposed method when applied to real samples. The method developed was simple, reliable and precise for determining lead in water. Also, the proposed method was free of interference compared to conventional procedures to determine lead^{37,40}.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors gratefully acknowledged the financial support from Department of Chemistry, Shahr-rey branch, Islamic Azad University.

REFERENCES

1. ATSDR-Standards and Regulations, Lead Toxicity Case Study, <http://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/toxprofiles/tp13.html>
2. Current Status of Lead in India, Released on World Environment Day (2001).
3. K. Suvardhan, K.S. Kumar, D. Rekha, B. Jayaraj, G.K. Naidu and P. Chiranjeevi, *Talanta*, **68**, 735 (2006).
4. S. Tokalioglu and S. Kartal, *J. Trace Microprobe Tech.*, **20**, 127 (2002).
5. M. Shamsipur, F. Raoufi and H. Sharghi, *Talanta*, **52**, 637 (2000).
6. A. Moghimi, *Mater. Sci. Res. India*, **3**, 195 (2006).
7. K. Prasad, P. Gopikrishna, R. Kala, T.P. Rao and G.R.K. Naidu, *Talanta*, **69**, 938 (2006).
8. M. das Gracas, A. Korn, J.B. Andrade, D.S. de Jesus, V.A. Lemos, M.L.S.F. Bandeira, W.N.L. Santos, M.A. Bezerra, F.A.C. Amorim, A.S. Souza and S.L.C. Ferreira, *Talanta*, **69**, 16 (2006).
9. H. Cesur, *Turk. J. Chem.*, **27**, 307 (2003).
10. J.P. Bernal, E. Rodriguez, D.S. Miguel, J.C. Aguilar, G. Salazar and J. De Gyves, *Sep. Sci. Technol.*, **35**, 1661 (2000).
11. V. Gurnani and A.K. Singh, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, **43**, 2302 (2004).
12. D. Prabhakaran and M.S. Subramanian, *Talanta*, **59**, 1227 (2003).
13. I. Narin, M. Soylak, K. Kayakirilmaz, L. Elci and M. Dogan, *Anal. Lett.*, **36**, 641 (2003).
14. D. Prabhakaran and M.S. Subramanian, *React. Funct. Polym.*, **57**, 147 (2003).
15. M. Kumar, D.P.S. Rathore and A.K. Singh, *Talanta*, **51**, 1187 (2000).
16. M. Kumar, D.P.S. Rathore and A.K. Singh, *Analyst*, **125**, 1221 (2000).
17. R. Pathak and G.N. Rao, *Talanta*, **44**, 1447 (1997).
18. M. Tuzen, K. Parlar and M. Soylak, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, **121**, 79 (2005).
19. S. Baytak and A.R. Turker, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, **129**, 137 (2006).
20. V.A. Lemos, M. de la Guardia and S.L.C. Ferreira, *Talanta*, **58**, 475 (2002).
21. R.-S. Juang, *Proc. Natl. Sci. Counc. ROC(A)*, **23**, 353 (1999).
22. J.L. Cortina, N. Miralles, A. Sastre, M. Aguilar, A. Profumo and M. Pesavento, *React. Polym.*, **21**, 89 (1993).
23. N. Kabay, N. Gizli, M. Demircioglu, M. Yuksel, M. Saglam, M. Arda, U. Yuksel, B. Saha and M. Streat, *Chem. Eng. Commun.*, **190**, 936 (2003).
24. L.H. Reyes, I.S. Medina, R.N. Mendoza, J.R. Vazquez, M.A. Rodriguez and E. Guibal, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, **40**, 1422 (2001).
25. N. Kabay, M. Demircioglu, H. Ekinci, M. Yuksel, M. Saglam and M. Streat, *React. Funct. Polym.*, **38**, 219 (1998).
26. H. Matsunaga, A.A. Ismail, Y. Wakui and T. Yokoyama, *React. Funct. Polym.*, **49**, 189 (2001).
27. M.P. Gonzalez, I. Saucedo, R. Navarro, M. Avila and E. Guibal, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, **40**, 6004 (2001).
28. M.Y. Vilensky, B.B. Witz and A. Warshawsky, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, **36**, 1851 (2002).
29. M.T. Draa, T. Belaid and M. Benamor, *Sep. Purif. Technol.*, **40**, 77 (2004).
30. M. Shamsipur, A.R. Ghiasvand and Y. Yamini, *Anal. Chem.*, **71**, 4892 (1999).
31. A. Moghimi and Reza Ghiasi, *J. Korean Chem. Soc.*, **52**, 4 (2008).
32. Y.S. Choi and H.S. Choi, *Bull. Korean Chem. Soc.*, **24**, 222 (2003).
33. A. Moghimi, *Chin. J. Chem.*, **25**, 1536 (2007).
34. A. Moghimi, *Chin. J. Chem.*, **25**, 1842 (2007).
35. A. Moghimi and M.J. Poursharifi, *Asian J. Chem.*, **20**, 5109 (2008).
36. A. Moghimi, *Chin. J. Chem.*, **25**, 640 (2007).
37. P. Nayebi and A. Moghimi, *Orient. J. Chem.*, **22**, 507 (2006).
38. A. Moghimi, *Orient. J. Chem.*, **22**, 527 (2006).
39. M.S. Tehrani, F. Rastegar, A. Parchehbaf and Z. Rezvani, *Chin. J. Chem.*, **23**, 1437 (2005).