

# Phase and Electrical Properties of Rice Husk Silica-based Na<sub>2</sub>FeSiO<sub>4</sub> Precursors Sintered at High Temperature

Agus Riyanto<sup>\*,®</sup>, Rezeki Sofi Karimah<sup>®</sup>, Erasri Yuni Suhesti<sup>®</sup>, Novita Sari<sup>®</sup> and Sri Wahyu Suciyati<sup>®</sup>

Department of Physics, Universitas Lampung, Bandar Lampung, Indonesia

\*Corresponding author: Fax: +62 721 702767; Tel: +62 721 701609; E-mail: agus.riyanto@fmipa.unila.ac.id

Accepted: 25 October 2022;

Received: 8 June 2022;

Published online: 25 November 2022;

AJC-21064

The aim of this work is to characterize the phase and electrical properties of  $Na_2FeSiO_4$  precursor synthesized by the sol-gel technique and then sintering with a holding period of 10 h. The FTIR analysis indicates the presence of Na-O, Fe-O, Si-O and Si-O-Si groups. Their phases were analyzed using XRD coupled with qualitative and quantitative analysis. Meanwhile, the electrical properties were characterized using UV-Vis DRS and LCR meter. The phase analysis revealed that the samples were dominated by SiO<sub>2</sub> and Na<sub>2</sub>FeSiO<sub>4</sub> and were followed by Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Fe<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub> and Na<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>3</sub>. There was no substantial differences in phase composition over the sintering temperature range of 805-815 °C. However, the volume of cell units in each phase increased gradually with an increase in sintering temperature and was followed by the increasing electrical conductivity of the samples.

Keywords: Electrical properties, Na<sub>2</sub>FeSiO<sub>4</sub>, Phase, Rice husk silica, Sintering.

# **INTRODUCTION**

In the rechargeable battery systems, Li<sub>2</sub>FeSiO<sub>4</sub> compound has been suggested as a cathode candidate. This compound is prepared by combining the tetrahedral structure of LiO<sub>4</sub>, FeO<sub>4</sub> and SiO<sub>4</sub> in a specific configuration depending on its crystal system [1]. It has a stable structure and can theoretically reach a specific capacity of about 330 mAhg<sup>-1</sup> if used for a cathode in a Li-ion battery system [2]. However, recently, Li<sub>2</sub>FeSiO<sub>4</sub> compounds are considered less competitive to be promoted as cathodes because of their low electrical conductivity and lack of lithium abundance in nature [3]. Several approaches have been made to overcome this problem. Replacing the element lithium in the Li<sub>2</sub>FeSiO<sub>4</sub> compound with sodium to form a Na<sub>2</sub>FeSiO<sub>4</sub> compound is one of the attractive approaches [4]. Sodium's choice substitutes Li, since Na is much more abundant than Li in nature. Although, theoretically, Na<sub>2</sub>FeSiO<sub>4</sub> only produces a specific capacity of about 278 mAhg<sup>-1</sup>, smaller than Li<sub>2</sub>FeSiO<sub>4</sub> [5]. However, its electrical conductivity and ionic conductivity are more excellent than Li<sub>2</sub>FeSiO<sub>4</sub> compounds [6]. This character allows Na<sub>2</sub>FeSiO<sub>4</sub> to be used directly as a cathode material without adding other materials to increase

its conductivity. Based on these factors, the researchers believe that  $Na_2FeSiO_4$  has the potential to become a cathode material in rechargeable battery systems.

Several methods have been successfully applied to produce Na<sub>2</sub>FeSiO<sub>4</sub> compounds, including solvothermal, solidstate reaction and sol-gel methods [6-10]. Kee et al. [7] succeeded in obtaining the Na<sub>2</sub>FeSiO<sub>4</sub> compounds by applying the solvothermal method. This process produces Na<sub>2</sub>FeSiO<sub>4</sub>, which is accompanied by secondary phases such as Na<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>3</sub> and Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, however, The disadvantage of this method is that it takes a long time. Meanwhile, Kaliyappan & Chen [8] successfully prepared Na<sub>2</sub>FeSiO<sub>4</sub> using the solid-state reaction method. This process produced a sample with the main phase Na<sub>2</sub>FeSiO<sub>4</sub> and secondary phases such as Na<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>3</sub> and Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>. Again, as usual, the disadvantage of this reaction approach is that it requires a high temperature; nevertheless, the reaction happens swiftly. Besides that the product produced has a relatively low homogeneity. Meanwhile, Feng et al. [9] reported that relatively high purity Na<sub>2</sub>FeSiO<sub>4</sub> compounds might be created using the sol-gel route followed by thermal passing for 9 h at 600 °C. In another study, Guan et al. [10] successfully prepared Na<sub>2</sub>FeSiO<sub>4</sub> from FeC<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>·2H<sub>2</sub>O, CH<sub>3</sub>COONa, TEOS, citric acid and ethanol

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0) License. This license lets others distribute, remix, tweak, and build upon your work, even commercially, as long as they credit the author for the original creation. You must give appropriate credit, provide a link to the license, and indicate if changes were made.

by applying the sol-gel method followed by a thermal process for 8 h at 600 °C. In these studies, it was also reported that the Na<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>3</sub> accompanied the primary phase.

In various studies, the silica is used to prepare Na<sub>2</sub>FeSiO<sub>4</sub> was usually from TEOS. It may be replaced with organic silica derived from rice husks so that the cost needed to produce Na<sub>2</sub>FeSiO<sub>4</sub> becomes relatively low. According to previous studies [11,12], rice husk ash contains silica with a percentage of about 79-98% of rice husk ash weight and the silica can be extracted with a purity reaching 99%. In addition, amorphous rice husk silica is reactive, so it may be used as a raw material to prepare several silica-based materials [13]. The feasibility of silica from rice husks as raw material for making Na<sub>2</sub>FeSiO<sub>4</sub> has been demonstrated by Riyanto et al. [14]. According to the study, the Na<sub>2</sub>FeSiO<sub>4</sub> precursor was sintered at 800 °C for 10 h and produced a crystal phase of Na<sub>2</sub>FeSiO<sub>4</sub>, accompanied by secondary phases Na<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>3</sub> and SiO<sub>2</sub>. Previous studies also successfully utilized rice husk silica for fabricating several materials such as cordierite, forsterite and bituminous composite [15-18].

The high purity Na<sub>2</sub>FeSiO<sub>4</sub> phase was quite challenging to obtain other phases were formed following it, even with different thermal treatments [6-10,14]. Several phases, which are usually accompany Na<sub>2</sub>FeSiO<sub>4</sub> including Na<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>3</sub>, Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and SiO<sub>2</sub> [7,8,10,14]. However, these studies also mainly report limited phase composition in the qualitative analysis without informing quantitative phase composition. Furthermore, Na<sub>2</sub>FeSiO<sub>4</sub> is consistently prepared at a maximum temperature of 800 °C, as reported by Riyanto et al. [14]. Therefore, studies can predict the phase composition quanti-tatively in Na<sub>2</sub>FeSiO<sub>4</sub> samples sintered at higher temperatures (< 800 °C) are required to identify the phase compositions. To achieve this goal, Na<sub>2</sub>FeSiO<sub>4</sub> samples were prepared by sol-gel route follwed by thermal process at 805, 810 and 815 °C. Furthermore, the purpose of this research is to investigate the electrical properties of Na<sub>2</sub>FeSiO<sub>4</sub> synthesized from rice husk silica, such as its band gap and electrical conductivity.

### **EXPERIMENTAL**

The materials used to synthesize  $Na_2FeSiO_4$  were rice husk, ferric nitrate nonahydrate (99%, Merck), sodium hydroxide (90%, Rp Chemical Product), citric acid monohydrate and nitric acid.

Amorphous silica extraction: A reported method was used to prepare silica powder from dried rice husks [14]. In brief, rice husks (50 g) and 500 mL of NaOH (5%) solution were mixed and then heated for 30 min in a beaker glass until a dark brown sol was obtained. The mixture was cooled for 24 h at room temperature. Afterward, it was filtered using micropores paper to acquire the sol and finally discard the rice husk residue. After that 10% nitric acid solution was added dropwise into the sol until the solution's pH was equal to 7 to obtain silica gel. The gel was washed thoroughly by deionized water and then subjected to thermal at 110 °C to transform silica gel into solid. It was crushed and sieved using a 200 meshes sieve to procure fine amorphous silica powder.

**Preparation of Na<sub>2</sub>FeSiO<sub>4</sub> precursor:** Na<sub>2</sub>FeSiO<sub>4</sub> was prepared using the sol-gel method by following procedure [14]. The precursor was prepared from NaOH, ferric nitrate nonahydrate, amorphous silica powder and citric acid monohydrate with a mole ratio of 2:1:1:1. The prepared amorphous silica powder (0.3 g) was dissolved in NaOH solution under continuous stirring at 60 °C for 30 min followed by the addition of ferric nitrate nonahydrate solution and then citric acid was added dropwise into the solution till the pH becomes 1. The solution was refluxed at 80 °C for 5 h before being placed into a beaker for continuous stirring at 75 °C until the solvent was evaporated and a gel was obtained, which was finally dried at 130 °C. Furthermore, it was ground to obtain Na<sub>2</sub>FeSiO<sub>4</sub> precursor before being sintered for 10 h at 805, 810 and 815 °C with a heat flow of 3 °C/min.

Characterization: Nicolet iS10 Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) was used to detect the functional groups of sintered Na<sub>2</sub>FeSiO<sub>4</sub> precursor samples in the wavelength range of 4000-400 cm<sup>-1</sup> using KBr pallets. The phase of the sintered sample was characterized using X'Pert Powder PW 30/40 X-ray diffraction (XRD) with CuKa radiation operated at 40 kV and 30 mA. The step size used was 0.02°/min with 25 range of 10-80°. The collected XRD pattern data was characterized by qualitative and quantitative analysis. The qualitative analysis was performed using QualX version 2.24 software, which is used to identify several phases present in the sample by matching experimental data with a crystallographic open database (COD) [19]. The quantitative analysis (Rietveld refinement) was carried out using the Rietica software applying the Le-Bail method. The band gap was estimated from the reflectance data in the 200-800 nm wavelength range using Shimadzu UV-2450 ultraviolet-visible diffuse reflectance spectroscopy (UV-Vis DRS) spectrophotometer. Furthermore, the band gap's value was estimated using the Kubelka-Munk theorem (eqn. 1) and the Tauc relation (eqn. 2):

$$F(R_{\infty}) = \frac{K}{S} = \frac{(1-R_{\infty})^2}{2R_{\infty}}$$
 (1)

$$[F(R_{\infty})h\nu]^{2} = A(h\nu - E_{g})$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

where  $F(R_{\infty})$  is the Kubelka-Munk function, K is the absorption coefficient (m<sup>2</sup>/kg), S is the scattering coefficient (m<sup>2</sup>/kg),  $R_{\infty}$  is the diffuse reflectance, h is the Plank constant (6.6 × 10<sup>-34</sup> m<sup>2</sup> kg/s), A is the proportionality constant (J), u is the frequency (Hz), dan  $E_g$  is the band gap energy (eV).

The cylindrical pellet was prepared by compacting 1.2 g of a sintered sample under a pressure of 78,400 KPa. The electrical conductivity of the pellet was measured using the HIOKI 3520-52 LCR meter in the frequency range of 10-1500 Hz. The conductance was converted into electrical conductivity using eqn. 3. The output measurement was conductance , which depend upon frequency.

$$s = G \frac{l}{A}$$
(3)

where s is the electrical conductivity (S/m), l and A are the thickness (m), G is the conductance (Siemens) and the cross-section of cylindrical pellet (m<sup>2</sup>), respectively.



Fig. 1. FTIR spectra of samples sintered at different temperatures, (a) 805 °C, (b) 810 °C and (c) 815 °C

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The FTIR spectra of the samples sintered at 805, 810 and 815 °C are depicted in Fig. 1a-c. The spectrum reveals chemical bonds related to the tetrahedral structures of NaO<sub>4</sub>, FeO<sub>4</sub> and SiO<sub>4</sub>, characterized by several absorption peaks associated with Na-O, Fe-O, Si-O and also Si-O-Si groups. The absorption peak at 462.92-478.35 cm<sup>-1</sup> is associated to the Na-O group's stretch vibration [20]. The existence of Fe-O groups is indicated by absorption peaks in the range of 632.65-648.08. cm<sup>-1</sup>, which is correlated with the stretching vibrations [21]. Meanwhile, the absorption peaks at 802.39 cm<sup>-1</sup> and 918.12-925.83 cm<sup>-1</sup> are attributed to the Si-O symmetric bending and stretching vibrations, respectively. Moreover, the absorption peak at 1188.15 cm<sup>-1</sup> corresponds to the bending symmetry of the Si-O-Si groups [22-24].

The presence of absorption peaks at 1627.92-1635.64 cm<sup>-1</sup> indicates the presence of bending vibrations of hydroxyl group (-OH) [22,24]. Moreover, the absorption peaks at 3271.27, 3425.58, 3880.78-3819.06 cm<sup>-1</sup> are also related to the vibration of the O-H group [22,25,26]. The group normally comes from water molecules trapped in the samples or associated with the silanol group in Si(OH)<sub>4</sub> [17]. The C=O group is detected from the appearance of the absorption peak at 1774.51 cm<sup>-1</sup>, while a strong absorptions at 1442.75, 2368.59 and 2337.72 cm<sup>-1</sup> peak marks the presence of the C-H group [27-30]. The C=O and C-H groups possibly arise from the chelating agent citric acid monohydrate, which remained in the sample [31].

**XRD studies:** The experimental X-ray patterns were compared to the crystallographic databases of several polyanion compounds such as Na<sub>2</sub>ZnSiO<sub>4</sub>, Na<sub>2</sub>MnSiO<sub>4</sub>, or Na<sub>2</sub>CaSiO<sub>4</sub>, because the phase database of Na<sub>2</sub>FeSiO<sub>4</sub> is not yet available in COD [7]. The polyanion compounds were selected as references because they resemble the crystal structure of Na<sub>2</sub>FeSiO<sub>4</sub>. The samples were analyzed qualitatively by matching the experimental data with a COD in the QualX program using the searchmatch method. The analysis reveals that the diffraction data are close to the phase database of Na<sub>2</sub>CaSiO<sub>4</sub> (COD 00-101-0111). The diffraction peak indicates this match with the highest intensity at angle 20 33.97°, the highest peak of the Na<sub>2</sub>FeSiO<sub>4</sub> phase and at  $2\theta$  of 20.64° and 45.05°, which are the typical peaks of the phase (Fig. 2). The search results also agreed with the results of previous studies [6,32], which showed that the typical peaks of Na<sub>2</sub>FeSiO<sub>4</sub>.

![](_page_2_Figure_8.jpeg)

Fig. 2. Diffractogram of samples sintered at different temperatures, (a) 805 °C, (b) 810 °C and (c) 815 °C

This qualitative analysis also revealed several oxide phases, such as Na<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>3</sub>, SiO<sub>2</sub>, Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and Fe<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub>. The existence of Na<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>3</sub> phase is indicated by the diffraction peaks at 20 46.44° and 68.04°, with the highest intensity at 20 29.51° (COD 00-231-0858). while the central peak of SiO<sub>2</sub> is situated at  $2\theta$ 19.97°. Further several other diffraction peaks are related with the existence of the phase at 41.35° and 59.85° (COD 00-810-4322). The prominent peaks of the Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> phase are located at  $33.25^\circ$ , while other peaks are located at 20 54.91° and 62.28° (COD 01-154-6383). Meanwhile, Fe<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub> phase's prominent peak is situated at 31.52° and several other diffraction peaks associated with this phase are located at 35.74°, 41.35°, 54.91°, 57.56° and 65.72 (COD 00-100-0064). The presence of several oxide phases in each sample is well supported by FTIR studies since the phases are made up of Si-O, Si-O-Si, Na-O and Fe-O functional groups. These phases have different crystal structures and space groups. The crystal structure and space groups of each phase identified are shown in Table-1.

The qualitative phase analysis results were used as input in the Rietveld refinement analysis. The output of the Rietveld analysis of each sample is shown in Fig. 3, while the values of the refinement parameters, including  $R_{exp}$ ,  $R_{wp}$  and  $R_p$ , are shown in Table-2. According to Kisi [33], the values of  $R_{exp}$ ,  $R_{wp}$  and

![](_page_3_Figure_2.jpeg)

Fig. 3. XRD rietveld plot for samples sintered at different temperatures (a) 805 °C, (b) 810 °C and (c) 815 °C

TABLE-1				
CRYSTAL STRUCTURE AND SPACE GROUP OF EACH PHASE				
Phase	Unit cell	Space group		
Na <sub>2</sub> FeSiO <sub>4</sub>	Cubic	P213		
$Na_2SiO_3$	Orthorombic	C mc21		
SiO <sub>2</sub>	Tetragonal	P41212		
$Fe_2O_3$	Trigonal	R-3c:H		
Fe <sub>2</sub> SiO <sub>4</sub>	Orthorombic	Pbnm		

TABLE-2 RIETVELD REFINEMENT PARAMETER OF EACH SAMPLE				
Sample sintered (°C)	$R_{wp}$ (%)	$R_{p}(\%)$	R <sub>exp</sub> (%)	GoF (%)
805	8.8	6.42	7.60	1.13
810	8.6	6.58	7.67	1.16
815	8.4	6.57	7.70	1.14

 $R_p$  for each sample have appropriate the standard. Rietveld refinement analysis is characterized by a GoF parameter less than 4% and  $R_{wp}$  less than 25% [34]. Therefore, this Rietveld analysis confirms the accuracy of the qualitative analysis results, revealing several phases, including Na<sub>2</sub>FeSiO<sub>4</sub>, Na<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>3</sub>, SiO<sub>2</sub>, Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and Fe<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub> in the samples.

The weight percent (wt.%) of each phase in the samples is another conclusion of the Rietveld analysis. According to Table-3, the phase composition is dominated by SiO<sub>2</sub> and Na<sub>2</sub>FeSiO<sub>4</sub>, followed by Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Fe<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub>, Na<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>3</sub>, respectively. Although the diffraction peak with the highest intensity on the diffractogram belongs to the Na<sub>2</sub>FeSiO<sub>4</sub> phase and the SiO<sub>2</sub> phase composition is slightly more because several diffraction peaks are associated with that phase. Compared to previous study, the samples subjected to thermal higher than 800 °C exhibit more phases than those subjected to thermal less than 800 °C [14]. It indicates that sintering at high temperatures

TABLE-3					
WEIGHT PERCENT (wt.%) OF EACH PHASE FOR THE					
SAMPLES SINTERED AT DIFFERENT TEMPERATURES					
Temp. (°C)	Na <sub>2</sub> FeSiO <sub>4</sub>	SiO <sub>2</sub>	Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	Fe <sub>2</sub> SiO <sub>4</sub>	Na <sub>2</sub> SiO <sub>3</sub>
805	23.51	25.67	21.28	18.64	10.98
810	23.50	25.64	21.25	18.69	10.91
815	23.53	25.58	21.21	18.75	10.91

higher than 800 °C triggers the crystallization of some oxide compounds and silicate derivatives. In the temperature range of 805-815 °C, the sintering temperature increase does not cause significant changes in weight percent of the phases found in the samples. However, the volume of unit cells in each phase increases linearly with increasing sintering temperature (Table-4), which means that the distance between the atoms in the crystal structure is farther apart as the sintering temperature increases.

TABLE-4 UNIT CELL VOLUME (Å <sup>3</sup> ) DATA EACH PHASE FOR THE SAMPLES SINTERED AT DIFFERENT TEMPERATURES					
Temp. (°C)	Na <sub>2</sub> FeSiO <sub>4</sub>	SiO <sub>2</sub>	Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	Fe <sub>2</sub> SiO <sub>4</sub>	Na <sub>2</sub> SiO <sub>3</sub>
805	406.2763	477.4479	343.9838	306.6965	299.0868
810	408.7002	479.8727	345.5215	309.4688	301.4121
815	411.0010	480.7717	346.1445	311.3046	302.8009

The band gap values were estimated using the Kubelka-Munk theorem and the Tauc plot, as shown in Fig. 4. Tauc plot gradient intersects the *x*-axis or band gap axis at 1.84 eV, which indicated that they have identical band gap values. In the temperature range of 805-815 °C, the increasing temperature does not significantly influence the samples' band gap

![](_page_3_Figure_12.jpeg)

Fig. 4. Tauc plot of samples sintered at different temperatures (a) 805 °C, (b) 810 °C and (c) 815 °C

since they have a relatively similar phase composition (Table-3). Meanwhile, the electrical conductivity values revealed the considerable differences. The value of the electrical conductivity in the frequency range of 10-1500 Hz is shown in Fig. 5. The value of the electrical conductivity of each sample increases gradually with the increasing frequency following a logarithmic function. The conductivity of the samples sintered at 805, 810 and 815 °C was  $0.10 \times 10^{-5} - 6.92 \times 10^{-5}$  S/m,  $0.25 \times 10^{-5}$  - $7.04 \times 10^{-5}$  S/m and  $1.90 \times 10^{-5} - 20.83 \times 10^{-5}$  S/m, respectively. The tendency of increasing the electrical conductivity as the sintering temperature increases is strongly suspected to be related to the rise in the volume of each unit cell, as shown in Table-4. The increase in the unit cell volume causes the distance between the atoms to be further apart. As a result, the bonds between atoms are getting weaker so that electrons can be released with lower energy to be free electrons [35,36].

![](_page_4_Figure_3.jpeg)

Fig. 5. Electrical conductivity at range frequency of 10-1500 Hz of samples sintered at different temperatures

# Conclusion

This study demonstrated that Na<sub>2</sub>FeSiO<sub>4</sub> precursor derived from rice husk silica and annealed at 805-815 °C produce some phases, such as Na<sub>2</sub>FeSiO<sub>4</sub>, SiO<sub>2</sub>, Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Fe<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub> and NaSiO<sub>3</sub>. In the studied temperature range, the weight percent of the phases and band gap of samples did not significantly differ from one to the other. However, it's unit cell volume increased with increasing sintering temperature, followed by increasing its electrical conductivity.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors express their gratitude to the Universitas Lampung for providing the financial support for this research through a fundamental research grant (contract no. 654/UN26. 21/PN/2022).

## **CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this article.

### REFERENCES

- C. Eames, A.R. Armstrong, P.G. Bruce and M.S. Islam, *Chem. Lett.*, 24, 2155 (2012);
- https://doi.org/10.1021/cm300749w

   2.
   P. Vajeeston and H. Fjellvag, RSC Adv., 7, 16843 (2017); https://doi.org/10.1039/C6RA26555C
- P. Sivaraj, K.P. Abhilash, B. Nalini, P. Balraju, S.K. Yadav, S. Jayapandi and P. Christopher Selvin, *Ionics*, 25, 2041 (2019); https://doi.org/10.1007/s11581-018-2685-1
- Z. Ye, X. Zhao, S. Li, S. Wu, P. Wu, M.C. Nguyen, J. Guo, J. Mi, Z. Gong, Z.-Z. Zhu, Y. Yang, C.-Z. Wang and K.-M. Ho, *Electrochim. Acta*, 212, 934 (2016); https://doi.org/10.1016/j.electacta.2016.07.073
- 5. S. Guo, J. Li, Q. Xu, Z. Ma and H. Xue, *J. Power Sources*, **361**, 285 (2017);
  - https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2017.07.002
- S. Li, J. Guo, Z. Ye, X. Zhao, S. Wu, J.-X. Mi, C.-Z. Wang, Z. Gong, M.J. McDonald, Z. Zhu, K.-M. Ho and Y. Yang, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 8, 17233 (2016); https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.6b03969
- Y. Kee, N. Dimov, A. Staykov and S. Okada, *Mater. Chem. Phys.*, 171, 45 (2016);
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matchemphys.2016.01.033 8. K. Kaliyappan and Z. Chen, *Electrochim. Acta*, **283**, 1384 (2018);
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.electacta.2018.07.034 9. Z. Feng, M. Tang and Z. Yan, *Ceram. Int.*, **44**, 22019 (2018); https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ceramint.2018.08.186
- W. Guan, B. Pan, P. Zhou, J. Mi, D. Zhang, J. Xu and Y. Jiang, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 9, 22369 (2017); https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.7b02385
- Y.E. Simsek, Acta Phys. Polineca A, 132(3-II), 1002 (2017); https://doi.org/10.12693/APhysPolA.132.1002
- 12. R.A. Bakar, R. Yahya and S.N. Gan, *Procedia Chem.*, **19**, 189 (2016); https://doi.org/10.1016/j.proche.2016.03.092
- N.N. Ngoc, L.X. Thanh, L.T. Vinh and B.T. Van Anh, *Vietnam J. Chem.*, 56, 730 (2018); <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/vjch.201800079</u>
- A. Riyanto, S. Sembiring, A.R. Amalia, A. Astika and R. Marjunus, J. *Phys. Conf. Ser.*, **1572**, 012003 (2020); <u>https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1572/1/012003</u>
- S. Sembiring, W. Simanjuntak, R. Situmeang, A. Riyanto and P. Karo-Karo, J. Asian Ceram. Soc., 5, 186 (2017); https://doi.org/10.1016/i.jascer.2017.04.005
- S. Sembiring, W. Simanjuntak, R. Situmeang and A. Riyanto, J. Ceram. Silik., 62, 163 (2018);
- https://doi.org/10.13168/cs.2018.0008 17. S. Sembiring, A. Riyanto, W. Simanjuntak and R. Situmeang, *Orient. J. Chem.*, **33**, 1828 (2017); https://doi.org/10.13005/ojc/330427
- S. Sembiring, A. Riyanto, R. Situmeang and Z. Sembiring, J. Ceram. Silik., 63, 277 (2019);
- https://doi.org/10.13168/cs.2019.0021
  19. A. Altomare, C. Cuocci, C. Giacovazzo, A. Moliterni and R. Rizzi, J. Appl. Cryst., 41, 815 (2008);
- https://doi.org/10.1107/S0021889808016956
   20. V.S. Rangasamy, S. Thayumanasundaram and J. Locquet, *Electrochim. Acta*, 276, 102 (2018);
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.electacta.2018.04.166 21. R. Jain, V. Luthra, M. Arora and S. Gokhale, *J. Supercond. Nov. Magn.*,
- **32**, 325 (2019); https://doi.org/10.1007/s10948-018-4717-5
- K.S. Hui and C.Y.H. Chao, J. Hazard. Mater., 137, 1135 (2006); https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2006.03.050.
- M. Sheykhan, A. Yahyazadeh and L. Ramezani, *Mol. Catal.*, 435, 166 (2017); https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mcat.2017.03.032
- U. Kalapathy, *Bioresour. Technol.*, **73**, 257 (2000); https://doi.org/10.1016/S0960-8524(99)00127-3
- U.O. Aroke, A. Abdulkarim and R.O. Ogubunka, *ABTU J. Environ. Technol.*, 6, 42 (2013).

- A. Célino, O. Gonçalves, F. Jacquemin and S. Fréour, *Cabohydr. Polym.*, 101, 163 (2014); https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2013.09.023
- 27. C. Ma, C. Yin, Y. Fan, X. Yang and X. Zhou, J. Mater. Sci., 54, 9372 (2019);
- https://doi.org/10.1007/s10853-019-03585-7 28. J. Zhuang, M. Li, Y. Pu, A.J. Ragauskas and C.G. Yoo, *Appl. Sci.*, **10**, 4345 (2020);
- https://doi.org/10.3390/app10124345
- A.B.D. Nandiyanto, R. Oktiani and R. Ragadhita, *Indones. J. Sci. Technol.*, 4, 97 (2019); https://doi.org/10.17509/ijost.v4i1.15806
- M.H.S. Abadi, A. Delbari, Z. Fakoor and J. Baedi, *J. Ceram. Sci. Technol.*, 46, 41 (2015); <u>https://doi.org/10.4416/JCST2014-00028</u>

- P. Sivaraj, B. Nalini, K.P. Abhilash, D. Lakshmi, P.C. Selvin and P. Balraju, J. Alloys Compd., 740, 1116 (2018); https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jallcom.2018.01.051
- 32. B. Ali, A. Ur-Rehman, F. Ghafoor, M.I. Shahzad, S.K. Shah and S.M. Abbas, *J. Power Sources*, **396**, 467 (2018);
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2018.06.049 33. E.H. Kisi, *Mater Forum*, **18**, 135 (1994).
- S. Sembiring, W. Simanjuntak, R. Situmeang, A. Riyanto and K. Sebayang, *Ceram. Int.*, 42, 8431 (2016); https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ceramint.2016.02.062
- 35. R. Dalven, *Phys. Rev. B*, **8**, 6033 (1973); https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.8.6033
- 36. T.D. Malevu and R.O. Ocaya, Int. J. Electrochem. Sci., 10, 1752 (2015).