Geometry and Vibration Spectrum of Marine $\beta$-Carboline Alkaloid
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#### Abstract

In this study, the geometry and vibration spectrum of 5-bromo-8-methoxy-1-methyl $\beta$-carboline alkaloid was investigated by the density functional theory (DFT) in combination with the scaled quantum mechanism force field method (SQM). The fully optimized geometries at the B3LYP/6-311++G** and B3LYP/aug-cc-pVDZ levels were found to be in good agreement with the X-ray crystal structure. Based on the optimized geometries, a normal coordinate analysis was carried out with the GF matrix method to generate the potential energy distributions. According to potential energy distributions, all vibration modes were assigned reliably to certain vibration frequencies. The strongest absorption peak with a frequency of $1265 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ is contributed by the stretching of the $\mathrm{N}_{16}-\mathrm{C}_{17}, \mathrm{~N}_{24}-\mathrm{C}_{21}, \mathrm{C}_{23}-\mathrm{C}_{25}$ bonds and triangle deformation of the $\mathrm{C}_{15}-\mathrm{C}_{17}-\mathrm{C}_{23}-\mathrm{N}_{24}-\mathrm{C}_{21}-\mathrm{C}_{19}$ six member ring and potential energy distributions of the these modes are 10.85, 14.90, 10.99 and $21.53 \%$, respectively. This study is helpful to further study the relationship between the structure and the pharmacological activity of $\beta$-carboline alkaloid derivatives.
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## INTRODUCTION

In the past 10 years, new compounds originating from marine actinomycetes have been widely applied in many fields, even more popular than compounds from their terrestrial counterparts. Marine actinomycetes have been more and more considered as an exciting new resource for drug discovery ${ }^{1,2}$. Actinomycetes are important economical microorganisms and play a leading role in the production of bioactive natural products ${ }^{3,4}$. The vast majority of bryozoans metabolites isolated to date are alkaloids, such as the $\beta$-carboline derivatives ${ }^{5,6}$. It has been demonstrated that new species of marine actinomycetes are capable of producing novel chemotypes.
$\beta$-Carboline derivatives are a kind of indole alkaloids widely distributed in nature and show a wide biological activity ${ }^{7-9}$. Previous studies ${ }^{10-12}$ have found that $\beta$-carboline alkaloid derivatives show the activity which affects the central nervous system, especially benzodiazepine receptor demonstrated antispasmodic, anxiolytic and neural pharmacological activity. This very pharmacological activity inspires people to research the $\beta$-carboline alkaloid derivatives and pharmacological activity ${ }^{12,13}$. In recent years, studies have also found that $\beta$-carboline alkaloid and its derivatives have anticancer, antitumor and other aspects of biological activity ${ }^{14,15}$, such as, the Lavendamyein with antimicrobial antitumor and HIV
reverse transcriptase inhibition, harmaline with antitumor activity ${ }^{16-18}$. As a marine $\beta$-carboline alkaloid derivative recently isolated from bryozoans by Till and Prinsep ${ }^{19}$, the 5-bromo-8-methoxy-1-methyl- $\beta$-carboline have proven to be an excellent source of novel biologically active compounds. Till and coworkers analyzed its structure with X-ray and NMR diffraction technique and obtained the structure parameters of the skeleton. However, there are no theoretical reports on the microcosmic structure and the vibration spectrum. The geometry and the spectral property of 5-bromo-8-methoxy-1-methyl- $\beta$-carboline need further researches.

Herein, we reported the geometry and vibration spectrum of a marine $\beta$-carboline alkaloid. The DFT calculations in combination with the scaled quantum mechanical force field were used to analyze vibration spectrum of 5-bromo-8-methoxy1 -methyl- $\beta$-carboline. The results will provide support for the relationship of the structure and pharmacological activity of $\beta$-carboline alkaloid.

## THEORETICAL METHODOLOGIES

The Gaussian 09 program ${ }^{20}$ were carried out to optimize the geometry of 5-bromo- 8 -methoxy-1-methyl- $\beta$-carboline alkaloid at the B3LYP/6-311++G** and B3LYP/ aug-cc-pVDZ levels. The molecular structure was depicted in Fig. 1. Based


Fig. 1. Molecular structure and atom numbering of 5-bromo-8-methoxy1 -methyl- $\beta$-carboline
on the optimized geometry, the vibration frequencies were calculated at the same level to generate the vibration harmonic force constants.

The local internal symmetry coordinates were defined using the method given by Pulay et al. ${ }^{21}$. The coordinates were sorted into four types, $\mathrm{s}_{1} \sim \mathrm{~s}_{30}$ for bond stretching, $\mathrm{s}_{31} \sim \mathrm{~s}_{57}$ for bond angle deformation, $\mathrm{s}_{58} \sim \mathrm{~s}_{65}$ for out-of-plane bending, $\mathrm{s}_{66} \sim \mathrm{~s}_{78}$ for bond torsion. The local internal symmetry coordinates were not presented in this paper for the space. A normal coordinate analysis was carried out using GF matrix method developed by Wilson to give the scaled vibration frequencies and the potential energy distributions. The theoretical force field F matrix, which was obtained through molecular vibration calculation programs, was transformed from cartesian into the local internal coordinates. In order to make the vibration frequencies in good agreement with the experimental values, we applied SQM procedures given by Pulay et al. ${ }^{22}$ to empirically scale the theoretical force fields:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ij}}^{\text {Scaled }}=\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)^{1 / 2} \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{ij}}^{\mathrm{DFT}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

C represents the scaled factor of the i local internal symmetry coordinates; $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ij}}^{\mathrm{DFT}}$ stands for the theoretical force constant in the local internal coordinates and $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ij}}^{\text {scaled }}$ means the scaled force constant. According to previous studies ${ }^{23}$, the scale factors are 0.9679 for the vibration frequency higher than $1000 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and 1.0100 for the vibration frequency lower than $1000 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. The kinetic energy matrix, G, was built up from a set of independent internal coordinates and the optimized molecular geometry. And then the corresponding GF matrix eigen values were calculated. These results lead to the final theoretical frequencies and the potential energy distributions matrix.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Geometry of 5-bromo-8-methoxy-1-methyl- $\beta$-carboline: The two B3LYP/6-311++G** and B3LYP/aug-cc-pVDZ levels give a similar geometry and virbrational frequencies. The calculated virbrational frequencies have no imaginary frequency, which indicates that the optimized geometry is located at the minimum point on the potential energy surface. The following discussions were mainly based on the results calculated by B3LYP method. The calculated geometric parameters were listed in Table-1.

Table-1 showed that the calculated geometric parameters are very close to the experimental X-ray crystal structure ${ }^{19}$. The bond distance of the $\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{2}$ bond is 0.1402 and 0.1400 nm
 respectively. Compared with the experimental results, the difference of the bond length is only 0.0004 nm . The bond angle of the $\mathrm{C}_{6}-\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{2}$ three atoms is 117.6 and 117.5 degree at the two levels, respectively. The calculated bond angle of $\mathrm{C}_{6}-\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{2}$ is only 0.3 degree larger than that of the experiment value. The dihedral angle of the $\mathrm{O}_{9}-\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{3}$ four atoms is 0.0 and 0.0 degree at the two levels of theory, respectively. The largest difference between the calculated dihedral angle and the experiment results is only 0.1 degree. From the tortional angles in Table-1, it is concluded that all atoms of the molecular skeleton are in the same plane, which presents the aromatic characteristics.

## IR spectrum of 5-bromo-8-methoxy-1-methyl- $\beta$-carboline:

 Based on the optimized geometry, the vibration frequency was calculated at the same levels. The Gaussian functions were used to describe the infrared spectrum with the vibration frequency and absorption intensity. The infrared spectrum diagrams obtained at the B3LYP/6-311++G** level was depicted in Fig. 2. The 5-bromo-8-methoxy-1-methyl- $\beta$-carboline molecule belongs to the point group $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ and involves 78 free degrees of vibration, all of which are infrared and Raman activity.

Fig. 2. Calculated IR spectrum of 5-bromo-8-methoxy-1-methyl- $\beta$ carboline at B3LYP/6-311++G** level

The interaction between the indole-ring and the pyridinering leads to the fundamental frequency distribution below $1633 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. The coupling appears in the vibration modes of characteristic frequency, which makes it difficult to assign the vibration modes to a certain frequency. Therefore, we calculated the harmonic vibration force constants of 5-bromo-8-methoxy- $\beta$-carboline and the potential energy distributions of vibration modes. The vibration frequency of 5-bromo-8-methoxy- $\beta$-carboline can be clearly assigned according to the potential energy distributions. The results were listed in Table2. Table-2 showed that there are 78 vibration frequencies from 60 to $3616 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. The strongest absorption peak is the vibration mode 31 with a wave number of $1265 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and an intensity of $159.18 \mathrm{KM} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$, which is contributed by the stretching of

| TABLE-1 <br> CALCULATED AND EXPERIMENTAL GEOMETRIC PARAMETERS OF 5-BROMO-8-METHOXY-1-METHYL- $\beta$-CARBOLINE MOLECULE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Name | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { B3LYP/6- } \\ & 311++G^{* *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { B3LYP/aug- } \\ \text { cc-pVDZ } \end{gathered}$ | Expt. [Ref. 19] | Name | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B3LYP/6- } \\ & 311++G^{* *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { B3LYP/aug- } \\ \text { cc-pVDZ } \end{gathered}$ | Expt. [Ref. 19] |
| Bond length ( nm ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | 0.1402 | 0.1405 | 0.1404 | $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{16}$ | 0.1384 | 0.1386 | 0.1375 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{3}$ | 0.1415 | 0.1420 | 0.1415 | $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{C}_{15}$ | 0.1415 | 0.1418 | 0.1414 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{4}$ | 0.1405 | 0.1409 | 0.1402 | $\mathrm{C}_{15}-\mathrm{C}_{3}$ | 0.1451 | 0.1453 | 0.1443 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{4}-\mathrm{C}_{5}$ | 0.1382 | 0.1386 | 0.1375 | $\mathrm{N}_{16}-\mathrm{H}_{18}$ | 0.1006 | 0.1008 | 0.0750 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{5}-\mathrm{C}_{6}$ | 0.1410 | 0.1414 | 0.1412 | $\mathrm{C}_{17}-\mathrm{C}_{23}$ | 0.1402 | 0.1406 | 0.1400 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{6}-\mathrm{C}_{1}$ | 0.1387 | 0.1392 | 0.1385 | $\mathrm{C}_{23}-\mathrm{N}_{24}$ | 0.1331 | 0.1335 | 0.1339 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{6}-\mathrm{H}_{8}$ | 0.1082 | 0.1087 | 0.1000 | $\mathrm{C}_{21}-\mathrm{N}_{24}$ | 0.1348 | 0.1351 | 0.1354 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{5}-\mathrm{H}_{7}$ | 0.1082 | 0.1088 | 0.0920 | $\mathrm{C}_{21}-\mathrm{C}_{19}$ | 0.1387 | 0.1392 | 0.1381 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{O}_{9}$ | 0.1366 | 0.1368 | 0.1361 | $\mathrm{C}_{19}-\mathrm{C}_{15}$ | 0.1401 | 0.1405 | 0.1405 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{N}_{16}$ | 0.1377 | 0.1380 | 0.1375 | $\mathrm{C}_{25}-\mathrm{H}_{26}$ | 0.1096 | 0.1102 | 0.1080 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{4}-\mathrm{Br}_{14}$ | 0.1917 | 0.1918 | 0.1900 | $\mathrm{C}_{25}-\mathrm{H}_{27}$ | 0.1096 | 0.1102 | 0.1030 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{10}-\mathrm{O}_{9}$ | 0.1424 | 0.1426 | 0.1432 | $\mathrm{C}_{23}-\mathrm{C}_{25}$ | 0.1505 | 0.1506 | 0.1500 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{19}-\mathrm{H}_{20}$ | 0.1081 | 0.1087 | 0.0930 | $\mathrm{C}_{21}-\mathrm{H}_{22}$ | 0.1085 | 0.1091 | 0.0980 |
| Angles ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C}_{6}-\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | 117.61 | 117.62 | 117.87 | $\mathrm{O}_{9}-\mathrm{C}_{4}-\mathrm{C}_{6}$ | 126.89 | 126.72 | 126.86 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{3}$ | 122.92 | 122.82 | 122.28 | $\mathrm{O}_{9}-\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | 115.50 | 115.67 | 115.25 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{4}$ | 117.64 | 117.75 | 117.87 | $\mathrm{O}_{9}-\mathrm{C}_{10}-\mathrm{H}_{11}$ | 111.13 | 110.99 | 119.4 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{4}-\mathrm{C}_{5}$ | 120.12 | 120.15 | 120.57 | $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{C}_{19}-\mathrm{C}_{15}$ | 117.34 | 117.28 | 117.32 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{4}-\mathrm{C}_{5}-\mathrm{C}_{6}$ | 121.02 | 120.93 | 120.64 | $\mathrm{O}_{9}-\mathrm{C}_{10}-\mathrm{H}_{13}$ | 111.14 | 111.01 | 124.7 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{5}-\mathrm{C}_{6}-\mathrm{C}_{1}$ | 120.69 | 120.74 | 120.75 | $\mathrm{H}_{11}-\mathrm{C}_{10}-\mathrm{H}_{12}$ | 109.47 | 109.60 | 117.3 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{15}-\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | 105.93 | 105.99 | 105.74 | $\mathrm{H}_{11}-\mathrm{C}_{10}-\mathrm{H}_{13}$ | 109.66 | 109.67 | 120.6 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{N}_{16}$ | 109.61 | 109.49 | 109.96 | $\mathrm{H}_{12}-\mathrm{C}_{10}-\mathrm{H}_{13}$ | 109.46 | 109.60 | 118.6 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{N}_{16}-\mathrm{C}_{17}$ | 108.85 | 108.94 | 108.22 | $\mathrm{H}_{18}-\mathrm{N}_{16}-\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | 124.17 | 124.32 | 123.00 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{16}-\mathrm{C}_{17}-\mathrm{C}_{15}$ | 108.93 | 108.93 | 109.59 | $\mathrm{H}_{18}-\mathrm{N}_{16}-\mathrm{C}_{17}$ | 126.99 | 126.74 | 129.00 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{17}-\mathrm{C}_{15}-\mathrm{C}_{3}$ | 106.67 | 106.65 | 106.46 | $\mathrm{C}_{15}-\mathrm{C}_{19}-\mathrm{H}_{20}$ | 121.98 | 121.99 | 122.70 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{19}-\mathrm{C}_{15}-\mathrm{C}_{17}$ | 117.34 | 117.40 | 117.54 | $\mathrm{C}_{19}-\mathrm{C}_{21}-\mathrm{H}_{22}$ | 119.94 | 119.87 | 119.00 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{15}-\mathrm{C}_{17}-\mathrm{C}_{23}$ | 121.57 | 121.56 | 121.64 | $\mathrm{C}_{21}-\mathrm{C}_{19}-\mathrm{H}_{20}$ | 120.68 | 120.73 | 120.00 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{C}_{23}-\mathrm{N}_{24}$ | 119.57 | 119.56 | 119.37 | $\mathrm{N}_{24} \mathrm{C}_{21}-\mathrm{H}_{22}$ | 115.40 | 115.47 | 116.30 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{23}-\mathrm{N}_{24}-\mathrm{C}_{21}$ | 119.52 | 119.55 | 119.44 | $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{C}_{23}-\mathrm{C}_{25}$ | 121.63 | 121.73 | 121.40 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{24}-\mathrm{C}_{21}-\mathrm{C}_{19}$ | 124.66 | 124.66 | 124.67 | $\mathrm{N}_{24}-\mathrm{C}_{23}-\mathrm{C}_{25}$ | 118.80 | 118.72 | 119.23 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{5}-\mathrm{C}_{4}-\mathrm{Br}_{14}$ | 118.83 | 118.89 | 119.86 | $\mathrm{Br}_{14}-\mathrm{C}_{4}-\mathrm{C}_{3}$ | 121.05 | 120.96 | 119.57 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{N}_{16}$ | 127.46 | 127.68 | 127.71 | $\mathrm{C}_{4}-\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{15}$ | 136.43 | 136.27 | 136.34 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{16}-\mathrm{C}_{17}-\mathrm{C}_{23}$ | 129.50 | 129.51 | 128.74 | $\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{15}-\mathrm{C}_{19}$ | 135.98 | 135.94 | 135.98 |

the $\mathrm{N}_{16}-\mathrm{C}_{17}, \mathrm{~N}_{24}-\mathrm{C}_{21}, \mathrm{C}_{23}-\mathrm{C}_{25}$ bonds and the deformation of the $\mathrm{C}_{15}-\mathrm{C}_{17}-\mathrm{C}_{23}-\mathrm{N}_{24}-\mathrm{C}_{21}-\mathrm{C}_{19}$ ring. Potential energy distributions of the stretching of the bonds and the deformation of the $\mathrm{C}_{15}-\mathrm{C}_{17}-$ $\mathrm{C}_{23}-\mathrm{N}_{24}-\mathrm{C}_{21}-\mathrm{C}_{19}$ ring are $10.85,14.90,10.99$ and $21.53 \%$, respectively. These three bonds are the characteristic groups of the 5-bromo- 8 -methoxy-1-methyl- $\beta$-carboline, corresponding to the characteristic absorption peak. The weakest one is the vibration mode 45 with a frequency of $910 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and an intensity of $0.04 \mathrm{KM} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$. This absorption peak can not be observed in the experimental infrared spectrum, but can be clearly assigned through the potential energy distributions. The vibration mode is contributed by the out-of-plan bend of the $\mathrm{C}_{6}-\mathrm{H}_{8}, \mathrm{C}_{5}-\mathrm{H}_{7}$ bonds (Table-2). Total potential energy distributions of these vibration patterns is $132.06 \%$, more than $100 \%$, which indicates that strong coupling is appearing between these vibration modes.

The vibration mode with the highest frequency of 3616 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ is contributed by the stretching of the $\mathrm{N}_{16}-\mathrm{H}_{18}$ bond in indole-ring, which presents a strong absorption intensity of $88.66 \mathrm{KM} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ (Table-2). The potential energy distributions of the $\mathrm{N}_{16}-\mathrm{H}_{18}$ bond stretching is $99.96 \%$ and the potential energy distributions of other vibration modes are zero, which indicates no other vibration coupling appearing. In Fig. 2, the
sharp absorption peak can be used as the characteristic vibration absorption peak of 5-bromo-8-methoxy- $\beta$-carboline. The vibration frequencies with wave number around $3000 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ has relatively weak absorption intensity and the potential energy distributions show that there is no coupling appearing. These vibration frequencies are contributed by the stretching movement of carbon-hydrogen bonds. The carbon-hydrogen bonds' stretching movement can be easily identified based on the potential energy distributions, which is consistent with the experimental data with wave numbers $2925 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. All vibration modes with wave numbers lower than $1700 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ are more than two vibration modes appearing coupling. For instance, the vibration mode 66 is contributed by the in-plan rocking of the $\mathrm{C}_{4}-\mathrm{Br}_{14}$ bond and the perking of the two rings $\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{3}-$ $\mathrm{C}_{4}-\mathrm{C}_{5}-\mathrm{C}_{6}, \mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{N}_{16}-\mathrm{C}_{17}-\mathrm{C}_{15}$ and the $\mathrm{C}_{15}-\mathrm{C}_{17}-\mathrm{C}_{23}-\mathrm{N}_{24}-\mathrm{C}_{21}-\mathrm{C}_{19}$ asymmetry torsion. The wave number of this mode is $293 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and the absorption intensity is $1.65 \mathrm{KM} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$. The potential energy distributions of the vibration patterns are $14.27,32.50$ and $30.53 \%$, respectively. The vibration of the $\mathrm{C}_{4}-\mathrm{Br}_{14}$ bond in the ground state is extremely weak and other vibration mode coupling appears. Therefore, there is no characteristic absorption peak appearing in the IR spectrum for the $\mathrm{C}_{4}-\mathrm{Br}_{14}$ bond.

## TABLE-2

ASSIGNMENT OF THE CALCULATED IR SPECTRUM OF
5-BROMO-8-METHOXY-1-METHYL- $\beta$-CARBOLINE AT THE B3LYP/6-311++G** LEVEL

| No. | B3LYP/6-311++G** |  |  | Potential energy distributions (\%) | Assignment ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Frequency $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ | Scaled $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Intensity } \\ \left(\mathrm{KM} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| $v_{1}$ | 3676 | 3616 | 88.66 | $\mathrm{s}_{2599.96)}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{16}-\mathrm{H}_{18}$ stretch. |
| $v_{2}$ | 3219 | 3167 | 4.12 | $\mathrm{S}_{1998.20)}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{19}-\mathrm{H}_{20}$ stretch. |
| $v_{3}$ | 3211 | 3159 | 3.00 | $\mathrm{s}_{16(58.65)}, \mathrm{s}_{17(41.02)}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{6}-\mathrm{H}_{8}$ stretch. $\mathrm{C}_{5}-\mathrm{H}_{7}$ stretch. |
| $v_{4}$ | 3197 | 3145 | 3.88 | $\mathrm{s}_{16(41.44)}, \mathrm{s}_{17(59.06)}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{6}-\mathrm{H}_{8}$ stretch. $\mathrm{C}_{5}-\mathrm{H}_{7}$ stretch. |
| $v_{5}$ | 3160 | 3109 | 21.46 | $\mathrm{S}_{20998.47)}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{21}-\mathrm{H}_{22}$ stretch. |
| $v_{6}$ | 3139 | 3088 | 17.60 | $\mathrm{S}_{29(1.97)}, \mathrm{S}_{30(67.28)}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ asym. stretch. $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ asym. stretch. |
| $v_{7}$ | 3131 | 3080 | 6.58 | $\mathrm{s}_{2390.68)}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{26}$ asym. stretch. |
| $v_{8}$ | 3073 | 3023 | 31.51 | $\mathrm{s}_{29(76.12)}, \mathrm{s}_{30(25.77)}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ asym. stretch. $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ asym. stretch. |
| $v_{9}$ | 3054 | 3005 | 19.25 | $\mathrm{S}_{24(100.88)}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{26}$ asym. stretch. |
| $v_{10}$ | 3011 | 2963 | 62.85 | $\mathrm{S}_{28(9.182)}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ symm. stretch. |
| $v_{11}$ | 3010 | 2961 | 25.35 | $\mathrm{s}_{2291.50}, \mathrm{~s}_{23(10.24)}$, | $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{26}$ symm. stretch. $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{26}$ asym. stretch. |
| $\mathrm{v}_{12}$ | 1657 | 1633 | 19.37 | $\mathrm{s}_{1(38.16)}, \mathrm{s}_{4(13.83)}, \mathrm{s}_{33}(10.60)$ | $\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{2}$ stretch. $\mathrm{C}_{4}-\mathrm{C}_{5}$ stretch. $\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{4}-\mathrm{C}_{5}-\mathrm{C}_{6}$ asym. deform. |
| $v_{13}$ | 1633 | 1612 | 8.26 | $\mathrm{s}_{112(2.89)}, \mathrm{s}_{14(16.121}, \mathrm{s}_{15(11.26)}$, $S_{37(10.34)}$, | $\mathrm{C}_{17}-\mathrm{C}_{23}$ stretch. $\mathrm{C}_{21}-\mathrm{C}_{19}$ stretch. $\mathrm{C}_{19}-\mathrm{C}_{15}$ stretch. $\mathrm{C}_{15}-\mathrm{C}_{17}-\mathrm{C}_{23}-\mathrm{N}_{24}-\mathrm{C}_{21}-\mathrm{C}_{19}$ asym. deform. |
| $v_{14}$ | 1603 | 1583 | 104.21 | $\mathrm{s}_{5(10.64)}, \mathrm{s}_{6(20.82)}, \mathrm{s}_{39}(14.59)$ | $\mathrm{C}_{5}-\mathrm{C}_{6}$ stretch. $\mathrm{C}_{6}-\mathrm{C}_{7}$ stretch. $\mathrm{C}_{6}-\mathrm{H}_{8}$ i-p rock. |
| $v_{15}$ | 1597 | 1577 | 16.67 | $S_{9(14.26)}, s_{12(12,201)} s_{15(25.16)}$, $\mathrm{S}_{38(10.92)}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{17}-\mathrm{C}_{15}$ stretch. $\mathrm{C}_{23}-\mathrm{N}_{24}$ stretch. $\mathrm{C}_{19}-\mathrm{C}_{15}$ stretch. $\mathrm{C}_{15}-\mathrm{C}_{17}-\mathrm{C}_{23}-\mathrm{N}_{24}-\mathrm{C}_{21}-\mathrm{C}_{19}$ asym. deform. |
| $\mathrm{v}_{16}$ | 1524 | 1513 | 76.17 | $\mathrm{s}_{54(23.01)}, \mathrm{S}_{55(67.65)}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ asym. deform. $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ scis. |
| $\mathrm{v}_{17}$ | 1512 | 1511 | 24.09 | $\mathrm{s}_{4(11.11)}, \mathrm{s}_{34(11.12)}, \mathrm{s}_{40(20.47)}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{4}-\mathrm{C}_{5}$ stretch. $\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{N}_{16}-\mathrm{C}_{17}-\mathrm{C}_{15}$ ring deform. $\mathrm{C}_{5}-\mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{i}$-p rock. |
| $\nu_{18}$ | 1505 | 1507 | 30.11 | $\mathrm{s}_{43(14.65)}, \mathrm{S}_{47(25.05)}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{21}-\mathrm{H}_{22}$ i-p rock. $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{28}$ scis. |
| $\mathrm{v}_{19}$ | 1491 | 1498 | 9.76 | $\mathrm{s}_{54(71.755}, \mathrm{s}_{55(24.95)}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ asym. deform. $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ scis. |
| $v_{20}$ | 1486 | 1494 | 9.66 | $\mathrm{S}_{46(69.900}, \mathrm{S}_{4723.85)}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{28}$ asym. deform. $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{28}$ scis. |
| $\mathrm{v}_{21}$ | 1482 | 1484 | 45.18 | $\mathrm{S}_{47(18.20)}, \mathrm{S}_{53(54.76)}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{28}$ scis. $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ symm deform. |
| $\mathrm{v}_{22}$ | 1476 | 1480 | 6.30 | $\mathrm{S}_{43(14.44)}, \mathrm{s}_{47(16.788}, \mathrm{s}_{53}(39.44)$ | $\mathrm{H}_{22}$ i-p rock. $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{28}$ scis. $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ symm. deform. |
| $\mathrm{v}_{23}$ | 1441 | 1432 | 94.60 | $\mathrm{s}_{8(21.51}, \mathrm{s}_{9(1.31)}, \mathrm{s}_{42(25.38)}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{16}-\mathrm{C}_{17}$ stretch. $\mathrm{C}_{17}-\mathrm{C}_{15}$ stretch. $\mathrm{C}_{19}-\mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{i}$-p rock. |
| $\mathrm{v}_{24}$ | 1417 | 1410 | 18.67 | $\mathrm{S}_{4587.36)}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{28}$ symm. deform. |
| $\mathrm{v}_{25}$ | 1410 | 1403 | 36.00 | $\mathrm{s}_{2(25.38)}, \mathrm{s}_{39(12.07)}, \mathrm{s}_{50(16.47)}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{3}$ stretch. $\mathrm{C}_{6}-\mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{i}$-p rock. $\mathrm{N}_{16}-\mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{i}$-p rock. |
| $\mathrm{v}_{26}$ | 1403 | 1395 | 14.13 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{s}_{3(21.681}, \mathrm{s}_{4(17.877}, \mathrm{s}_{722.91}, \\ & \mathrm{~s}_{50(10.14)} \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{4}$ stretch. $\mathrm{C}_{4}-\mathrm{C}_{5}$ stretch. $\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{N}_{16}$ stretch. $\mathrm{N}_{16}-\mathrm{H}_{18}$ i-p rock. |
| $\mathrm{V}_{27}$ | 1356 | 1341 | 53.71 | $\mathrm{s}_{1(14.61)}, \mathrm{S}_{2(20.21)}, \mathrm{s}_{4(12.39)}$, $\mathrm{s}_{6(22.81)}, \mathrm{s}_{7(11.25)}, \mathrm{s}_{40(10.15)}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{2}$ stretch. $\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{3}$ stretch. $\mathrm{C}_{4}-\mathrm{C}_{5}$ stretch. $\mathrm{C}_{6}-\mathrm{C}_{7}$ stretch. $\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{N}_{16}$ stretch. $\mathrm{C}_{5}-$ $\mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{i}$-p rock. |
| $\mathrm{V}_{28}$ | 1331 | 1320 | 45.18 | $\mathrm{S}_{10(19.47)}, \mathrm{S}_{12(22.13)}, \mathrm{S}_{15(12.78)}$, $\mathrm{S}_{43(18.80)}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{15}-\mathrm{C}_{3}$ stretch. $\mathrm{C}_{23}-\mathrm{N}_{24}$ stretch. $\mathrm{C}_{19}-\mathrm{C}_{15}$ stretch. $\mathrm{C}_{21}-\mathrm{H}_{22}$ i-p rock. |
| $\mathrm{v}_{29}$ | 1311 | 1295 | 109.20 | $\mathrm{s}_{9(23.71)}, \mathrm{s}_{12(25.10)}, \mathrm{s}_{14(14.32)}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{17}-\mathrm{C}_{15}$ stretch. $\mathrm{C}_{23}-\mathrm{N}_{24}$ stretch. $\mathrm{C}_{21}-\mathrm{C}_{19}$ stretch. |
| $v_{30}$ | 1286 | 1276 | 41.23 | $\mathrm{s}_{26(12.000}, \mathrm{s}_{39(2,281)}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{O}_{9}$ stretch. $\mathrm{C}_{6}-\mathrm{H}_{8}$ i-p rock. |
| $\mathrm{v}_{31}$ | 1280 | 1265 | 159.18 | $\mathrm{S}_{810.85),} \mathrm{S}_{13(14.90)} \mathrm{S}_{21(10.99)}$, $\mathrm{S}_{36(21.53)}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{16}-\mathrm{C}_{17}$ stretch. $\mathrm{N}_{24}-\mathrm{C}_{21}$ stretch. $\mathrm{C}_{23}-\mathrm{C}_{25}$ stretch. $\mathrm{C}_{15}-\mathrm{C}_{17}-\mathrm{C}_{23}-\mathrm{N}_{24}-\mathrm{C}_{21}-\mathrm{C}_{19}$ triangle deform. |
| $\mathrm{V}_{32}$ | 1260 | 1247 | 64.94 | $\mathrm{s}_{13123.511)}, \mathrm{s}_{26(14.12)}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{24}-\mathrm{C}_{21}$ stretch. $\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{O}_{9}$ stretch. |
| $v_{33}$ | 1236 | 1229 | 53.92 | $\mathrm{s}_{8(13.83)}, \mathrm{s}_{40(12.59)}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{16}-\mathrm{C}_{17}$ stretch. $\mathrm{C}_{5}-\mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{i}$-p rock. |
| $v_{34}$ | 1205 | 1206 | 7.79 | $\mathrm{s}_{56(13.81)}, \mathrm{s}_{57(42.29)}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ asym. deform. $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ scis. |
| $v_{35}$ | 1168 | 1174 | 0.78 | $\mathrm{s}_{56(70.82)}, \mathrm{s}_{57(4.599}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ asym. deform. $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ scis. |
| $v_{36}$ | 1161 | 1149 | 27.89 | $\mathrm{s}_{27(1.51)}, \mathrm{S}_{36(2.71)}, \mathrm{S}_{50(14.80)}$ | $\mathrm{O}_{9}-\mathrm{C}_{10}$ stretch. $\mathrm{C}_{15}-\mathrm{C}_{17}-\mathrm{C}_{23}-\mathrm{N}_{24}-\mathrm{C}_{21}-\mathrm{C}_{19}$ triangle deform. $\mathrm{N}_{16}-\mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{i}$-p rock. |
| $v_{37}$ | 1137 | 1128 | 8.15 | $\mathrm{s}_{5(36.14)}, \mathrm{s}_{39(19.26)}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{5}-\mathrm{C}_{6}$ stretch. $\mathrm{C}_{6}-\mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{i}$-p rock. |
| $v_{38}$ | 1121 | 1116 | 3.52 | $\mathrm{s}_{13(12.56}, \mathrm{s}_{14112.43)} . \mathrm{s}_{4222,45}$, $\mathrm{S}_{48(1,423)}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{24}-\mathrm{C}_{21}$ stretch. $\mathrm{C}_{21}-\mathrm{C}_{19}$ stretch. $\mathrm{C}_{19}-\mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{i}$-p rock. $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{28}$ asym. deform. |
| $v_{39}$ | 1079 | 1063 | 72.50 | $\mathrm{s}_{27 \text { (40.67) }}$ | $\mathrm{O}_{9}-\mathrm{C}_{10}$ stretch. |
| $\mathrm{v}_{40}$ | 1053 | 1059 | 1.40 | $\mathrm{s}_{49(77.84)}, \mathrm{s}_{63(10.84)}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{28}$ scis. $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{28}$ o-o-p rock. |
| $v_{41}$ | 1007 | 997 | 9.11 | $\mathrm{s}_{27 \text { (20.21) }}, \mathrm{s}_{48}(27.76)$ | $\mathrm{O}_{9}-\mathrm{C}_{10}$ stretch. $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{28}$ asym. deform. |
| $\mathrm{v}_{42}$ | 971 | 976 | 0.07 | $\mathrm{s}_{61(51.25)}, \mathrm{s}_{62(79.59)}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{19}-\mathrm{H}_{20}$ o-o-p rock. $\mathrm{C}_{21}-\mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{o}$-o-p rock. |
| $v_{43}$ | 958 | 949 | 23.11 | $\mathrm{s}_{12(11.99)} \mathrm{s}_{27(13.49)} \mathrm{s}_{48(23.74)}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{23}-\mathrm{N}_{24}$ stretch. $\mathrm{O}_{9}-\mathrm{C}_{10}$ stretch. $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{28}$ asym. deform. |
| $\mathrm{v}_{44}$ | 928 | 914 | 35.26 | $\mathrm{s}_{7(12.71}, \mathrm{s}_{18(21.01}, \mathrm{s}_{31(32.47)}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{N}_{16}$ stretch. $\mathrm{C}_{4}-\mathrm{Br}_{14}$ stretch. $\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{4}-\mathrm{C}_{5}-\mathrm{C}_{6}$ triangle deform. |
| $\mathrm{v}_{45}$ | 905 | 910 | 0.04 | $\mathrm{s}_{58(53.877}, \mathrm{s}_{59778.19)}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{6}-\mathrm{H}_{8}$ o-o-p rock. $\mathrm{C}_{5}-\mathrm{H}_{7}$ o-o-p rock. |


|  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Notes: We neglect the vibrational modes, potential energy distributions of which are lower than $10 \%$. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ abbreviations used: stretch., stretching; bend., bending; deform., deformation; twis., twisting; scis., scissoring; rock., rocking; wagg., wagging; tors., torsion; i-p, in-plane; o-o-p, out-ofplane; asym., asymmetry; sym., symmetry. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Frequencies and intensities were calculated from the unscaled B3LYP/6-311++G** force field.

Raman spectrum of 5-bromo-8-methoxy-1-methyl- $\beta$ carboline: We have also predicted the Raman spectrum of the 5-bromo-8-methoxy-1-methyl- $\beta$-carboline molecule at the B3LYP/6-311++G** level. All vibration modes indicate Raman active. The calculated Raman spectrum was shown in Fig. 3. The strongest absorption peak is the symmetric stretching of three hydrogen atoms of the methoxy group with vibration frequency of $2947 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and absorption intensity of 497.15 $\mathrm{KM} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$.


Fig. 3. Calculated Raman spectrum of 5-bromo-8-methoxy-1-methyl- $\beta$ carboline at B3LYP/6-311++G** level

The highest absorption peak is assigned to the stretching of the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ bond. The absorption peak with vibration frequency around $3000 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ is assigned to the stretching of C-H bond. The absorption peaks with vibration frequencies lower than $2000 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ are complex and an absorption peak may correspond to several different vibration modes, appearing coupling.

## Conclusion

The B3LYP method with the $6-311++\mathrm{G}^{* *}$ and aug-ccpVDZ basis sets was applied to study the geometry and vibration spectrum of 5 -bromo- 8 -methoxy-1-methyl- $\beta$ carboline alkaloid. Wilson GF matrix method was used to analyze the normal internal coordinate. The fully optimized geometry is in consistent with the experimental results. The vibration modes were assigned reasonably according to the potential energy distributions. The total 78 vibration modes from 60 to $3616 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ indicate Infrared and Raman active. The highest one is contributed exclusively by the stretching of the $\mathrm{N}_{16}-\mathrm{H}_{18}$ bond with potential energy distributions of $99.96 \%$. These results are helpful for further study of the relationship between molecular structure and pharmacological activity of the alkaloids.
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