

Silica Sulfuric Acid-Catalyzed One-Pot Synthesis of 1,3-Diarylimidazolium Tetrafluoroborate

 $\begin{array}{l} Yu \ Wan^{2,*,\dagger}, \ Wen-Li \ Zhang^{1,\dagger}, \ Hao \ Cui^l, \ Li-Zhuo \ Zhang^l, \ Qiu-Ju \ Zhou^l, \ Huan \ Zou^l, \\ Yuan-Jiang \ Wang^l, \ Wen-Hui \ Ge^l, \ Yue \ Fang^l, \ Sheng-Liang \ Zhou^2 \ and \ Hui \ Wu^{1,2,*} \end{array}$

¹School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Jiangsu Normal University, Xuzhou 221116, P.R. China ²State Key Laboratory Cultivation Construction Base of Biotechnology on Med-edible Plant of Jiangsu Province, Jiangsu Normal University, Xuzhou 221116, P.R. China

*Corresponding author: Fax +86 516 83500164; Tel: +86 516 83403163; E-mail: wuhui72@126.com †These authors contributed equally to this work.

Received: 23 December 2014;	Accepted: 7 February 2015;	Published online: 27 April 2015;	AJC-17202

A silica sulfuric acid-catalyzed three-component one-pot synthesis of 1,3-diarylimidazolium tetrafluoroborates was undertaken *via* the reaction of aromatic amine, formaldehyde and glyoxal at room temperature in ionic liquid [BMIM][BF₄] with good yields. Up to four new bonds and one new ring were formed in one-pot with water as the only by-product in the reactions. The product **4d** was determined by X-ray diffraction. This work develops an efficient and low-cost preparation of 1,3-diarylimidazolium and N-heterocylic carbene.

Keywords: Synthesis, Silica sulfuric acid, 1,3-Diarylimidazolium tetrafluoroborate.

INTRODUCTION

Since the first isolation and characterization of N-heterocyclic carbene (NHC) by Arduengo and co-workers¹ in 1991, various stable N-heterocyclic carbenes (NHCs)^{2,3} has been investigated and applied extensively. It is possible to prepare new nucleophilic reagent⁴, organic catalysts⁵, organic metal catalysts⁶ and chiral catalysts⁷ from N-heterocyclic carbenes, which promoted the revolutionary progress in the key fields of organic synthesis and polymer chemistry⁸.

Imidazolium salt has been widely used in organic synthesis as precursors. It was generating great interest in their usage as versatile organocatalyst⁹⁻¹², ionic liquid¹³ and key ligands¹⁴⁻¹⁹ in N-heterocyclic carbene complexes. Most of all, it represents the typical architectures of stable nucleophilic singlet carbenes. Accordingly, the development of simple and efficient strategies for the construction of this molecular architecture is of considerable importance.

Generally, imidazolium salts were prepared by two steps involving the condensation of glyoxal, amine and formaldehyde and then the dehydration in the presence of strong acid²⁰. However, these procedures must be processed under some harsh reaction conditions (high reaction temperature, strong acid and so on) with low total yields. Comparatively speaking, their one-step synthesis by condensation of 1,2-diamine with formaldehyde or ammonium formate or triethyl orthoformate²¹ gradually became the main method with the advantages high yield and easily controlled reaction conditions. However, a long time reflux in organic solvent and absolute removal of organic solvent were necessary to ensure the final cyclization.

EXPERIMENTAL

IR spectra were recorded with a Varian FTIR-Tensor-27 spectrophotometer using KBr optics. ¹H NMR spectra were recorded at 400 MHz on a Bruker DPX 400 spectrometer using TMS as an internal standard and DMSO as solvent. Mass was determined by using a Bruker TOF-MS high resolution mass spectrometer. All reagents were obtained from commercial suppliers and used without further purification unless otherwise stated. Silica sulfuric acid was prepared in our lab. Organic solvents were dried and distilled prior to use.

Experimental process

Synthesis of 1,3-diarylimidazolium tetrafluoroborate: A mixture of amines (2.0 mmol), formaldehyde (1.0 mmol), glyoxal (1.0 mmol) and silica sulfuric acid (0.2 g) and [BMIM]BF₄ (3.0 mL) was stirred at room temperature for 1.5 h until complete consumption of the starting material as monitored by TLC. After completion of the reaction, the mixture was diluted with water; the crude solid was recrystallized with 95 % EtOH/DMF (1:4), and then filtered to remove silica sulfuric acid to provide the pure product **4**.

Spectral data for compounds

1,3-Di-*p***-tolylimidazolidine (4b):** White crystal, m.p. > 300 °C, 0.29 g (85 %). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆): δ 11.80 (1H, q, ArH), 8.00-7.98 (4H, d, *J* = 8.4 Hz), 7.54 (s, 2H), 7.44-7.42 (4H, d, *J* = 8.0 Hz), 2.44 (s, 6H). IR (cm⁻¹): 3441, 3340, 3924, 2861, 1606, 1557, 1452, 1381, 948, 722, 633. HRMS: calcd. for C₁₇H₂₀N₂ [M+H]⁺ found (expected): 252.1678 (252.1626).

1,3-Bis(**4-methoxyphenyl**)**imidazolidine** (**4d**): White crystal, m.p. > 300 °C, 0.34 g (91 %). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆): δ 10.15 (s, 1H, ArH), 8.46-8.45 (2H, d, *J* = 1.6 Hz, ArH), 7.83-7.816 (4H, d, *J* = 9.2 Hz, ArH), 7.25-7.23 (4H, d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, ArH), 3.86 (6H, s). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆): δ 160.16, 13.89, 127.78, 123.60, 121.955, 115.13, 55.78. IR (cm⁻¹): 3367, 3069, 2938, 2857, 1794, 1505, 985, 743, 679. HRMS: calcd. for C₁₇H₂₀N₂O₂ [M+H]⁺ found (expected): 284.1583 (284.1525). C₁₇H₁₉N₂O₃Cl, monoclinic, P1, a = 15.6706 (19) Å, b = 9.4198 (9) Å, c = 5.0402 (64) Å, α = 90.00°, β = 90.1560 (10)°, γ = 90.00°, V = 797.50 Å³, Z = 2, R (F) = 0.0294, wR (F2) = 0.0737, T = 298 K.

1,3-Bis(**4-fluorophenyl**)**imidazolidine** (**4e**): White crystal, m.p. > 300 °C, 0.29 g (83 %). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆): δ 10.29 (1H, s, CH), 8.53-8.52 (2H, d, *J* = 7.6 Hz), 7.99-7.95 (4H, d, Ar), 7.63-7.59 (4H, t). IR (cm⁻¹): 3151, 3024, 1614, 1590, 1512, 1483758, 650. HRMS: calcd. for C₁₅H₁₄N₂F₂ [M+H]⁺ found (expected): 260.1185 (260.1125).

1,3-*Bis*(**4-chlorophenyl)imidazolidine** (**4f**): White crystal, m.p. > 300 °C, 0.31 g (82 %). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆): δ 10.47 (s, 1H, ArH), 8.58-8.58 (2H, s, ArH), 8.00 (2H, s, ArH), 7.97 (2H, s, ArH), 7.83-7.80 (3H, t, ArH). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆): δ 135.07, 134.61, 133.48, 130.15, 123.91, 121.97. IR (cm⁻¹): 3102, 1634, 1546, 1495, 763. HRMS: calcd. for C₁₅H₁₅N₂Cl₂[M+H]⁺ found (expected): 293.0669 (293.0607).

1,3-*Bis*(**3-bromophenyl**)**imidazolidine** (**4g**): White crystal, m.p. > 300 °C, 0.37 g (79 %). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆): δ 10.44 (s, 1H, ArH), 8.61 (s, 2H, ArH), 8.26 (s, 2H, ArH), 7.96-7.90 (s, 2H, ArH), 7.94-7.86 (s, 2H, ArH), 7.71-7.67 (t, 2H, ArH). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆): δ 135.71, 135.26, 132.90, 132.03, 124.86, 122.58, 121.85, 121.06. IR (cm⁻¹): 3175, 3123, 1717, 1605, 1549, 1514, 635. HRMS: calcd. for C₁₅H₁₄N₂Br₂ [M+H]⁺ found (expected): 379.9575 (379.9524).

1,3-*Bis*(**4-bromophenyl**)**imidazolidine** (**4h**): White crystal, m.p. > 300 °C, 0.39 g (84 %). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆): δ 10.39 (s, 1H, ArH), 8.58 (2H, s, ArH), 7.98 (2H, s), 7.96 (2H, s, ArH), 7.89 (2H, s, ArH), 7.87 (2H, s, ArH). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆): δ 134.95, 133.90, 133.07, 124.12, 123.08, 121.92. IR (cm⁻¹): 1571, 1564, 1454, 1378, 1069, 751, 625. HRMS: calcd. for C₁₅H₁₄N₂Br₂[M+H]⁺ found (expected): 379.9573 (379.9524).

1,3-*Bis*(**3-chloro-4-fluorophenyl)imidazolidine** (**4i**): White crystal, m.p. > 300 °C, 0.36 g (81 %). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆): δ 10.90 (s, 1H, ArH), 8.15-8.13 (m, 2H, ArH), 8.08-8.06 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.85-7.83 (t, 3H), 7.39-7.26 (m, 2H, ArH). IR (cm⁻¹): 3080, 2942, 1621, 1565, 1424, 926, 97, 765, 651. HRMS: calcd. for C₁₅H₁₂N₂Cl₂F₂ [M+H]⁺ found (expected): 328.0398 (328.0346).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Herein we integrated the advantages of these two methods mentioned above, reported a simple and efficient method for the synthesis of 1,3-diarylimidazolium tetrafluoroborates *via* the one-pot reaction of aromatic amine, formaldehyde and glyoxal catalyzed by silica sulfuric acid at room temperature in ionic liquid [BMIM][BF₄] with good yields (**Scheme-I**).

Initially, to optimize reaction condition, some catalysts (entries 1-5) were screened when *p*-methoxyaniline (1d), glyoxal and formaldehyde, were chosen as substrates (Table-1). It was shown that 0.2 g silica sulfuric acid is the most suitable one (entry 5) which may attribute to its suitable acidity, whereas catalysts possess stronger acidity or basicity have no advantages in this reaction. Consequently, all further studies were conducted using 0.2 g silica sulfuric acid as catalyst (entry 5).

Having established the best catalyst, the effect of solvents (Table-1, entries **5-10**) and time (entries **5** and **11-13**) on the model reaction was subsequently investigated (Table-1). The results indicated that this reaction can not proceed in common organic solvents except ionic liquids. [BMIM]BF₄ gave the highest yield (entry **5**) than ethanol, THF, DMF, [BPY]BF₄, H₂O and [BMIM]BF₄. [BPY]BF₄ can also promote the reaction but in lower yield (entry **9**) than [BMIM]BF₄ due to its slight weak acidity than that of [BPY]BF₄. It was observed that the suitable time was 1.5 h.

So, the mixture of aromatic amine (1), formaldehyde (2), glyoxal (3) and [BMIM]BF₄ was stirred in the presence of 0.2 g silica sulfuric acid at room temperature for 1.5 h to give the corresponding compounds (4) (Table-2). In all the cases, 1,3-diarylimidazolium tetrafluoroborates have been obtained in moderate to high yields in short times (1.5 h). It was important to note that the process tolerates both electrondonating groups (such as alkoxy groups, Table-2, entries **3-4**) and electron-withdrawing substituents (such as halide groups, Table-2, entries **5-9**) on aromatic amine.

The structures of compound **4d** (Fig. 1) was determined by X-ray crystal structure analysis (CCDC 965289). The ethanol (95%)-dimethylformamidc (DMF) solution (10:1) of the products stood at room temperature for 5-7 days to give single crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction analysis. The crystal data clearly indicated that the structures of products conform to the speculated structures completely. There are



Scheme-I: One-pot synthesis of 1,3-diarylimidazolium tetrafluoroborates

$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	TABLE-1 Optimization of the reaction conditions ^a							
Id234dEntrySolventCatalystCat. (g)Time (h)Yield ^b (%)1[BMIM]BF4HCl0.21.5NR ^c 2[BMIM]BF4NaOH0.21.5NR3[BMIM]BF4NaHSO30.21.5NR4[BMIM]BF4-0.21.5NR5[BMIM]BF4Silica sulfuric acid ⁴ 0.21.5NR6C2H3OHSilica sulfuric acid0.21.5NR7THFSilica sulfuric acid0.21.5NR8DMFSilica sulfuric acid0.21.5NR9[BY]BF4Silica sulfuric acid0.21.58710H2OSilica sulfuric acid0.21.5011[BMIM]BF4Silica sulfuric acid0.11.55012[BMIM]BF4Silica sulfuric acid0.31.58513[BMIM]BF4Silica sulfuric acid0.41.57014[BMIM]BF4Silica sulfuric acid0.20.53315[BMIM]BF4Silica sulfuric acid0.21.08016[BMIM]BF4Silica sulfuric acid0.21.091		2 H ₃ CO-	$CH_2O + H = O + O + H = O + O + O + H = O + O + O + O + O + O + O + O + O + O$	SSA/r.t		CH ₃		
EntrySolventCatalystCat. (g)Time (h)Yield ^b (%)1 $[BMIM]BF_4$ HCl0.21.5NR ^c 2 $[BMIM]BF_4$ NaOH0.21.5NR3 $[BMIM]BF_4$ NaHSO ₃ 0.21.5NR4 $[BMIM]BF_4$ $-$ 0.21.5NR5 $[BMIM]BF_4$ Silica sulfuric acid d0.21.5NR6 C_2H_3OH Silica sulfuric acid0.21.5NR7THFSilica sulfuric acid0.21.5NR8DMFSilica sulfuric acid0.21.5NR9 $[BPY]BF_4$ Silica sulfuric acid0.21.5010 H_2O Silica sulfuric acid0.21.5011 $[BMIM]BF_4$ Silica sulfuric acid0.11.55012 $[BMIM]BF_4$ Silica sulfuric acid0.11.58513 $[BMIM]BF_4$ Silica sulfuric acid0.41.57014 $[BMIM]BF_4$ Silica sulfuric acid0.20.53315 $[BMIM]BF_4$ Silica sulfuric acid0.21.08016 $[BMIM]BF_4$ Silica sulfuric acid0.22.091		1d	2 3		4d			
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Entry	Solvent	Catalyst	Cat. (g)	Time (h)	Yield ^b (%)		
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1	[BMIM]BF ₄	HCl	0.2	1.5	NR ^c		
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2	[BMIM]BF ₄	NaOH	0.2	1.5	NR		
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3	$[BMIM]BF_4$	NaHSO ₃	0.2	1.5	NR		
5 [BMIM]BF ₄ Silica sulfuric acid 0.2 1.5 91 6 C_2H_5OH Silica sulfuric acid 0.2 1.5 NR 7 THF Silica sulfuric acid 0.2 1.5 NR 8 DMF Silica sulfuric acid 0.2 1.5 NR 9 [BPY]BF ₄ Silica sulfuric acid 0.2 1.5 NR 9 [BPY]BF ₄ Silica sulfuric acid 0.2 1.5 87 10 H ₂ O Silica sulfuric acid 0.2 1.5 0 11 [BMIM]BF ₄ Silica sulfuric acid 0.1 1.5 50 12 [BMIM]BF ₄ Silica sulfuric acid 0.4 1.5 70 13 [BMIM]BF ₄ Silica sulfuric acid 0.2 0.5 33 15 [BMIM]BF ₄ Silica sulfuric acid 0.2 1.0 80 14 [BMIM]BF ₄ Silica sulfuric acid 0.2 2.0 91 16 [BMIM]BF ₄	4	$[BMIM]BF_4$	-	0.2	1.5	NR		
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	5	[BMIM]BF ₄	Silica sulfuric acid ^d	0.2	1.5	91		
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	6	C ₂ H ₅ OH	Silica sulfuric acid	0.2	1.5	NR		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	7	THF	Silica sulfuric acid	0.2	1.5	NR		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	8	DMF	Silica sulfuric acid	0.2	1.5	NR		
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	9	$[BPY]BF_4$	Silica sulfuric acid	0.2	1.5	87		
11 [BMIM]BF ₄ Silica sulfuric acid 0.1 1.5 50 12 [BMIM]BF ₄ Silica sulfuric acid 0.3 1.5 85 13 [BMIM]BF ₄ Silica sulfuric acid 0.4 1.5 70 14 [BMIM]BF ₄ Silica sulfuric acid 0.2 0.5 33 15 [BMIM]BF ₄ Silica sulfuric acid 0.2 1.0 80 16 [BMIM]BF ₄ Silica sulfuric acid 0.2 2.0 91	10	H_2O	Silica sulfuric acid	0.2	1.5	0		
12 [BMIM]BF ₄ Silica sulfuric acid 0.3 1.5 85 13 [BMIM]BF ₄ Silica sulfuric acid 0.4 1.5 70 14 [BMIM]BF ₄ Silica sulfuric acid 0.2 0.5 33 15 [BMIM]BF ₄ Silica sulfuric acid 0.2 1.0 80 16 [BMIM]BF ₄ Silica sulfuric acid 0.2 2.0 91	11	[BMIM]BF ₄	Silica sulfuric acid	0.1	1.5	50		
13 [BMIM]BF ₄ Silica sulfuric acid 0.4 1.5 70 14 [BMIM]BF ₄ Silica sulfuric acid 0.2 0.5 33 15 [BMIM]BF ₄ Silica sulfuric acid 0.2 1.0 80 16 [BMIM]BF ₄ Silica sulfuric acid 0.2 2.0 91	12	[BMIM]BF ₄	Silica sulfuric acid	0.3	1.5	85		
14 [BMIM]BF ₄ Silica sulfuric acid 0.2 0.5 33 15 [BMIM]BF ₄ Silica sulfuric acid 0.2 1.0 80 16 [BMIM]BF ₄ Silica sulfuric acid 0.2 2.0 91	13	[BMIM]BF ₄	Silica sulfuric acid	0.4	1.5	70		
15 [BMIM]BF ₄ Silica sulfuric acid 0.2 1.0 80 16 [BMIM]BF ₄ Silica sulfuric acid 0.2 2.0 91	14	[BMIM]BF ₄	Silica sulfuric acid	0.2	0.5	33		
16[BMIM]BF4Silica sulfuric acid 0.2 2.0 91	15	[BMIM]BF ₄	Silica sulfuric acid	0.2	1.0	80		
	16	[BMIM]BF ₄	Silica sulfuric acid	0.2	2.0	91		

^aReactions were performed in 2:1:1 (*p*-methoxyaniline: glyoxal: formaldehyde) at room temperature in different conditions; ^bYield of isolated products; ^c No reaction; ^d Prepared in our lab.

TABLE-2 SYNTHESIS OF COMPOUND 4 CATALYZED BY SILICA SULFURIC ACID ^a							
Entry	R	Product	Time (h)	Yield ^b (%)	m.p. (°C)		
1	Н	4a	1.5	87	> 300		
2	4-CH ₃	4 b	1.5	85	> 300		
3	2-CH ₃ O	4 c	1.5	76	> 300		
4	4-CH ₃ O	4d	1.5	91	> 300		
5	4-F	4 e	1.5	83	> 300		
6	4-Cl	4f	1.5	82	> 300		
7	3-Br	4 g	1.5	79	> 300		
8	4-Br	4h	1.5	84	> 300		
9	3-Cl-4-F	4i	1.5	81	> 300		

^aAll reactions were carried out in the scale of 0.2 g silica sulfuric acid in 3 mL of [BMIM]BF₄ at room temperature and starting materials (**1:2:3** = 2.0:1.0:1.0 mmol) were completely consumed; ^bIsolated yield.



Fig. 1. X-ray crystal structure of compound 4d

one water molecule and one chloride ion as impurities from glass container in the single crystal structure of **4d**.

The probable mechanism was shown in **Scheme-II**. The first step involved the acid-catalyzed reaction of aromatic amine (1) with formaldehyde (2), which acted as the source of methylene to give imine (A) as the first key intermediate.



The addition of (**A**) to nucleophiles R-NH_2 then gave the intermediate (**B**). Subsequently, the intermediate (**B**) condensed with the glyoxal to form the intermediate (**D**). Then, the dehydration and rearranging of (**D**) *via* [1,5]sigmatropic shift to give the final 1,3-diarylimidazolium tetrafluoroborates (**E**).

In summary, we have developed a novel and simple silica sulfuric acid-catalyzed three-component condensation for the construction of 1,3-diarylimidazolium tetrafluoroborates from commercially available starting materials. Silica sulfuric acid showed its important role in this interesting reaction. Up to four new bonds and one new ring were formed in one-pot with water as the only by-product in the reactions. This method offers several advantages including inexpensive starting materials, low catalyst loading and no formation of by-products. This work develops an efficient and low-cost preparation of 1,3-diarylimidazolium and N-heterocylic carbene.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are grateful to the foundation of the National Natural Sciences Foundation of China (No. 31300067), Major Project of Natural Science Research of University in Jiangsu (No. 14KJA430003), Natural Sciences Foundation of Jiangsu Normal University (No. 13XLA01, 13XLA02) for financial support.

REFERENCES

- 1. A.J. Arduengo, R.L. Harlow and M. Kline, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 113, 361 (1991).
- (a) F.E. Hahn and M.C. Jahnke, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 47, 3122 (2008).;
 (b) W.A. Herrmann, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 41, 1290 (2002).
- (a) F.E. Hahn, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, **45**, 1348 (2006); (b) D. Bourissou,
 O. Guerret, F.P. Gabbai and G. Bertrand, *Chem. Rev.*, **100**, 39 (2000);
 (c) W.A. Herrmann and C. Kocher, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.*, **36**, 2162 (1997).
- 4. V. Nair, S. Bindu and V. Sreekumar, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, **43**, 5130 (2004).
- (a) D. Enders, O. Niemeier and A. Henseler, *Chem. Rev.*, **107**, 5606 (2007); (b) N. Marion, S. Díez-González and S.P. Nolan, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, **46**, 2988 (2007).
- (a) L. Jafarpour and S.P. Nolan, Adv. Organomet. Chem., 46, 181 (2000);
 (b) W.A. Herrmann, T. Weskamp and V.P.W. Böhm, Adv. Organomet. Chem., 48, 1 (2001);
 (c) C.M. Crudden and D.P. Allen, Coord. Chem. Rev., 248, 2247 (2004);
 (d) N.M. Scott and S.P. Nolan, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem., 1815 (2005);
 (e) J.C. Garrison and W.J. Youngs, Chem. Rev., 105, 3978 (2005);
 (f) V. Dragutan, I. Dragutan, L. Delaude and A. Demonceau, Coord. Chem. Rev., 251, 765 (2007).
- (a) D. Enders and T. Balensiefer, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, **37**, 534 (2004); (b)
 V. César, S. Bellemin-Laponnaz and L.H. Gade, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, **33**, 619 (2004).

- 8. A. Aidouni, S. Bendahou, A. Demonceau and L. Delaude, *J. Comb. Chem.*, **10**, 886 (2008).
- 9. P.I. Dalko and L. Moisan, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 43, 5138 (2004).
- 10. V. Jurcik and R. Wilhelm, Org. Biomol. Chem., 3, 239 (2005).
- S. Jacobsen, P.H. Degee, H.G. Fritz, P.H. Dubois and R. Jerome, *Polym. Eng. Sci.*, **39**, 1311 (1999).
- 12. M.S. Kerr, J. Read de Alaniz and T. Rovis, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **124**, 10298 (2002).
- (a) P. Wasserscheid and T. Welton, Ionic Liquids in Synthesis, Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany (2003); (b) V. Jurcik and R. Wilhelm, *Green Chem.*, 7, 844 (2005).
- 14. S.P. Nolan, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 129, 8923 (2007).
- 15. F. Glorius, N-Heterocyclic Carbenes in Transition Metal Catalysis; Springer: Berlin (2007).
- E.A.B. Kantchev, C.J. O'Brien and M.G. Organ, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 46, 2768 (2007).
- 17. S. Würtz and F. Glorius, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, **41**, 1523 (2008).
- S. Díez-González, N. Marion and S.P. Nolan, *Chem. Rev.*, **109**, 3612 (2009).
- T. Droge and F. Glorius, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 49, 6940 (2010).
- A.J. Arduengo, Preparation of 1,3-Disubstituted Imidazolium Salts. U.S. Patent 5,077,414 (1991).
- 21. B. Krieg and G.Z. Manecke, Naturforsch, 22, 132 (1967).