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#### Abstract

The study on disproportionation reaction of methyltrichlorosilane and trimethylchlorosilane to generate dimethyldichlorosilane has been carried out by B3LYP/6-311++G** method. The results showed that the active sites on $\mathrm{ZSM}-5$ pre-loading $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}$ is $\mathrm{Br}_{\mathrm{B}}$ nsted acid. The activation energy of rate determining step in main reaction was 166.98 (channel a) and 202.68 (channel b) $\mathrm{kJ} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$. $\mathrm{After}^{\text {loading } \mathrm{AlCl}_{3} \text {, }}$ ZSM-5 active catalyst was Lewis acid, the activation energy of rate determining step in main reaction was $92.68 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$. The calculation results match with the experimental results.
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## INTRODUCTION

Organic silicon material is widely used, especially the dimethyldichlorosilane (referred to M2). Direct synthesis is widely used for preparation of M2 in industry ${ }^{1}$. However, this process could produce more methyltrichlorosilane (referred to M1) of limited-use as byproduct. Therefore, M2 is prepared from M1 and trimethylchlorosilane(referred to M3) through proportionation reaction, which can not only solve a large backlog of M1, M3, but also get high yield of M2.

The activity of the catalyst plays an important role in this disproportionation reaction. From previous experiments ${ }^{2-5}$, it can be found that the content of M2 can get a yield 38.49 \% by ZSM- 5 catalyst, because of the catalytic activity of ZSM5 , which is closely related to the acidic nature on its surface ${ }^{6-8}$. In this regard, there are a lot of scholars studying acidic properties of ZSM-5 and get a lot of important results- ${ }^{9-11}$. Aluminium(III) chloride also has good catalytic reaction and so as to loading it on other carrier. As reported in literatures, $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3} / \mathrm{ZSM}-5$ by loading $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}$ on ZSM-5 also has a good catalytic effect ${ }^{12}$. But it's also found that both HZSM-5 and $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3} / \mathrm{ZSM}-5$ have catalytic effect, with different yield. In order to explore its catalytic nature, this study using DFT method to calculate the reaction on theory by B3LYP/6-311++G **.

## COMPUTING METHOD

B3LYP/6-311 + + G** method is used to study adsorption of M1 and M3 on HZSM-5 zeolite and $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3} / \mathrm{ZSM}-5$ in a
different order to get stable structure of adsorbed complex, intermediates and products. Only one negative in imaginary vibration mode has been found by calculating the transition state of the reaction process, verification by the IRC curve. The results show that there are transition states corresponding to the trend of the reactants and products, thus proving that the results are credible.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

HZSM-5 catalyst: Specific reaction processes are shown in Fig. 1. Reaction in channel a (Fig. 1a) shows that HZSM-5 first reacts with $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{SiCl}$ (generating R1), then the resulting product $(\mathrm{P} 1)$ reacts with $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SiCl}_{3}$, generating $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SiCl}_{2}$ and adsorbed material. The object can be generated with the previous $\mathrm{CH}_{4}(\mathrm{R} 3)$ via transition state TS5, prolapse $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SiCl}_{2}$ and make catalyst reduction. Reaction channel b (Fig. 1b) shows that HZSM-5 catalyst first militates with $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SiCl}_{3}$ (product R 3 ) and then the resultant product P3 militates with $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{SiCl}$, generating by-products $\mathrm{SiCl}_{4}$ and adsorbed material and then through transition state TS6, the by-product $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{4} \mathrm{Si}$ generated and HZSM-5 catalyst restored. At the same time, it can been also seen the key active site reactions are proton $\mathrm{H}^{5}$, finally protons H on $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ go back to $\mathrm{ZSM}-5$, making catalyst restore, for which it belongs to Brønsted acid-catalyzed reaction.

Variation trend of key atomic spacing along IRC for each of the reaction is shown on Fig. 2(a-f). M3 and M1 is separately adsorbed on the surface of HZSM-5, the change trend of the key atom (Fig. 2a) is similar to the process that is the product


Fig. 1. Loading before and after the ZSM-5 zeolite disproportionation reaction process and the atomic number
from the reaction medium, $\mathrm{O}^{1}-\mathrm{H}^{5}$ bond, $\mathrm{Si}^{4}-\mathrm{C}^{3}$ bond gradually lengthen until fracture, at the same time, $\mathrm{Si}^{4}$ and $\mathrm{O}^{2}, \mathrm{C}^{3}$ and $\mathrm{H}^{5}$ are away from the bond. In the reaction process of M1 and P1 (Fig. 2b), $\mathrm{Si}^{4}-\mathrm{O}^{2}$ bond and $\mathrm{Si}^{6}-\mathrm{Cl}^{7}$ gradually become longer until breakage. Restoring of catalyst in channel a is shown on Fig. 2e, $\mathrm{C}^{3}-\mathrm{H}^{5}$ bond and $\mathrm{Si}^{6}-\mathrm{O}^{1}$ bond gradually lengthen until fracture; at the same time, $\mathrm{Si}^{6}$ and $\mathrm{C}^{3}, \mathrm{O}^{1}$ and $\mathrm{H}^{5}$, bond, respectively from far away. The changes trend of remainder key atomic spacing along the IRC are shown in Fig. 2.

Through calculating by vibration analysis, it can verify each transition state's torque constant only one negative eigen-
value, indicating that the results are credible. Fig. 3 shows vibration mode imaginary schematic from each transition state to the product. Fig. 3a shows that $\mathrm{H}^{5}-\mathrm{C}^{3}, \mathrm{Si}^{4}-\mathrm{O}^{2}$ are closing to each other till bond, while $\mathrm{H}^{5}-\mathrm{O}^{1}, \mathrm{C}^{3}-\mathrm{Si}^{4}$ are away from each other, trending towards product P1 $\left(\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{CH}_{3} / \mathrm{ZSM}-5-\mathrm{SiCl}\right.$ $\left.\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)$, so as other transition states. It can be confirmed by Fig. 2. The calculated results are shown in Fig. 4.

Fig. 4 shows the intrinsic reaction coordinate route curve of intrinsic reaction of each transition state.

It can be seen from Fig. 4(a-f): the first two steps of the reaction channel a reaction is exothermic, the third step is an


Fig. 2. Change trend of key atomic spacing along the IRC
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Fig. 3. Imaginary vibrational modes schematic of transition state toward product
endothermic reaction, the reaction enthalpy change each step are as follows: $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{1}=-57.76 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}, \Delta \mathrm{H}_{2}=-37.28 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$, $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{3}=87.43 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$. The first step on channel b reaction is an exothermic reaction, the second and third-step reaction are endothermic reaction, enthalpy changes are: $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{4}=-19.95 \mathrm{~kJ}$ $\mathrm{mol}^{-1}, \Delta \mathrm{H}_{5}=85.06 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}, \Delta \mathrm{H}_{6}=138.36 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$.

By comparing activation energy of the three steps reaction on channel a, it can be found that the activation energy (Ea5 = $166.98 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ ) of the third step is much larger than the first two steps $\left(\mathrm{Ea} 1=76.93 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}, \mathrm{Ea} 2=147.29 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right)$. Therefore,
the third step is the rate determining step. The activation energy of the first two steps (Ea3 $=181.68 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}, \mathrm{Ea} 4=118.67 \mathrm{~kJ}$ $\mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ ) on channel b , are less than the third step of the activation energy (Ea6 $\left.=202.68 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right)$, so the third step is also the rate determining step. The figure shows: the activation energy of the first and the third step on channel a are smaller than those on channel $b$, which indicates that the reaction pathway of this disproportionation on HZSM-5 is mainly on channel a, generating the primary product M2 and catalyst restored. The activation energy of the second step on channel a is greater


Fig. 4. Intrinsic reaction pathways curve $\left(\mathrm{kJ} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right)$
than those on channel b , so there can be a reaction occuring on channel b.

Impregnation: ZSM-5 zeolite is impregnated in $\mathrm{NaNO}_{3}$ solution so as to replace H ions on HZSM-5 zeolite with Na ions, forming Na-ZSM-5.

Take ZSM-5 ( $\mathrm{Si} / \mathrm{Al}=38$ ) dipping into $1 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$ of $\mathrm{NaNO}_{3}$ solution for $1,2,3,6,9$ and 12 h . Then dry them at $150{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ after washing to neutrality with deionized water and then calcin at $550{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 h , to obtain modified $\mathrm{Na}-\mathrm{ZSM}-5$, labeled as Cat-1 h, Cat-2 h, Cat-3 h, Cat-6 h, Cat-9 h and Cat-12 h.

Table-1 shows that the catalytic properties of the carrier in order of: Cat-1 $\mathrm{h}>$ Cat-2 $\mathrm{h}>$ Cat-3 $\mathrm{h}>$ Cat- $-\mathrm{h}>$ Cat-9 $\mathrm{h}>$ Cat-12 h, M2 yield decreases with increasing immersion time.

Fig. 5 shows ZSM-5 catalyst $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$-TPD of different immersion time (Table-2). Since the ZSM-5 zeolite owns its proton H , as immersion time increasing, sodium ions of $\mathrm{NaNO}_{3}$ can replace more H , modified to more $\mathrm{Na}-\mathrm{ZSM}-5$. The reaction effect gets worse on account of the fewer proton H , which can prove this disproportionated reaction on HZSM-5 attributes to proton H .
$\mathbf{A l C l}_{3} / \mathbf{Z S M}-5$ catalyst: Formation of $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3} / \mathrm{ZSM}-5$ supported catalyst is shown in Fig. 1 (c).

After $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}$ supported on ZSM-5 zeolite, strong Brønsted acid protons $\mathrm{H}^{5}$ shed, resulting mainly acidic of $\mathrm{ZSM}-5$ zeolite is $L$ acid. The catalytic reaction is shown in Fig. 1.


Fig. 5. $\mathrm{NH}_{3}-$ TPD of the ZSM-5 after immersion
Fig. 3 shows vibration mode imaginary schematic from each transition state to the product. Thus, transition states have along its opposite reaction to each of the reactants and products trends: starting from the reactants, through transition state and reaching the product process, the corresponding key interatomic distance shortened to bond or stretched to fracture. As Fig. 2(a) shows: $\mathrm{Si}^{2}-\mathrm{C}^{1}$ bond and $\mathrm{Al}^{4}-\mathrm{C}^{3}$ bond gradually lengthen until fracture; at the same time, $\mathrm{Cl}^{3}-\mathrm{Si}^{2}$ and $\mathrm{C}^{1}-\mathrm{Al}^{4}$,

TABLE-1
EACH CATALYST ACTIVITY EVALUATION AT 523.15 K

| Catalyst | Cat-1 h | Cat-2 h | Cat-3 h | Cat-6 h | Cat-9 h | Cat-12 h |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M2 yield | $41.28 \%$ | $37.04 \%$ | $35.03 \%$ | $30.71 \%$ | $29.68 \%$ | $22.39 \%$ |


|  | TABLE-2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$-TPD DESORPTION AREA OF EACH ATALYST |  |  |  |  |  |
| Catalyst | Cat-1 h | Cat-2 h | Cat-3 h | Cat-6 h | Cat-9 h | Cat-12 h |
| Desorption area | 69150.42 | 68413.165 | 65868.76 | 64193.94 | 62717.545 | 55081.69 |

bond, respectively from far away. The change trend of remainder key atomic spacing along the IRC are shown in Fig. 2(a-d).

Fig. 4 (a-e) shows the intrinsic reaction coordinate route curve of intrinsic reaction of each transition state. The figure shows, TS1* and TS3* indicates an endothermic process, TS2* and TS4* display an exothermic process. By comparing the activation energy, it can be found that activation energy of TS1* process $\left(\mathrm{Ea} 1=92.68 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right)$ is greater than that of TS3* process $\left(\mathrm{Ea} 2=37.28 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right)$. Therefore, for the main reaction, the TS1* process in reaction is the rate determining step. The activation energy of TS3* process (Ea3 $=134.69 \mathrm{~kJ}$ $\mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ ) is greater than that of TS4* process (Ea4 $=52.77 \mathrm{~kJ}$ $\mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ ), which indicates TS4* process in reaction is the rate determining step. $\mathrm{Ea} 1^{*}\left(92.68 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right)$ is less than $\mathrm{Ea} 3^{*}$ ( $134.69 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ ), namely shows that $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3} / \mathrm{ZSM}-5$ catalyst priority impact with M3 to generate M2 and intermediate. Then the intermediate impact with M3 and M1. The activation energy datas in the graph show that activation energy both of TS2* and TS4* process are low, so the reactions can occur.

## Conclusions

(1) By theoretical calculations, it can be seen that Brønsted acid is the active role in ZSM-5 zeolite catalytic reaction and its activation energy of rate determining step are 166.98 (channel a) and $202.68 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ (channel b). After impregnated with $\mathrm{NaNO}_{3}$, more proton H are replaced by Na as longer immersion time, resulting to the activity decreased.
(2) After loading $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}$, Brønsted acid site on ZSM-5 translates into Lewis acid, remaining the same catalytic
properties. The activation energy of rate determining step are 92.68 (channel a) and $134.69 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ (channel b).
(3) The activation energy of rate determining step on $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3} / \mathrm{ZSM}-5$ catalyst is $92.68 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$, lower than 166.98 kJ $\mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ that on HZSM-5. The corresponding experimental results also show that within the same time, the M2 yield of the former is $59.58 \%$, greater than $38.49 \%$ of the latter.
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