

NOTE

A New Phenanthrene from Flue-Cured Tobacco and Its Anti-tobacco Mosaic Virus Activity

Chunbo Liu, Qinpeng Shen, Fengmei Zhang, Pei He, Tao Zhang, Ruizhi Zhu, Kunmiao Wang, Zhihua Liu, Guangyu Yang and Zhangyu Chen *

Key Laboratory of Tobacco Chemistry of Yunnan Province, Research & Development Center, China Tobacco Yunnan Industrial Co., Ltd., Kunming 650231, P.R. China

*Corresponding author: E-mail: ygy1110@163.com

Received: 3 June 2014;	Accepted: 22 August 2014;	Published online: 26 December 2014;	AJC-16580				
A new phenanthrene, 9,10-dihydro-5-hydroxy-2,8-dimethoxyphenanthrene-1,4-dione (1), was isolated from the leaves of flue-cured tobacco (a variety of <i>Nicotiana tabacum</i> L). Its structure was elucidated by spectroscopic methods, including extensive ¹ D and ² D NMR techniques. Compound 1 was also tested for its anti-tobacco mosaic virus (anti-TMV) activity and it shows potential anti-tobacco mosaic virus activity with inhibition rates of 18.9 %.							

Keywords: Phenanthrene, Flue-cured tobacco, Anti-tobacco mosaic virus activity.

Nicotiana tabacum L. is the most commonly grown of all plants in the Nicotiana genus. Its leaves are commercially grown in many countries to be processed into tobacco^{1,2}. In addition to being used in cigarette industry, *N. tabacum* is also used as insecticide, anesthetic, diaphoretic, sedative and emetic agents in Chinese folklore medicine because of it containing many useful chemical compounds^{1,3-5}. In previous work, a number of bioactive compounds, such as terpenoids⁶⁻⁸, alkaloids^{9,10}, lignans^{11,12}, flavonoid¹³, phenylpropanoids¹⁴, and the homologous, were isolated from this plant. In this study, we report the isolation of a new phenanthrene, 9,10-dihydro-5-hydroxy-2,8-dimethoxyphenanthrene-1,4-dione (1). Its structure was evaluated by spectroscopic methods, including HRESIMS and ¹D and ²D NMR. In addition, the anti-tobacco mosaic virus (anti-TMV) activity of compound **1** was also evaluated.

UV spectra were obtained using a Shimadzu UV-2401A spectrophotometer. IR spectra were obtained in KBr disc on a Bio-Rad Wininfmred spectrophotometer. ESI-MS were measured on a VG Auto Spec-3000 MS spectrometer. ¹H, ¹³C and 2D NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker DRX-500 instrument with TMS as internal standard. Column chromatography was performed on silica gel (200-300 mesh), or on silica gel H (10-40 μ m), Qingdao Marine Chemical Inc., China). Preparative HPLC was used an Agilent 1100 HPLC equipped with ZORBAX-C₁₈ (21.2 mm × 250 mm, 7.0 μ m) column and DAD detector.

The leaves of flue-cured tobacco were collected in Puer Prefecture, Yunnan Province, People's Republic of China, in September 2012. The identification of the plant material was verified by Prof. Y. J. Chen (Yunnan University of Nationalities).

Extraction and isolation: The air-dried and powdered tobacco leaves (2.2 kg) were extracted four times with 90 % methanol $(4 \times 3.5 \text{ L})$ at room temperature and filtered to yield a filtrate. The crude extract (43.8 g) was applied to silica gel (200-300 mesh) column chromatography, eluting with a chloroform-acetone system (20:1, 9:1, 8:2, 7:3, 6:4, 5:5), to give six fractions A-F. The further purification of fraction C (8:2, 10.4 g) by silica gel column chromatography, eluted with petroleum ether-acetone (9:1, 8:2, 7:3, 6:4, 5:5) and yielded mixtures C-1-C-5. Fraction C-3 (7:3, 1.28 g) was subjected to preparative HPLC (48 % MeOH-H₂O, flow rate 12 mL/min) to yield compound **1** (14.6 mg).

9,10-Dihydro-5-hydroxy-2,8-dimethoxyphenanthrene-1,4-dione (1): Obtained as an orange powder; UV (MeOH), λ_{max} (log ε) 215 (3.67), 226 (3.48), 278 (3.57), 325 (3.42) nm; IR (KBr, v_{max} , cm⁻¹) 3384, 2990, 1672, 1635, 1598, 1450, 1357, 1246, 1139, 1094, 876, 791; ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR data (C₅D₅N, 500 and 125 MHz, respectively) (Table-1); ESIMS (positive ion mode), *m/z* 309 [M + Na]⁺; HRESIMS (positive ion mode), *m/z* 309.0732 [M + Na]⁺ (calcd. 309.0739 for C₁₆H₁₄NaO₅).

A 90 % methanol extract prepared from the flue-cured tobacco was subjected repeatedly to column chromatography on Silic gel, Sephadex LH-20, RP-18 and Preparative HPLC to afford compound **1**. The structure of **1** was shown in Fig. 1 and its ¹H and ¹³C NMR data were listed in Table-1.

TABLE-1 ¹ H AND ¹³ C NMR DATA OF COMPOUND 1 (δ IN ppm, IN C ₅ D ₅ N, 500 AND 125 MHz)							
Position	$\delta_{C}(m)$	$\delta_{\rm H}$ (m, J, Hz)	Position	$\delta_{C}(m)$	$\delta_{\rm H} ({\rm m}, J, {\rm Hz})$		
1	181.2 s	-	8	148.9 s	-		
2	160.3 s	-	8a	130.2 s	-		
3	108.4 d	6.12 s	9	19.4 t	2.82 m		
4	190.8 s	-	10	28.5 t	2.68 m		
4a	138.9 s	-	10a	145.3 s	-		
4b	132.4 s	-	2-OMe	56.8 q	3.89 s		
5	151.7 s	-	8-OMe	55.9 q	3.78 s		
6	115.2 d	6.76 d (8.2)	5-OH	-	11.84 s		
7	118.7 d	6.89 d (8.2)	-	-	-		



Fig. 1. Structure of compound 1

Compound 1 was obtained as orange powder. The HRESIMS indicated a molecular ion at m/z 309.0732 [M + Na]⁺ and the molecular formula was determined as C₁₆H₁₄O₅ by HREIMS in conjunction with NMR data analysis. The IR spectrum of 1 revealed strong absorption bands due to an aromatic ring (1598, 1450 cm⁻¹), conjugated carbonyl groups $(1672, 1635 \text{ cm}^{-1})$ and hydroxy groups (3384 cm^{-1}) . The UV spectrum also supported the presence of the aromatic ring (λ_{max}) 215, 226, 242, 278, 325 nm). In the ¹H NMR spectrum, three aromatic proton signals at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 6.12 (s), 6.76 (d, J = 8.2 Hz) and 6.89 (d, J = 8.2 Hz) were observed. The last two signals indicated the presence of a 1,2,3,4-tetrasubstituted phenyl group. Two methylene signals at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 2.82 and 2.68, two methoxy signals at δ_H 3.89 and 3.78 and one phenolic hydroxy signal at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 11.84 were also observed. The ¹³C NMR spectrum also showed the presence of two carbonyl carbons (δ_{c} 190.8, 181.2), two methylene carbons (δ_c 28.5, 19.4) and 10 sp² hybridized carbons ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 160.3, 108.4, 138.9, 132.4, 151.7, 115.2, 118.7, 148.9, 130.2, 145.3). These data indicated that **1** should be a phenanthrene derivative¹⁵. The ¹H-¹H COSY spectrum indicated two partial structures indicated by thick lines in Fig. 2. The long-range ¹H - ¹³C correlations were analyzed *via* an HMBC spectrum that showed correlations of H-3 to C-1, C-2, C-4 and C-4a; H-6 to C-4b, C-5 and C-8; H-7 to C-5 and C-8a; H-9 to C-4b, C-8, C-8a and C-10a; H-10 to C-1, C-4a, C-8a and C-10a; 2-OMe to C-2; 8-OMe to C-8 and 5-OH to C-5, C-6, C-4b (Fig. 2). Furthermore, NOE correlations were observed between H-3 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 6.12) and 2-OMe ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.89), between H-7 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 6.89) and 8-OMe ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.78) and between H-6 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 6.76) and H-7 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 6.89). On the basis of these results, the structure of 1 was determined as 9,10-dihydro-5-hydroxy-2,8-dimethoxyphenanthrene-1,4-dione.



Compound 1 was tested for its anti-tobacco mosaic virus activity. The anti-TMV activities were tested using the half-leaf method¹⁶. Ningnanmycin (2 % water solution), a commercial product for plant disease in China, was used as a positive control. The results showed that compound 1 exhibited inhibition rate of 18.9 %.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This project was supported financially by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.31360081), the Basic Research Foundation of Yunnan Province (2013FB097) and the Basic Research Foundation of Yunnan Tobacco Industry Co. Ltd (2012JC01).

REFERENCES

- The Editorial Committee of the Administration Bureau of Flora of China, Flora of China, 67 vols. (Beijing Science and Technology Press, Beijing (2005).
- 2. T.W. Hu and Z. Mao, Tob. Control, 15(suppl_1), i37 (2006).
- 3. A. Rodgman and T.A. Perfetti, The Chemical Components of Tobacco and Tobacco Smoke. CRC Press, Taylor and Francis Group, Boca Raton, Florida (2008).
- 4. A.P. Cavender and M. Alban, J. Ethnobiol. Ethnomed., 5, 3 (2009).
- A. Inta, P. Shengji, H. Balslev, P. Wangpakapattanawong and C. Trisonthi, J. Ethnopharmacol., 116, 508 (2008).
- X. Feng, J.S. Wang, J. Luo and L.Y. Kong, J. Asian Nat. Prod. Res., 12, 252 (2010).
- Y. Shinozaki, T. Tobita, M. Mizutani and T. Matsuzaki, *Biosci. Biotechnol. Biochem.*, 60, 903 (1996).
- 8. T. Pettersson, A.M. Eklund and I. Wahlberg, J. Agric. Food Chem., 41, 2097 (1993).
- X.C. Wei, S.C. Sumithran, A.G. Deaciuc, H.R. Burton, L.P. Bush, L.P. Dwoskin and P.A. Crooks, *Life Sci.*, 78, 495 (2005).
- T. Braumann, G. Nicolaus, W. Hahn and H. Elmenhorst, *Phytochemistry*, 29, 3693 (1990).
- 11. Y.K. Chen, X.S. Li, G.Y. Yang, Z.Y. Chen, Q.F. Hu and M.M. Miao, J. Asian Nat. Prod. Res., 14, 450 (2012).
- Q.-F. Hu, G. Yang, X. Li, X. Yang, H. Mu, Y. Chen and X.-M. Gao, *Heterocycles*, 85, 147 (2012).
- Z.Y. Chen, J.L. Tan, G.Y. Yang, M.M. Miao, Z.Y. Chen and T.F. Li, *Phytochem. Lett.*, 5, 233 (2012).
- Y. Chen, T. Li, Z.-Y. Chen, G. Yang, M. Miao and J. Tan, *Heterocycles*, 83, 2381 (2011).
- K. Yoshikawa, T. Ito, K. Iseki, C. Baba, H. Imagawa, Y. Yagi, H. Morita, Y. Asakawa, S. Kawano and T. Hashimoto, *J. Nat. Prod.*, **75**, 605 (2012).
- Q.F. Hu, B. Zhou, X.M. Gao, L.Y. Yang, L.D. Shu, Y.Q. Shen, G.P. Li, C.T. Che and G.Y. Yang, *J. Nat. Prod.*, **75**, 1909 (2012).