

# Theoretical Study on Cracking Moment of Normal Section of Concrete Beams Reinforced with FRP Bars†

B. JIA\*, S.T. ZHU, S.F. LIU and X. LIU

School of Civil Engineering and Architecture, Southwest University of Science and Technology, Mianyang, P.R. China

\*Corresponding author: Tel: +86 13689683022; E-mail: jiabin216@126.com

AJC-15775

The cracking moment is an important indicator to measure the crack resistance and durability of concrete beams reinforced with FRP bars. In order to establish the computational formula of cracking moment of FRP reinforced concrete beam, a sectional plasticity influence coefficient of resistance moment which could be applied to reflect the plastic deformation of concrete in tensile region, was introduced. Moreover, the value of the coefficient was obtained based on the tested experimental data collected from the literature. The theoretical results were compared to the test results of beam cracking moments, as well to the values calculated by American code ACI 440.1 R-06, it turned out that the calculation formula established in this paper had a good applicability and could provide some design guideline for the flexural members reinforced with FRP bars.

Keywords: FRP Bars, Concrete beams, Cracking moment.

### INTRODUCTION

Steel corrosion in concrete structure results from concrete carbonation and aggressive environments, leading to the degradation of crack resistance and durability of steel reinforced concrete component. As one of the most innovative composite materials, FRP bars have characteristics of high specific strength, excellent corrosion resistance and strong design ability<sup>1-3</sup>, which have emerged as a potential alternative material to the traditional steel. The cracking moment of a normal section is not just the main basis to determine whether a component cracks, but also an important indicator to evaluate structural service performance and durability, thus it is a great significance to study the cracking moment of FRP reinforced concrete beams.

Several scholars involved in the study on cracking moment of a normal section of FRP reinforced concrete beams early. Masmoudi *et al.*<sup>4</sup> investigated the cracking behaviour of FRP reinforced concrete beams and concluded that the number of crack increased while the crack spacing decreased as the reinforcement ratio increased. They also pointed out that the cracking moment should be computed as recommended by ACI, that was, by assuming that the section of the beam was made only of concrete. Rafi *et al.*<sup>5</sup> compared the cracking loads and cracking patterns of concrete beams reinforced with CFRP bars and steel bars, respectively. They found that the cracking behaviour and pattern of both steel and CFRP reinforced beams were similar. Nowadays, the formulas of cracking moment of FRP reinforced concrete beams have been put into the American code ACI 440. 1 R-06<sup>6</sup>, but it is based on the elastic section moment of inertia and not considering the plastic deformation of concrete in tensile area. Zhang and Huo<sup>7</sup>, Li and Jiang<sup>8</sup> used three point loading test to investigate the cracking behaviour of BFRP reinforced concrete beams and stated that reinforcement ratio had very small effect on the cracking moment. Although many experiments have been carried on, there is still lack of a specific formula to calculate the cracking moment. In this paper, the calculation formula, which considering the plastic strain of concrete in tensile area will be established.

# Theoretical analysis on cracking moment of FRP reinforced concrete beams

**Uncracked transformed moment of inertia:** FRP bar has a significantly lower compressive strength than tensile strength and is subject to significant variation. Therefore, the strength of any FRP bar in compression should be ignored and using single-side reinforced rectangular section in design calculations. Based on the principle of equal strength to transform the FRP bars into concrete and carring on theoretical derivation.

†Presented at 2014 Global Conference on Polymer and Composite Materials (PCM2014) held on 27-29 May 2014, Ningbo, P.R. China

The reinforced area of FRP bars in tensile region,  $A_f$ , is transformed into concrete and gets the transformed area  $kA_f$ , in which  $k = \epsilon_f/\epsilon_c$ ,  $\epsilon_f$  and  $\epsilon_c$  are the elastic modulus of FRP bars and steel bars, respectively. Therefore, the extra area,  $(K - 1)A_f$ , is added at the same height, as shown in Fig. 1. So the total area of concrete after transformed can be expressed as eqn. 1.



Fig. 1. Transformed section before cracking

$$A_0 = bh + (k - 1)A_f \tag{1}$$

)

where  $A_0$  and  $A_f$  are transformed area of concrete and reinforced area of FRP bars, respectively, b and h are the cross section width and depth of the concrete beam.

The distance from extreme compression concrete to the neutral axis can be determined by the condition of equal moment of area for tensile and compressive regions, respectively, as shown in eqns. 2 and 3, where  $h_0$  is the effective depth.

$$\frac{1}{2}bx_0^2 + \frac{1}{2}b(h - x_0)^2 + (k - 1)A_f(h_0 - x_0)$$
(2)

$$\mathbf{x}_{0} = \left(\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{b}\mathbf{h}^{2} + (\mathbf{k}-1)\mathbf{A}_{f}\mathbf{h}_{0}\right)(\mathbf{b}\mathbf{h} + (\mathbf{k}-1)\mathbf{A}_{f}\right)^{-1}$$
(3)

The transformed moment of inertia of an area can be calculated with eqn. 4.

$$I_0 = \frac{b}{3} [x_0^3 + (h - x_0)^3] + (k - 1)A_f (h_0 - x_0)^2$$
(4)

**Expression of the cracking moment:** Based on the plane assumption, no extrusion between the vertical lines and deformation within the elastic limit, the expression of normal stress as shown in eqn. 5 in mechanics of materials.

$$\sigma = MW^{-1} = (My)I_z^{-1} \tag{5}$$

when the beam cracks,  $\sigma = f_t$ , where  $f_t$  is tensile strength of concrete, so the cracking moment can be calculated with eqn. 6.

$$M_{cr} = (f_t I_0)(h - x_0)^{-1}$$
(6)

In fact, part of the concrete in tensile area has entered the stage of plastic deformation when the beams are about to crack and no longer satisfying the assumption of deformation within the elastic limit. In this view, the eqn. 6 is modified by the introduction of sectional plasticity influence coefficient of resistance moment  $\gamma$  and the final calculation formula which considering the plastic strain of concrete in tensile region will be obtained, as shown in eqn. 7.

$$M_{cr} = (\gamma f_t I_0) (h - x_0)^{-1}$$
(7)

Analysis of sectional plasticity influence coefficient of resistance moment  $\gamma$ : Based on the tested experimental data of the cracking moment which contains 14 GFRP, 20 CFRP, 6 BFRP and 4 AFRP reinforced concrete beams, respectively. The values of sectional plasticity influence coefficient under every working condition will be got by plug the data into eqn. 7 and fitting out the average value with statistical methods, as shown in Tables 1-4.

Tables 1-4 show that the value of  $\gamma$  range from 1.05 to 1.27 and concentrate at 1.1 to 1.17 when the reinforced ratio from 0.2 to 1.5 % and concrete strength grade from C30 to C60, as shown in Fig.2. What's more, the values of  $\gamma$  are very close for different kinds of FRP reinforced concrete beams. In this paper,  $\gamma = 1.14$  is proposed for considering the structural safety stock and Convenient application.

**Verification of the cracking moment of FRP reinforced concrete beams:** ACI 440.1R-06 offers the following expression<sup>6</sup> to calculate the cracking moment:

$$M_{cr} = (2f_t I_g)h^{-1}$$
 (8)

where  $f_t$  is the tensile strength of concrete,  $I_g = bh^3/12$  is the gross moment of inertia.

Calculating the cracking moment by eqns. 7 and 8 to verify the proposed theoretical equation based on the experimental data of Toutanji and Saafi<sup>17</sup> and Zorislav<sup>18</sup>, as shown in Table-5.

Table-5 shows that the average and square deviation of the ratio between predicted and experimental cracking moment are 0.87 and 0.023, respectively, which means that the predicted

TABLE-1											
VALUE OF $\gamma$ OF CONCRETE BEAM REINFORCED WITH GFRP BARS											
b (mm)	h (mm)	$\rho_{\rm f}(\%)$	f <sub>t</sub> (MPa)	Κ	$x_0 (mm)$	M <sub>cr,ex</sub> (kNm)	γ	AVG <sup>a</sup>	$STDEV^{b}$	$\mathrm{CV}^{\mathrm{c}}$	
150	250	0.7	3.4	1.4	125	4.5	0.84 <sup>d</sup>		0.065	0.055	
150	250	0.80	3.4	1.4	125	5.7	1.06				
150	250	3.80	3.4	1.4	127	6.2	1.12				
200	300	1.60	2.9	1.4	151	10.9	1.24				
200	300	2.20	2.9	1.4	151	11.3	1.27	- 1.17 0. - -			
200	300	1.40	2.9	1.3	150	10.1	1.15				
200	300	1.90	2.9	1.3	150	11.3	1.26				
180	200	0.54	3.75	1.2	100	4.5	1.14				
180	200	0.54	3.75	1.2	100	4.8	1.21				
180	200	0.81	3.75	1.2	100	4.5	1.14				
180	200	0.81	3.75	1.2	100	5.3	1.35 <sup>d</sup>				
200	300	0.92	3.04	1.1	160	13.4	1.22				
200	300	0.83	3.04	1.1	160	13.1	1.15				
200	300	0.81	3.04	1.1	160	12.6	1.11				
	b (mm) 150 150 200 200 200 200 180 180 180 180 180 200 200 200 200 200	VA       b (mm)     h (mm)       150     250       150     250       150     250       200     300       200     300       200     300       200     300       200     300       180     200       180     200       180     200       200     300       200     300       200     300       200     300       200     300       200     300       200     300       200     300	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c } & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c } \hline VALUE OF \gamma OF CONCRET\\ \hline b (mm) & h (mm) & \rho_{\rm f}(\%) & f_{\rm t}(MPa)\\ \hline 150 & 250 & 0.7 & 3.4\\ 150 & 250 & 0.80 & 3.4\\ 150 & 250 & 3.80 & 3.4\\ 150 & 250 & 3.80 & 3.4\\ 200 & 300 & 1.60 & 2.9\\ 200 & 300 & 2.20 & 2.9\\ 200 & 300 & 1.40 & 2.9\\ 200 & 300 & 1.90 & 2.9\\ 200 & 300 & 1.90 & 2.9\\ 180 & 200 & 0.54 & 3.75\\ 180 & 200 & 0.54 & 3.75\\ 180 & 200 & 0.81 & 3.75\\ 180 & 200 & 0.81 & 3.75\\ 180 & 200 & 0.83 & 3.04\\ 200 & 300 & 0.81 & 3.04\\ 200 & 300 & 0.81 & 3.04\\ \hline \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	TABLE-1       VALUE OF $\gamma$ OF CONCRETE BEAM REINFORCED WITH GFRF       b (mm)     h (mm) $\rho_{\rm f}$ (%) $f_{\rm t}$ (MPa)     K $x_0$ (mm) $M_{\rm cr,ex}$ (kNm)       150     250     0.7     3.4     1.4     125     4.5       150     250     0.80     3.4     1.4     125     5.7       150     250     3.80     3.4     1.4     127     6.2       200     300     1.60     2.9     1.4     151     10.9       200     300     2.20     2.9     1.4     151     11.3       200     300     1.40     2.9     1.3     150     10.1       200     300     1.90     2.9     1.3     150     11.3       180     200     0.54     3.75     1.2     100     4.5       180     200     0.81     3.75     1.2     100     4.5       180     200     0.81     3.75     1.2     100     5.3 <td>TABLE-1       VALUE OF <math>\gamma</math> OF CONCRETE BEAM REINFORCED WITH GFRP BARS       b (mm)     h (mm)     <math>\rho_{f}</math> (%)     f_{t} (MPa)     K     <math>x_{0}</math> (mm)     <math>M_{cr.ex}</math> (kNm)     <math>\gamma</math>       150     250     0.7     3.4     1.4     125     4.5     0.84<sup>d</sup>       150     250     0.80     3.4     1.4     125     5.7     1.06       150     250     3.80     3.4     1.4     127     6.2     1.12       200     300     1.60     2.9     1.4     151     10.9     1.24       200     300     2.20     2.9     1.4     151     11.3     1.27       200     300     1.40     2.9     1.3     150     10.1     1.15       200     300     1.90     2.9     1.3     150     11.3     1.26       180     200     0.54     3.75     1.2     100     4.8     1.21       180     200     0.81     3.75     1.2     100<td>TABLE-1       VALUE OF γ OF CONCRETE BEAM REINFORCED WITH GFRP BARS       b (mm)     h (mm)     <math>\rho_f</math> (%)     f_t (MPa)     K     <math>x_0</math> (mm)     M<sub>cr.ex</sub> (kNm)     γ     AVG<sup>a</sup>       150     250     0.7     3.4     1.4     125     4.5     0.84<sup>d</sup>       150     250     0.80     3.4     1.4     125     5.7     1.06       150     250     3.80     3.4     1.4     127     6.2     1.12       200     300     1.60     2.9     1.4     151     10.9     1.24       200     300     2.20     2.9     1.4     151     11.3     1.27       200     300     1.40     2.9     1.3     150     11.3     1.26       200     300     1.90     2.9     1.3     150     11.3     1.26       180     200     0.54     3.75     1.2     100     4.8     1.21       180     200     0.81     3.75     1.2</td><td>TABLE-1       VALUE OF γOF CONCRETE BEAM REINFORCED WITH GFRP BARS       b (mm)     h (mm)     ρr (%)     fr (MPa)     K     x₀ (mm)     Mcres (kNm)     γ     AVG³     STDEV<sup>b</sup>       150     250     0.7     3.4     1.4     125     4.5     0.84<sup>d</sup>       150     250     0.80     3.4     1.4     127     6.2     1.12       200     300     1.60     2.9     1.4     151     10.9     1.24       200     300     1.40     2.9     1.3     150     10.1     1.15       200     300     1.40     2.9     1.3     150     11.3     1.26       200     300     1.90     2.9     1.3     150     11.3     1.26       180     200     0.54     3.75     1.2     100     4.8     1.21       180     200     0.81     3.75     1.2     100     5.3     1.35<sup>d</sup>       200     300     0.81     3.75     <td< td=""></td<></td></td>	TABLE-1       VALUE OF $\gamma$ OF CONCRETE BEAM REINFORCED WITH GFRP BARS       b (mm)     h (mm) $\rho_{f}$ (%)     f_{t} (MPa)     K $x_{0}$ (mm) $M_{cr.ex}$ (kNm) $\gamma$ 150     250     0.7     3.4     1.4     125     4.5     0.84 <sup>d</sup> 150     250     0.80     3.4     1.4     125     5.7     1.06       150     250     3.80     3.4     1.4     127     6.2     1.12       200     300     1.60     2.9     1.4     151     10.9     1.24       200     300     2.20     2.9     1.4     151     11.3     1.27       200     300     1.40     2.9     1.3     150     10.1     1.15       200     300     1.90     2.9     1.3     150     11.3     1.26       180     200     0.54     3.75     1.2     100     4.8     1.21       180     200     0.81     3.75     1.2     100 <td>TABLE-1       VALUE OF γ OF CONCRETE BEAM REINFORCED WITH GFRP BARS       b (mm)     h (mm)     <math>\rho_f</math> (%)     f_t (MPa)     K     <math>x_0</math> (mm)     M<sub>cr.ex</sub> (kNm)     γ     AVG<sup>a</sup>       150     250     0.7     3.4     1.4     125     4.5     0.84<sup>d</sup>       150     250     0.80     3.4     1.4     125     5.7     1.06       150     250     3.80     3.4     1.4     127     6.2     1.12       200     300     1.60     2.9     1.4     151     10.9     1.24       200     300     2.20     2.9     1.4     151     11.3     1.27       200     300     1.40     2.9     1.3     150     11.3     1.26       200     300     1.90     2.9     1.3     150     11.3     1.26       180     200     0.54     3.75     1.2     100     4.8     1.21       180     200     0.81     3.75     1.2</td> <td>TABLE-1       VALUE OF γOF CONCRETE BEAM REINFORCED WITH GFRP BARS       b (mm)     h (mm)     ρr (%)     fr (MPa)     K     x₀ (mm)     Mcres (kNm)     γ     AVG³     STDEV<sup>b</sup>       150     250     0.7     3.4     1.4     125     4.5     0.84<sup>d</sup>       150     250     0.80     3.4     1.4     127     6.2     1.12       200     300     1.60     2.9     1.4     151     10.9     1.24       200     300     1.40     2.9     1.3     150     10.1     1.15       200     300     1.40     2.9     1.3     150     11.3     1.26       200     300     1.90     2.9     1.3     150     11.3     1.26       180     200     0.54     3.75     1.2     100     4.8     1.21       180     200     0.81     3.75     1.2     100     5.3     1.35<sup>d</sup>       200     300     0.81     3.75     <td< td=""></td<></td>	TABLE-1       VALUE OF γ OF CONCRETE BEAM REINFORCED WITH GFRP BARS       b (mm)     h (mm) $\rho_f$ (%)     f_t (MPa)     K $x_0$ (mm)     M <sub>cr.ex</sub> (kNm)     γ     AVG <sup>a</sup> 150     250     0.7     3.4     1.4     125     4.5     0.84 <sup>d</sup> 150     250     0.80     3.4     1.4     125     5.7     1.06       150     250     3.80     3.4     1.4     127     6.2     1.12       200     300     1.60     2.9     1.4     151     10.9     1.24       200     300     2.20     2.9     1.4     151     11.3     1.27       200     300     1.40     2.9     1.3     150     11.3     1.26       200     300     1.90     2.9     1.3     150     11.3     1.26       180     200     0.54     3.75     1.2     100     4.8     1.21       180     200     0.81     3.75     1.2	TABLE-1       VALUE OF γOF CONCRETE BEAM REINFORCED WITH GFRP BARS       b (mm)     h (mm)     ρr (%)     fr (MPa)     K     x₀ (mm)     Mcres (kNm)     γ     AVG³     STDEV <sup>b</sup> 150     250     0.7     3.4     1.4     125     4.5     0.84 <sup>d</sup> 150     250     0.80     3.4     1.4     127     6.2     1.12       200     300     1.60     2.9     1.4     151     10.9     1.24       200     300     1.40     2.9     1.3     150     10.1     1.15       200     300     1.40     2.9     1.3     150     11.3     1.26       200     300     1.90     2.9     1.3     150     11.3     1.26       180     200     0.54     3.75     1.2     100     4.8     1.21       180     200     0.81     3.75     1.2     100     5.3     1.35 <sup>d</sup> 200     300     0.81     3.75 <td< td=""></td<>	

<sup>a</sup>Average. <sup>b</sup>Standard deviation. <sup>c</sup>Coefficient of variability. <sup>d</sup>Don't participate in calculation.

TABLE-2											
		VA	LUE OF γ	OF CONCRE	ETE BEAN	<b>M REINFORC</b>	CED WITH CFRP	BARS			
Reference	b (mm)	h (mm)	$\rho_{\rm f}(\%)$	f <sub>t</sub> (MPa)	Κ	$x_0 (mm)$	M <sub>cr,ex</sub> (kN m)	γ	AVG	STDEV	CV
	100	200	0.22	2.54	4.3	100	1.7	1.17	_		
	100	200	0.22	2.54	4.3	100	1.6	1.16			
$ot al^{13}$	100	200	0.22	2.54	4.3	100	1.3	$0.87^{a}$			
ei ui.	100	200	0.22	2.64	4.2	100	1.8	1.27ª			
	100	200	0.22	2.64	4.2	100	1.7	1.12	_		
Xu <sup>14</sup>	180	250	0.37	3.11	4.5	126	5.2	1.08			
	180	250	0.48	3.11	4.5	127	5.46	1.10			
	200	300	0.60	3.94	4.0	152	11.57	1.13			
	200	300	0.60	3.94	4.0	152	11.68	1.14			
Kassem	200	300	0.90	3.89	4.0	153	11.77	1.16	1.12	0.041	0.035
<i>et al</i> . <sup>10</sup>	200	300	1.20	3.89	4.0	152	11.27	1.19			
	200	300	0.50	3.92	4.0	153	11.86	1.16			
	200	300	0.50	3.92	4.0	154	11.76	1.15	_		
	200	300	0.80	3.96	4.1	153	11.02	1.05	-		
Rafi et al. <sup>5</sup>	200	300	1.10	3.96	4.1	154	11.91	1.10			
	120	200	2.77	3.88	4.1	105	2.63	1.06			
	120	200	2.77	3.78	4.1	105	2.53	1.07			
	120	200	0.32	3.66	4.1	106	2.4	1.11			
	120	200	0.32	3.61	4.1	106	2.4	1.10			

<sup>a</sup>Don't participate in calculation.

TABLE-3 VALUE OF γ OF CONCRETE BEAM REINFORCED WITH BERP BARS										
b (mm)	h (mm)	ρ <sub>f</sub> (%)	f <sub>t</sub> (MPa)	K	x <sub>0</sub> (mm)	M <sub>cr,ex</sub> (kNm)	γ	AVG <sup>a</sup>	STDEV <sup>b</sup>	CV <sup>c</sup>
200	300	0.36	3.1	1.4	150	10.5	1.13			0.012
200	300	0.77	3.1	1.4	150	10.6	1.13		0.013	
200	300	1.15	3.3	1.4	151	12	1.19 <sup>a</sup>	- 112		
200	300	0.21	3.13	1.3	150	7.12	1.04 <sup>a</sup>	- 1.13		
200	300	0.29	3.13	1.3	150	7.37	1.11			
200	300	0.44	3.13	1.3	150	7.45	1.14			
	b (mm) 200 200 200 200 200 200 200	b (mm)     h (mm)       200     300       200     300       200     300       200     300       200     300       200     300       200     300       200     300       200     300       200     300       200     300	b (mm)     h (mm)     ρ <sub>f</sub> (%)       200     300     0.36       200     300     0.77       200     300     1.15       200     300     0.21       200     300     0.29       200     300     0.24	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	TABLE-3       VALUE OF γ OF CONCRETE BEAM REINFO       b (mm)     h (mm) $\rho_{\rm f}$ (%)     f <sub>t</sub> (MPa)     K     x <sub>0</sub> (mm)       200     300     0.36     3.1     1.4     150       200     300     0.77     3.1     1.4     150       200     300     0.115     3.3     1.4     151       200     300     0.21     3.13     1.3     150       200     300     0.29     3.13     1.3     150       200     300     0.44     3.13     1.3     150	TABLE-3       VALUE OF γ OF CONCRETE BEAM REINFORCED WITH BF       b (mm)     h (mm)     ρ <sub>f</sub> (%)     f <sub>t</sub> (MPa)     K     x <sub>0</sub> (mm)     M <sub>cr,ex</sub> (kNm)       200     300     0.36     3.1     1.4     150     10.5       200     300     0.77     3.1     1.4     150     10.6       200     300     0.115     3.3     1.4     151     12       200     300     0.21     3.13     1.3     150     7.12       200     300     0.29     3.13     1.3     150     7.37       200     300     0.44     3.13     1.3     150     7.45	TABLE-3       VALUE OF γ OF CONCRETE BEAM REINFORCED WITH BFRP BARS       b (mm)     h (mm)     ρ <sub>f</sub> (%)     f <sub>t</sub> (MPa)     K     x <sub>0</sub> (mm)     M <sub>crex</sub> (kNm)     γ       200     300     0.36     3.1     1.4     150     10.5     1.13       200     300     0.77     3.1     1.4     150     10.6     1.13       200     300     0.15     3.3     1.4     151     12     1.19 <sup>a</sup> 200     300     0.21     3.13     1.3     150     7.12     1.04 <sup>a</sup> 200     300     0.29     3.13     1.3     150     7.37     1.11       200     300     0.44     3.13     1.3     150     7.45     1.14	TABLE-3       VALUE OF γ OF CONCRETE BEAM REINFORCED WITH BFRP BARS       b (mm)     h (mm) $\rho_{\rm f}$ (%)     f <sub>t</sub> (MPa)     K     x <sub>0</sub> (mm)     M <sub>cr,ex</sub> (kNm)     γ     AVG <sup>a</sup> 200     300     0.36     3.1     1.4     150     10.5     1.13       200     300     0.77     3.1     1.4     150     10.6     1.13       200     300     0.77     3.1     1.4     150     10.6     1.13       200     300     0.71     3.1     1.4     150     10.6     1.13       200     300     0.21     3.13     1.4     151     12     1.0 <sup>a</sup> 200     300     0.21     3.13     1.3     150     7.12     1.04 <sup>a</sup> 200     300     0.29     3.13     1.3     150     7.37     1.11       200     300     0.44     3.13     1.3     150     7.45     1.14	TABLE-3       VALUE OF γ OF CONCRETE BEAM REINFORCED WITH BFRP BARS       b (mm)     h (mm)     ρ <sub>f</sub> (%)     f <sub>t</sub> (MPa)     K     x <sub>0</sub> (mm)     M <sub>crex</sub> (kNm)     γ     AVG <sup>a</sup> STDEV <sup>b</sup> 200     300     0.36     3.1     1.4     150     10.5     1.13       200     300     0.77     3.1     1.4     150     10.6     1.13       200     300     0.77     3.1     1.4     150     10.6     1.13       200     300     0.21     3.3     1.4     151     12     1.19 <sup>a</sup> 200     300     0.21     3.13     1.3     150     7.12     1.04 <sup>a</sup> 200     300     0.29     3.13     1.3     150     7.37     1.11       200     300     0.44     3.13     1.3     150     7.45     1.14

<sup>a</sup>Don't participate in calculation.

TABLE-4											
VALUE OF Y OF CONCRETE BEAM REINFORCED WITH AFRP BARS											
Reference	erence $b (mm) = h (mm) \rho_{f} (\%) = f_{t} (MPa) = K = x_{0} (mm) = M_{cr,ex} (kNm) = \gamma = AVG^{a} = STDEV^{b}$									$\mathrm{CV}^{\mathrm{c}}$	
	200	300	0.9	2.9	1.7	151	10.1	1.13			
Kassem 20 <i>et al.</i> <sup>10</sup> 20	200	300	0.9	2.9	1.7	151	10	1.13	1.16	0.035	0.020
	200	300	1.2	2.9	1.7	151	10.5	1.17			0.030
	200	300	1.2	2.9	1.7	151	10.6	1.18			

TABLE-5 COMPARISON OF CRACKING MOMENT											
Reference	FRP bar	M <sub>cr,ex</sub> (Kn m)	M <sub>cr, γ=1.14</sub>	M <sub>cr,ACI</sub> (kN m)	$M_{cr,\gamma=1.14}/M_{cr,ex}$	$M_{cr,ACI}/M_{cr,ex}$	$M_{cr, \gamma=1.14}/M_{cr,ACI}$				
	GFRP	11.7	10.3	10.09	0.88	0.86	1.02				
Toutanji and	GFRP	12.3	10.3	10.09	0.84	0.82	1.02				
Saafi <sup>17</sup>	GFRP	13.4	11.1	10.09	0.83	0.75	1.1				
	GFRP	12.8	11.1	10.09	0.86	0.78	1.1				
	CFRP	6.1	5.3	4.8	0.88	0.78	1.1				
Zorislav <sup>18</sup>	CFRP	5.9	5.3	4.8	0.89	0.81	1.1				
	CFRP	6	5.3	4.8	0.88	0.80	1.1				

values are smaller than the experimental values about 10 % and it is advantageous to judge the component cracking with the eqn. 7. As can be seen from the results, the predictions obtained from the current study are larger than the values calculated by ACI 440.1R-06 about 2-10 %, for considering the effect of the plastic deformation of concrete in tensile area, so the calculation model is more close to the actual deformation and the results will be more accurate.

#### Conclusion

A method to calculate the cracking moment of FRP concrete beams based on the tested experimental data had been established. It was found that the computational formula of cracking moment had a good applicability after the comparison with the American code and reliability analysis. The sectional plasticity influence coefficient of resistance moment that is suitable for FRP concrete beams was obtained and it was



slightly affected by reinforced ratio and concrete strength grade, In this paper,  $\gamma = 1.14$  was proposed for accounting for the structural safety stock and easy application.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was financially supported by Ph.D. Programs Foundation of the Southwest University of Science and Technology (11zx7158). W.C. Xue, J. Hohai Univ., 35, 19 (1997).

1.

- 2. H. Zhu and Y. Qian, J. Architect. Civil Eng., 23, 31 (2006).
- 3. B.R. Huo and X.D. Zhang, J. Shenyang Jianzhu Univ., 7, 629 (2011).
- 4. R. Masmoudi, B. Benmokrane and O. Chaallal, *J. Civ. Eng.*, **23**, 1174 (1996).

REFERENCES

- M. Rafi, A. Nadjai, F. Ali and D. Talamona, *Constr. Building Mater.*, 22, 277 (2008).
- 6. ACI Committee 440, 1R, American Concrete Institute (2006).
- 7. X.D. Zhang and B.R. Huo, J. Shenyang Jianzhu Univ., 8, 348 (2012).
- 8. B.H. Li and S.Y. Jiang, China Plastics, 7, 48 (2009).
- 9. R. Al-Sunna, K. Pilakoutas, I. Hajirasouliha and M. Guadagnini, *Composites Part B*, **43**, 513 (2012).
- C. Kassem, A. Farghaly and B. Benmokrane, J. Compos. Constr., 15, 682 (2011).
- 11. C.G. Wong and K. Qi, Fujian Architecture Constr., 6, 38 (2007).
- Z.Q. Zhang, X.Q. Shi and Z.Y. Li, J. Southwest JiaoTong Univ., 46, 746 (2011).
- 13. J.P. Ou, B. Wang and Z. He, China Civil Eng. J., 38, 11 (2005).
- 14. X.S. Xu, Tianjin University (2007).
- 15. B.R. Huo, X.D. Zhang and Y. Song, Ind. Constr., 41, 45 (2011).
- 16. B.H. Li, S.Y. Jiang, W. Fei and H.L. Zhou, *China Plastics*, 23, 71 (2009).
- 17. H. Toutanji and M. Saafi, ACI Struct. J., 97, 712 (2000).
- 18. Z. Soric, T. Kisicek and J. Galic, Mater. Struct., 43, 73 (2010).