

# Removal of Water from Anisole by 3A Molecular Sieve in Batch and Fixed-bed Column Systems

NA SUN\*, PENG BAI, XIANGHAI GUO and LEI WANG

School of Chemical Engineering and Technology, Tianjin University, Tianjin 300072, P.R. China

\*Corresponding author: Tel: +86 15922295983; E-mail: sunflowersls@hotmail.com

Received: 22 May 2013;	Accepted: 22 July 2013;	Published online: 10 May 2014;	AJC-15130

3A molecular sieve, conducted by three thermodynamic and two kinetic adsorption experiments for testing its adsorption ability, was the adsorbent for the removal of trace of  $H_2O$  from anisole solution in both batch and fixed-bed column operations. The effects of flow rate, initial  $H_2O$  concentration and bed height on the adsorption characteristics of 3A molecular sieve in the fixed-bed column system were investigated. Data analysis confirmed that the breakthrough curves were dependent on the three factors. Three kinetic models, namely Thomas, Yoon-Nelson and Adams-Bohart, were applied to experimental data to predict breakthrough curves and to determine the column characteristic parameters that were useful for process design. The data were in good agreement with the Thomas and Yoon-Nelson models. It was concluded that 3A molecular sieve could be used to remove trace  $H_2O$  in anisole solution.

Keywords: Anisole, Adsorption, 3A molecular sieve, Fixed-bed column.

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Anisole is a widely used organic chemical material and often used as an organic reagent, solvent, spice and repellent. The main product of anisole allylation is used in perfumes and the flavoring agent in food and liquors<sup>1</sup>. Mesoporous Al MCM-41 molecular sieve is synthesized with anisole catalyst<sup>2</sup>. Industrial production of enriching isotope <sup>10</sup>B is based on the separation of trifluoride-anisole complex by chemical exchange distillation. The H<sub>2</sub>O content in anisole complex agent is required to be very small. The H<sub>2</sub>O content of anisole solution generally ranges from 0.04 to 0.05 % (weight per cent), it should be dehydrated to a H<sub>2</sub>O content of 0.002 to  $0.003 \%^3$ . Trace water interferes with the reuse of anisole and affects the quality of downstream products. Further dehydration of anisole becomes a problem to be solved. When mass fraction of H<sub>2</sub>O at 0.4-99 % in anisole, the water-anisole mixture is a heteroazeotrope, the composition of the vapor phase is constant and is equal to 40.5 mass % of H<sub>2</sub>O<sup>3</sup>, therefore a general rectification method cannot be used to get high purity anisole<sup>4</sup>. Air stripping method is used generally in industrial production process for the removal of trace components in mixture. The process of removing trace of H<sub>2</sub>O in anisole solution by nitrogen gas stripping method is relatively complex, consuming a large quantity of nitrogen and not economical<sup>4</sup>. Adsorption is an important chemical separation unit operation which has more competitive advantages in simple operation, low energy consumption, a high degree of purification and

flexibility of automatic control than the conventional separation processes. Compared with other adsorbents, 3A molecular sieve has the advantages of high selectivity and adsorption capacity<sup>5</sup>. Its crystal structure has orderly and uniform pores whose size are the magnitude of molecular size, which only allows the molecules having smaller size to go into, so a mixture of molecules can be screened by size. In low-pressure, low-concentration, high-temperature or other harsh conditions, especially for H<sub>2</sub>O, it still has high adsorption capacity. The aim of the present work is to explore the possibility of utilizing 3A molecular sieve for the removal of H<sub>2</sub>O from anisole solution. The thermodynamic and kinetic models have been applied to the adsorption data. The effects of flow rate, initial H<sub>2</sub>O concentration and bed height on H<sub>2</sub>O adsorption by 3A molecular sieve column were investigated. Thomas, Adams-Bohart and Yoon-Nelson models were also used to predict the adsorption performance of the fixed-bed column system.

## **EXPERIMENTAL**

**Preparation of adsorbent:** The beaker was charged with an amount of 3A molecular sieves which were prepared to be activated in a vacuum oven at 150 °C under the vacuum degree of 0.1 Mpa for 5 h. After fully activated, they were cooled to around 20 °C in a desiccator with the protection of the dry nitrogen, whose flow rate was 150 cm<sup>3</sup>/min in the cooling process.

**Batch thermodynamic studies:** The batch experiments were to detect the relationship of equilibrium concentration

and equilibrium adsorption capacity to obtain adsorption isotherm. The experiments were carried out by adding 2 g of 3A molecular sieves into a series of 500 mL flasks filled with 200 mL anisole solution of which H<sub>2</sub>O contents were 600, 700, 800, 900 and 1000 mg/L, respectively, under stirring condition of 100 rpm at 298 and 308 K till equilibrium. The remaining concentration of the H<sub>2</sub>O was analyzed by Moisture Analyzer until equilibrium had been reached for half one day. The amount of adsorption of H<sub>2</sub>O at equilibrium q<sub>e</sub> (mg/g) was calculated by using the following eqn. 1 in batch sorption system:

$$q_e = \frac{(C_o - C_e)V}{M}$$
(1)

where  $q_e (mg/g)$  is the amount of H<sub>2</sub>O adsorbed in molecular sieve per g at equilibrium. C<sub>o</sub> and C<sub>e</sub> (mg/L) are the liquidphase concentrations of H<sub>2</sub>O at initial and equilibrium conditions, respectively. V (L) is the volume of the solution and W (g) is the mass of 3A molecular sieve.

**Batch kinetic studies:** Adsorption kinetics experiments, which were conducted to study the relationship between time and adsorption capacity, were carried out in the special 250 mL flasks, equal amounts of 3A molecular sieves (4g) were loaded in 200 mL anisole solution with H<sub>2</sub>O contents were 450, 610, 730 and 900 mg/L at 298 K. In order to eliminate the influence of external diffusion, kept the stirring speed at 300 rpm. At predetermined intervals of time, solutions were analyzed for the final concentration of H<sub>2</sub>O, it was defined as the adsorption end point that H<sub>2</sub>O concentration no longer changed within 0.5 h. The amount of adsorption q<sub>t</sub> (mg/g), at time t (h), was calculated by:

$$q_t = \frac{(C_o - C_t)V}{W}$$
(2)

where  $C_t$  (mg/L) is concentrations of H<sub>2</sub>O at time t.

**Fixed-bed adsorption studies:** Fig. 1 represented the schematic diagram of the fixed-bed adsorption system. Continuous flow adsorption studies were conducted in a column made of Pyrex glass tube with inner diameter of 2cm and fixed bed height (50, 75 and 100 cm). A sieve made up of stainless steel was placed at the bottom of the column. Above the stainless steel sieve, a layer of glass wool was placed to prevent loss of adsorbent. A peristaltic pump was used to pump the feed (H<sub>2</sub>O content was 550, 900 and 1200 ppm, respectively) upward through the column at a desired flow rate (4, 8 and 12 mL/min). The solution was pumped upward to avoid channeling due to gravity.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Batch thermodynamic studies:** Batch thermodynamic data of 3A were obtained at 298 K, 308 K and were analyzed by the linear form of Langmuir isotherm equation<sup>6,7</sup> which is expressed by:

$$\frac{C_e}{q_e} = \frac{1}{q_{max}K_L} + \frac{C_e}{q_{max}}$$
(3)

where  $q_{max}$  (mg/g) is the maximum amount of the H<sub>2</sub>O per unit weight of 3A molecular sieve to form a complete monolayer on the surface. Whereas K<sub>L</sub> (L/mg) is Langmuir constant related to the affinity of the binding sites.



1 Anisole tank 2 Constant flow pump 3 Adsorption column 4 Production tank Fig. 1. Fixed-bed adsorption system

The essential characteristics of the Langmuir isotherm can be expressed in terms of a dimensionless constant separation factor  $R_L^8$  which is given by

$$R_{L} = \frac{1}{1 + C_{o}K_{L}} \tag{4}$$

where  $C_o$  is the highest initial concentration of adsorbate (mg/L) and  $K_L$  (L/mg) is Langmuir constant. The value of  $R_L$  indicates the shape of the isotherm to be either unfavorable ( $R_L > 1$ ), linear ( $R_L = 1$ ), favorable ( $0 < R_L < 1$ ), or irreversible ( $R_L = 0$ ). The  $R_L$  values between 0 and 1 indicate favorable adsorption<sup>9</sup>.

The equation of Freundlich isotherm<sup>10,11</sup> is an empirical model employed to describe heterogeneous systems. This gives an expression encompassing the surface heterogeneity and the exponential distribution of active sites and their energies. The Freundich equation is

$$q_e = K_F C_e^{1/n} \tag{5}$$

where  $K_F$  (mg/g (L/mg)) and 1/n are Freundlich constants with sorbent adsorption capacity and the constant indicative of the intensity of the adsorption process. Values of 1/n < 1 represent favorable adsorption condition<sup>12,13</sup>. They can be determined from the linear plot of ln q<sub>e</sub> *versus* ln C<sub>e</sub>.

Temkin isotherm<sup>9</sup> assumes that the adsorption heat linearly decreases as the adsorption quantity increases and the adsorption binding energy is distributed uniformly<sup>14,15</sup>. It has been used in form of eqns. 6 and 7 presents its linearized form:

$$q_e = \frac{RT}{b} \ln(AC_e)$$
(6)

$$q_e = \frac{RT}{b} \ln A + \frac{RT}{b} \ln C_e$$
(7)

Here RT/b = B, which is Temkin constant related to heat of sorption, whereas A (L/g) represents the equilibrium binding constant corresponding to the maximum binding energy. R (8.314 J/(mol K)) is universal gas constant and T (K) is absolute temperature. The values of the Temkin constants A and B were calculated by plotting  $q_e$  versus ln C<sub>e</sub> from eqn. 7. The model parameters of three types of molecular sieves at different temperature are listed in Table-1.

TABLE-1 MODEL PARAMETERS OF 3A MOLECULAR							
	SIEVE AT 298 AND 308 K						
Isotherm	Isotherm Temperature (K)						
model	Farameters -	298	308				
	q <sub>max</sub>	93.05	89.25				
Longmuir	K <sub>L</sub>	0.0288	0.0162				
Langmun	R <sub>L</sub>	0.0506	0.0714				
	$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.9971	0.9964				
	K <sub>F</sub>	15.28	8.6313				
Freundlich	1/n	0.3306	0.4128				
	$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.9774	0.9900				
	A(L/g)	0.1943	0.0145				
Temkin	В	23.46	24.96				
	$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.9862	0.9917				

Table-2 suggested that  $K_L$  decreases with the rise of temperature. The  $R_L$  value obtained is less than 1, which demonstrates that the adsorption of  $H_2O$  on 3A molecular sieve is favorable. Freundlich exponent, 1/n, ranging between 0 and 1, shows favorable adsorption of  $H_2O$  onto the surface of 3A molecular sieves. Moreover, 3A molecular sieve has a large Temkin constant B, hence 3A molecular sieve is suitable for the adsorption of  $H_2O$  from anisole. Fig. 2 shows the plots comparing the Langmuir, the Freundich and the Temkin isotherm with experimental data at 298 and 308 K.



Fig. 2. Theoretical curves of Langmuir, Freundlich and Temkin isotherms with the experimental points at 298 and 308 K

From Fig. 2 and  $R^2$  of Table-1, Langmuir isotherm shows an excellent fit with the experimental data than Freundlich and Temkin isotherms and  $q_e$  at 285 K is larger than that at 308 K under the different equilibrium concentrations  $C_e$ , indicating that  $q_e$  complies with the law that high temperature is not conducive to adsorption.

**Batch kinetics studies:** The model of adsorption kinetics of H<sub>2</sub>O on molecular sieve was investigated by two common

models, namely, the Lagergren pseudo-first-order model<sup>11,16</sup> and pseudo-second-order model<sup>17,18</sup>. Lagergren proposed a method for adsorption analysis which is the pseudo-first-order kinetic equation in the linear form:

$$\log(q_{e} - q_{t}) = \log q_{e} - \left(\frac{k_{1}}{2.303}\right)t$$
(8)

where  $q_e$  and  $q_t$  are the amounts of  $H_2O$  adsorbed at equilibrium in mg/g and time in h, respectively and  $k_1$  is the pseudo-firstorder rate constant (1/h). A linear plot of log ( $q_e - q_t$ ) against time t allows one to obtain the rate constant. The Lagergren's pseudo-first-order rate constant  $k_1$  and  $q_e$  were displayed in Table-2.

The pseudo-second-order kinetics can be expressed as<sup>17,18</sup>:

$$\frac{\mathbf{t}}{\mathbf{q}_{t}} = \frac{1}{\mathbf{k}_{2}\mathbf{q}_{e}^{2}} + \left(\frac{1}{\mathbf{q}_{e}}\right)\mathbf{t}$$
(9)

where  $k_2$  (g/mg h) is the rate constant of adsorption,  $q_e$  (mg/g) is the amount of  $H_2O$  adsorbed at equilibrium and  $q_t$  (mg/g) is the amount of  $H_2O$  adsorbed at time t. The equilibrium adsorption capacity  $q_e$  and the second-order constants  $k_2$  (g/mg h) can be determined experimentally from the slope and intercept of plot t/ $q_t$  versus t. The  $k_2$  and  $q_e$  determined from the model are presented in Table-2 along with corresponding correlation coefficients.

With the increasing of initial concentration,  $q_e$  increases gradually,  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  have the tendency to decrease. Fig. 3 shows the relationship of theoretical curves of the pseudo-first-order model and pseudo-second-order model with the experimental points at different initial concentration.



Fig. 3. Effect of contact time on adsorption of H<sub>2</sub>O at different initial concentration

TABLE-2 COMPARISON OF q., VALUES UNDER THE PSEUDO-FIRST-ORDER MODEL AND PSEUDO-SECOND-ORDER MODEL								
Initial concentration	q <sub>e,exp</sub>	Pseud	Pseudo-first-order kinetic model			Pseudo-second-order kinetic model		
(mg/L)	(mg/g)	k <sub>1</sub> (1/h)	$q_{e,cal} (mg/g)$	$\mathbb{R}^2$	k <sub>2</sub> (g/mg h)	q <sub>e,cal</sub> (mg/g)	$\mathbb{R}^2$	
450	0.43	1.8767	0.3244	0.9902	7.5251	0.4825	0.9986	
610	0.54	1.4771	0.4380	0.997	4.6633	0.6144	0.997	
730	0.64	1.0944	0.4276	0.9789	4.7592	0.6814	0.9988	
910	0.74	1.0412	0.6102	0.9889	2.3530	0.8268	0.9988	

Observed from Fig. 3 and correlation coefficients in Table-2, suggested that the pseudo-second-order model better represented the adsorption kinetics and the calculated  $q_e$  values agreed more with the experimental  $q_e$  values. This suggested that the adsorption of H<sub>2</sub>O follows second-order kinetics model.

**Fixed bed adsorption study:** Breakthrough curves for adsorption of  $H_2O$  from anisole solution on 3A molecular sieve for different conditions are represented in Fig. 4 and related parameters of breakthrough curves for different conditions are listed in Table-3.



Fig. 4. Breakthrough curves for adsorption of  $H_2O$  from anisole solution on 3A molecular sieve for different conditions

The experimental results show that the concentrations before the breakthrough can meet the requirements of 0.002 % to 0.003 % (weight per cent) under different conditions.

The effect of feed flow rate on the adsorption of  $H_2O$  in the anisole solution on 3A molecular sieve was investigated by changing the feed flow rate (4, 8 and 12 mL/min) with constant adsorbent bed height of 100 cm and inlet adsorbate concentration of 550 mg/L, as shown by the breakthrough curve in Fig. 4 and parameters in Table-3 where it can been seen that  $q_e$ , the breakthrough and exhaustion times decrease with a higher flow rate. The slope of the breakthrough curves increase as the flow rate increases. The reason is that total adsorption capacity of the bed is constant, therefore, the lower flow speed, the longer retention time,  $H_2O$  has more chances to be in contact with adsorbent, which is resulted in a greater removal of  $H_2O$  molecules in column and the increase of the amount of the adsorbate in the unit time in the bed.

The effect of adsorbate  $H_2O$  concentration on the column performance was studied by testing the inlet concentration of 550, 900 and 1200 mg/L for the same adsorbent bed height of 100 cm and feed flow rate of 8 mL/min. The breakthrough and exhaustion time decrease as the influent H<sub>2</sub>O concentration increases, but  $q_e$  has the opposite trend. As the inlet H<sub>2</sub>O concentration increases, much sharper breakthrough curve is observed. The lower H<sub>2</sub>O concentration results in a delayed breakthrough curve since the lower concentration gradient causes reduced transport of H<sub>2</sub>O. The driving force for adsorption is the concentration gradient between the solute on the adsorbent and the solute in the solution<sup>19,20</sup>. High concentration gradient provides a higher driving force, which favours the adsorption process. Therefore, when H<sub>2</sub>O uptake is desired, which is often the case, operating with high initial H<sub>2</sub>O concentrations appears to be favorable.

The breakthrough curve obtained for adsorption of H<sub>2</sub>O on 3A molecular sieve for different bed height of 50, 75 and 100 cm at constant adsorbate feed flow rate of 8 mL/min and inlet concentration 550 mg/L. Fig. 4 shows that both the breakthrough and exhaustion times increase as the bed height increases, qe has the same tendency. The slope of the breakthrough curve decreases as the bed height increases. This can be traced to an increase in the axial dispersion of the anisole over the column with an increase in column height<sup>21</sup>. The increases in bed height results in an increase in the volume of the anisole solution treated due to the increase in the specific surface of 3A molecular sieve which provides more fixation binding sites for the H<sub>2</sub>O to be absorbed. The increase in the adsorbent mass in a higher bed provides a greater service area and thus leads to a higher percentage of H<sub>2</sub>O removal and adsorption capacity qe. So, a higher bed height can be chosen at a constant flow rate and inlet concentration.

**Column dynamic studies:** Three kinetic models, Thomas, Adams-Bohart and Yoon-Nelson, were applied to predict the breakthrough curves by using linear regression and to determine the characteristic parameters of the column that are useful for process design<sup>22</sup>.

**Application of Thomas model:** The maximum adsorption capacity of an adsorbent is needed in design. Traditionally, the Thomas model is preferred to achieve the purpose. The model is based on the assumption that the process follows Langmuir kinetics of adsorption-desorption with no axial dispersion<sup>23</sup>. The main advantages of this model are its simplicity and reasonable accuracy in predicting the breakthrough curves under various operating conditions<sup>24</sup>. The data obtained from a column in continuous mode studies are used to calculate the equilibrium adsorption capacity of H<sub>2</sub>O on adsorbent and the adsorption rate constant using the kinetic model developed by Thomas<sup>22,25</sup>. The expression by Thomas model for an adsorption column is given below:

TABLE-3							
PARAMETERS OF BREAKTHROUGH CURVES UNDER DIFFERENT CONDITIONS							
Initial concentration (mg/L)	Bed height (cm)	Flow rate (mL/min)	$C_t (\mu g/g)$	$T_{b}(h)$	$T_{e}(h)$	$q_{e,exp}$ (mg/g)	
550	50	8	31	40.1	100.5	108.02	
550	75	8	28	70.8	145.7	132.21	
550	100	8	26	110.3	210.8	186.91	
550	100	4	31	250.4	384.6	191.93	
550	100	12	29	60.7	96.5	152.10	
900	100	8	30	80.5	151.5	162.98	
1200	100	8	29	50.9	99.0	188.28	

 $-T_b$ : Breakthrough time,  $T_e$ : Exhaustion time;  $q_{e,exp}$ : Adsorption capacity.  $C_t$ : Average concentration before breakthrough point.

$$\ln\left[\left(\frac{C_{o}}{C_{t}}\right)-1\right] = \left(\frac{k_{Th}q_{e}m}{Q}\right) - \left(\frac{k_{Th}C_{o}V_{eff}}{Q}\right) \qquad (10)$$

where  $k_{Th}$  (mL/mg min) is the Thomas rate constant.  $q_e$  (mg/g) is the equilibrium adsorption capacity and m is the amount of adsorbent in the column. The  $k_{Th}$  and  $q_e$  values were calculated from slope and intercepts of linear plots of against using values from the column experiment (Figures not shown). The model parameters are listed in Table-4.

Table-4 showed the values of q become bigger but decreases with initial H<sub>2</sub>O concentration increases. The reason is that the driving force for adsorption is the concentration gradient between the  $H_2O$  on the adsorbent and the  $H_2O$  in the solution<sup>26,27</sup>. The values of  $k_{Th}$  becomes bigger whereas the value of q<sub>e</sub> decreases as the flow rate increases. With the bed depth increasing, the values of k<sub>Th</sub> becomes smaller while the value of qe increases. A similar trend had also been observed for sorption of methylene blue by phoenix tree leaf powder fixed bed column<sup>22</sup>. So lower flow rate, higher initial concentration, higher bed height would increase the adsorption of H<sub>2</sub>O on the 3A column. Experimental q<sub>e,exp</sub> and Thomas modelpredicted equilibrium uptake capacities q<sub>e</sub> were in accordance. The well-fitting of the experimental data with the Thomas model indicates that the external and internal diffusion are not the limiting step<sup>28</sup>.

**Application of the Yoon-Nelson model:** A simple theoretical model developed by Yoon-Nelson was applied to investigate the breakthrough behavior of H<sub>2</sub>O on 3A molecular sieve. The model is based on the assumption that the rate of decrease in the probability of adsorption for each adsorbate molecule is proportional to the probability of the adsorbate adsorption and the adsorbate breakthrough on the adsorbate breakthrough on the adsorbate single component system is expressed as:

$$\ln \frac{C_t}{C_o - C_t} = k_{YN} t - \tau k_{YN}$$
(11)

where  $k_{YN}$  (1/min) is the rate velocity constant,  $\tau$  (min) is the time required for 50 % adsorbate breakthrough. A linear plot

of ln [C<sub>t</sub>/(C<sub>o</sub> - C<sub>t</sub>)] against sampling time (t) determines values of  $k_{YN}$  and  $\tau$  from the intercept and slope of the plot (figure not shown). The values of  $k_{YN}$  and  $\tau$  are listed in Table-5.

From Table-5, the rate constants  $k_{YN}$  increase but the 50 % breakthrough times  $\tau$  decrease as both the flow rate and H<sub>2</sub>O inlet concentration increase. As the bed height increases, the values of  $\tau$  increase while the values of  $k_{YN}$  decrease. The data in Table-5 also indicate that  $\tau$  values from the calculation are almost the same compared to experimental results. High values of correlation coefficients indicate that Yoon and Nelson model fitted well to the experimental data. This is in agreement with the results obtained by Nwabanne and Igbokwe<sup>30</sup>.

**Application of the Adams-Bohart model:** Adams-Bohart model<sup>26</sup> was established based on the surface reaction theory and it assumed that equilibrium was not instantaneous. Therefore the rate of adsorption was proportional to both the residual capacity of the 3A molecular sieve and the concentration of the absorbing species<sup>31</sup>. This model establishes the fundamental equations describing the relationship between  $C_t/C_o$  and t in a continuous system. The Adam's-Bohart model is used for the description of the initial part of the breakthrough curve. The mathematical equation of the model can be written as:

$$\ln \frac{C_t}{C_o} = k_{AB} C_o t - k_{AB} N_o \frac{Z}{F}$$
(12)

where  $C_o$  and  $C_t$  (mg/L) are the inlet and effluent H<sub>2</sub>O concentration.  $k_{AB}$  (L/mg min) is the kinetic constant, F (cm/min) is the linear velocity calculated by dividing the flow rate by the column section area, Z (cm) is the bed height of column and N<sub>o</sub> (mg/L) is the saturation concentration. A linear plot of ln  $C_d/C_o$  against time t was determined values of  $k_{AB}$  and  $N_o$  from the intercept and slope of the plot (figure not shown). For all breakthrough curves, respective values of  $N_o$  and  $k_{AB}$  were calculated and presented in Table-6 together with the correlation coefficients.

From Table-6, although the Adam's-Bohart model provides a simple and comprehensive approach to running and evaluating adsorption column test, its low correlation coefficient is

TABLE-4								
THOMAS MODEL PARAMETERS FOR THE ADSORPTION OF H <sub>2</sub> O AT DIFFERENT CONDITIONS								
Initial conc. (mg/L)	Bed height (cm)	Flow rate (mL/min)	$k_{Th} (\times 10^{-3}) (mL/mg min)$	q <sub>e</sub> (mg/g)	$q_{e,exp} (mg/g)$	$\mathbb{R}^2$		
550	50	8	3.27	107.89	108.02	0.9883		
550	75	8	2.73	131.92	132.21	0.9972		
550	100	8	2.00	187.91	186.91	0.9965		
550	100	4	1.09	191.92	191.93	0.9955		
550	100	12	4.01	151.97	152.10	0.9895		
900	100	8	2.11	163.95	162.98	0.9872		
1200	100	8	1.67	189.28	188.28	0.9906		

TABLE-5							
	YOON-NELSON PARAMETERS AT DIFFERENT CONDITIONS						
Inlet concentration (mg/L)	Bed height (cm)	Flow rate (mL/min)	k <sub>YN</sub> (1/min)	$\tau_{exp}(h)$	$\tau_{cal}\left(h ight)$	$\mathbb{R}^2$	
550	50	8	0.0018	74.60	74.18	0.9883	
550	75	8	0.0015	116.05	115.04	0.9972	
550	100	8	0.0011	160.15	162.26	0.9965	
550	100	4	0.0006	340.10	341.05	0.9955	
550	100	12	0.0022	89.50	88.27	0.9895	
900	100	8	0.0019	142.50	142.65	0.9872	
1200	100	8	0.0020	75.77	75.73	0.9906	

TABLE-6 ADAM'S-BOHART PARAMETERS AT DIFFERENT CONDITIONS								
Inlet conc. (mg/L)	Bed height (cm)	Flow rate (mL/min)	k <sub>AB</sub> (×10 <sup>-6</sup> ) (L/mg min)	No (× 10 <sup>5</sup> ) (mg/L)	R <sup>2</sup>			
550	50	8	1.64	1.47	0.9010			
550	75	8	1.45	1.51	0.9552			
550	100	8	1.09	1.55	0.8747			
550	100	4	0.54	1.86	0.9056			
550	100	12	1.64	1.27	0.9702			
900	100	8	1.20	1.61	0.8932			
1200	100	8	1.00	1.83	0.9570			

limited to the range of conditions. From Table-6, the values of  $k_{AB}$  increase with higher flow rate, lower bed height and inlet concentration, whereas larger flow rate, lower bed height and inlet concentration can lead to a smaller  $N_0$ .

### Conclusion

3A molecular sieve is highly effective for removing  $H_2O$ in anisole solution in a fixed-bed system. Batch thermodynamic data followed Langmuir isotherm are better than that by Freundlich and Temkin isotherm at all temperature range being studied. Batch kinetics data is more suitable for pseudosecond-order kinetic model. A larger saturated adsorption capacity of 3A molecular sieve, a longer breakthrough and exhaustion time occur at a higher bed height, a lower influent  $H_2O$  concentration and flow rate. Column data are best-fitted with Thomas and Yoon-Nelson models than Adam's-Bohart model, so Thomas and Yoon-Nelson models can be used to determine the characteristic parameters of the column that are useful for process design.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. The Merck Index, edn. 13, p. 3740.
- 2. P. Kamala and A. Pandurangan, *Catal. Commun.*, 9, 2231 (2008).
- 3. V.A. Ivanov and S.G. Katalnikov, Sep. Sci. Technol., 36, 1737 (2001).

- 4. J.-J. Liu, J. Xu, H.-L. Jia and W.-J. Zhang, *School Chem. Eng. Technol.*, **39**, 42 (2011).
- 5. L.-D. Wang and Z.-R. Yin, Liquor-making Sci. Technol., 109, 24 (2002).
- 6. I. Langmuir, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 40, 1361 (1918).
- F. Xian-Cai and C. Qui-Hui, Physical Chemistry, Higher Press, China, pp. 303-321 (1988).
- K.R. Hall, L.C. Eagleton, A. Acrivos and T. Vermeulen, *I&EC Fundam*, 5, 212 (1966).
- B.H. Hameed, J.M. Salman and A.L. Ahmad, J. Hazard. Mater., 163, 121 (2009).
- 10. H.M.F. Freundlich, Z. Phys. Chem., 57A, 385 (1906).
- 11. I.A.W. Tan, A.L. Ahmad and B.H. Hameed, Desalination, 225, 13 (2008).
- R.E. Treybal, Mass Transfer Operations, McGraw Hill, New York, edn. 2 (1968).
- 13. Y.S. Ho and G. McKay, Chem. Eng. J., 70, 115 (1998).
- 14. X.-S. Wang and Y. Qin, Process Biochem., 40, 677 (2005).
- 15. R.D. Johnson and F.H. Arnold, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*, **1247**, 293 (1995).
- M. Hosseini, S.F.L. Mertens, M. Ghorbani and M.R. Arshadi, *Mater. Chem. Phys.*, 78, 800 (2003).
- 17. Y.S. Ho, J. Hazard. Mater., 136, 681 (2006).
- 18. Y.S. Ho and G. McKay, Water Res., 34, 735 (2000).
- J. Song, W. Zou, Y. Bian, F. Su and R. Han, *Desalination*, 265, 119 (2011).
- 20. S.V. Gokhale, K.K. Jyoti and S.S. Lele, J. Hazard. Mater., 170, 735 (2009).
- V.C. Taty-Costodes, H. Fauduet, C. Porte and Y.S. Ho, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 123, 135 (2005).
- R. Han, Y. Wang, X. Zhao, Y. Wang, F. Xie, J. Cheng and M. Tang, *Desalination*, 245, 284 (2009).
- J. Song, W. Zou, Y. Bian, F. Su and R. Han, *Desalination*, 265, 119 (2011).
- 24. T.E. Köse and N. Öztürk, J. Hazard. Mater., 152, 744 (2008).
- 25. H.C. Thomas, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 66, 1664 (1944).
- 26. A.A. Ahmad and B.H. Hameed, J. Hazard. Mater., 175, 298 (2010).
- T.V.N. Padmesh, K. Vijayaraghavan, G. Sekaran and M. Velan, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, **125**, 121 (2005).
- Z.Z. Chowdhury, S.M. Zain, A.K. Rashid, R.F. Rafique and K. Khalid, J. Chem., Article ID 959761 (2013).
- 29. Y.H. Yoon and J.H. Nelson, Am. Ind. Hygiene Assoc. J., 161, 1427 (2009).
- 30. J.T. Nwabanne and P.K. Igbokwe, Int. J. Environ. Res., 6, 945 (2012).
- 31. G.S. Bohart and E.Q. Adams, J. Chem. Soc., 42, 523 (1920).