

# Titrimetric Analysis of Some Antituberculous Drugs Using Ammonium Hexanitratocerate(IV) Reagent

VINOD KUMAR, BRIJESH K. SINGH and I.C. SHUKLA\*

Department of Chemistry, University of Allahabad, Allahabad-211 002, India

\*Corresponding author: Tel: +91 532 2506858; E-mail: prof.icshukla@gmail.com

(Received: 23 October 2012;

Accepted: 21 August 2013)

AJC-13946

In the present paper we have reported a simple and convenient titrimetric method for determination of antituberculous drugs *e.g.*, cycloserine, ethambutol, isoniazid, pyrazinamide and rifampicin in pure form and in their pharmaceutical preparations such as MD-serine (Tab), pamcerin (Tab), mymbutol (Tab), zytham (Tab), ipcazide (Inj), isokin (Tab), zypra (Tab), P-Zide (Tab), R-Cinex (Tab) and zucox (Tab) with ammonium hexanitratocerate(IV) reagent. It is a versatile oxidizing agent of cerium(IV) and is being widely used as an oxidant for several classes of organic compounds. During estimation it was noted that the excipients present in pharmaceutical preparations do not interfere. The value of percentage error, coefficient of variation (CV) and standard deviation (SD) prove the method to be precise and reproducible. To establish authenticity of the method, recovery experiments were also carried out by standard drug addition method.

Key Words: Antituberculous, Ammonium hexanitratocerate(IV), Oxidizing agent, Titration.

# INTRODUCTION

A variety of compounds containing cerium(IV) have proved to be versatile reagents capable of oxidizing almost every oxidizable functional group<sup>1</sup>. Extensive work has lead to the development of a good number of such oxidants like cerium mischmetal<sup>2</sup>, cerium ammonium sulphate<sup>3,4</sup>, cerium sulphate<sup>5,6</sup>, cerium nitrate<sup>7</sup>, cerium fluoride<sup>8</sup>, cerium chloride<sup>9,10</sup>, cerium oxide<sup>11,12</sup>, cerium metal<sup>13</sup>, cerium carbonate<sup>14</sup> and cerium acetate<sup>15</sup>. There is no general method reported in Indian Pharmacopoeia<sup>16</sup> for the estimation of all antituberculous drugs. They have reported determination of cycloserine by liquid chromatography, ethambutol and isoniazid by thin layer chromatography while pyrazinamide and rifampicin by infrared absorption spectroscopy in Indian pharmacopoeia.

Industrial demands have led many workers to search for more ideal oxidants with a number of specifications including: lower cost, higher yields, better selectivity, milder neutral conditions, easier preparations, high solubility, less toxicity and short reaction times. Among the above mentioned reagents<sup>2-16</sup>, ammonium hexanitratocerate(IV) has an edge over others for rendering easy manipulation and sharp end points. Therefore we have selected ammonium hexanitratocerate (AHC) as an oxidizing reagent for our study. In the present paper, we described a simple and convenient method for the determination of above antituberculous drugs with ammonium hexanitratocerate(IV) reagent.

# **EXPERIMENTAL**

Ammonium hexanitratocerate solution (0.1 M): 13.70 g of ammonium hexanitratocerate(IV) was weighed accurately and dissolved in 0.5 N nitric acid in a 250 mL volumetric flask.

Ferrous ammonium sulphate solution (0.025 M): 2.4508 g of ferrous ammonium sulphate was accurately weighed and dissolved in distilled water in the presence of 10 mL of 4 M  $H_2SO_4$  in a 250 mL volumetric flask.

**Ferroin indicator (0.001 M):** Solution was prepared by diluting 0.025 M ferroin (1,10-phenanthroline ferrous sulphate complex) solution with distilled water.

**Sulphuric acid solution (4 M):** Solutions were prepared by diluting concentrated sulphuric acid (37N AnalaR, Merck) with distilled water.

**Sample solution (1 mg/mL):** Accurately weighed (100 mg) pure samples as well as pharmaceutical preparation of cycloserine, ethambutol, isoniazid and pyrazinamide were dissolve in min amount of cold distilled water and rifampicin was in hot distilled water in a 100 mL volumetric flask and then made up to the mark with distilled water to give a concentration of 1 mg/mL. While diluting the solution every care was taken to keep the solution homogeneous.

**Tablet solution:** Twenty tablets of a particular sample were crushed to a fine powder and powder equivalent to 100 mg of sample was taken in 100 mL volumetric flask and dissolved similarly. No residue was noted in any of the samples.

**Injection solution:** As described above the contents of the injection equivalent to 100 mg of the pure sample were taken and dissolved as usual to get a concentration of 1 mg/mL.

**Procedure:** Aliquots containing 1-5 mg of the samples were taken in 100 mL stoppered conical flask followed by the addition of 5 mL ammonium hexanitratocerate(IV) reagent. The reaction mixture was shaken well and allowed to react for required reaction time (10-15 min) at room temperature (25-30 °C). After the reaction was over it was quenched by adding 10 mL of 4 M sulphuric acid. The unconsumed Ce(IV) was titrated against 0.025 M ferrous ammonium sulphate solution

using two drops of ferroin indicator (0.001 M). A blank experiment was also performed under identical conditions using all the reagents except the sample. The amount of the sample was calculated by the following expression. On the basis of percentage error the value of SD and CV were also calculated (Table-1). In the case of pharmaceutical preparation the same procedure was applied.

**Calculation:** For each experiment, the amount of the compound was calculated by following expression:

mg of sample = 
$$\frac{M \times N(B-S)}{n}$$

TABLE-1 DETERMINATION OF SOME ANTITUBERCULOUS DRUGS IN PURE FORM AND IN THEIR									
	PH	ARMACEUTIC	CAL PREPARATIO	ONS WITH (0.	1 M) CERIC AM	MONIUM NITRATE I	REAGENT		
S. No.	Sample	Aliquots taken (mL)	Amount present* (mg)	Reaction time (min)	Molecularity	Amount obtained by calculation** (mg)	Error (%)	SD	CV
	~	1.00	0.992	15	6	0.982	-1.01	0.0026	0.2648
1	Cycloserine	3.00	2.976	15	6	2.954	-0.74	0.0033	0.1117
	(Pure Sample)	5.00	4.960	15	6	4.939	-0.42	0.0042	0.0850
	MD-serine	1.00	0.943	15	6	0.933	-1.06	0.0022	0.2358
Α	(Tab)	3.00	2.829	15	6	2.808	-0.74	0.0036	0.1282
	M.H.Care	5.00	4.715	15	6	4.691	-0.51	0.0044	0.0938
	Pamcerin (Tab)	1.00	0.953	15	6	0.943	-1.05	0.0044	0.4666
В	Samarth	3.00	2.859	15	6	2.840	-0.66	0.0033	0.1162
	Pharma	5.00	4.765	15	6	4.742	-0.48	0.0022	0.0464
		1.00	0.982	15	6	0.972	-1.02	0.0063	0.6481
2	Ethambutol	3.00	2.946	15	6	2.924	-0.75	0.0042	0.1436
	(pure Sample)	5.00	4.910	15	6	4.884	-0.53	0.0030	0.0614
		1.00	0.946	15	6	0.938	-1.05	0.0026	0.2772
А	Mymbutol	3.00	2.844	15	6	2.824	-0.70	0.0055	0.1948
	(Tab) Wyeth	5.00	4.740	15	6	4.715	-0.53	0.0042	0.0891
		1.00	0.937	15	6	0.927	-1.07	0.0042	0.4531
В	Zytham (Tab)	3.00	2.811	15	6	2.793	-0.64	0.0063	0.2256
	Zy. Cadila	5.00	4.685	15	6	4.663	-0.47	0.0072	0.1544
	Isoniazid (pure	1.00	0.976	15	6	0.966	-1.02	0.0022	0.2277
3		3.00	2.952	15	6	2.907	-0.72	0.0013	0.0447
	sample)	5.00	4.880	15	6	4.853	-0.55	0.0035	0.0721
	Ipcazide (Inj) IPCA	1.00	0.926	15	6	0.916	-1.08	0.0018	0.1965
А		3.00	2.778	15	6	2.755	-0.83	0.0026	0.0944
		5.00	4.630	15	6	4.601	-0.63	0.0038	0.0826
	Isokin (Tab) Pfizer	1.00	0.906	15	6	0.896	-1.10	0.0031	0.3460
В		3.00	2.718	15	6	2.698	-0.74	0.0028	0.1038
		5.00	4.530	15	6	4.506	-0.53	0.0023	0.0510
		1.00	0.954	10	4	0.944	-1.05	0.0056	0.5932
4	Pyrazinamide (pure sample)	3.00	2.862	10	4	2.840	-0.76	0.0045	0.1585
		5.00	4.770	10	4	4.745	-0.52	0.0040	0.0843
		1.00	0.909	10	4	0.900	-0.99	0.0070	0.7778
А	Zypra (Tab)	3.00	2.727	10	4	2.707	-0.73	0.0048	0.1773
	Zy. Cadila	5.00	4.545	10	4	4.522	-0.51	0.0049	0.1084
		1.00	0.913	10	4	0.904	-1.10	0.0041	0.4535
В	P-Zide (Tab)	3.00	2.739	10	4	2.719	-0.73	0.0028	0.1030
	Cadila	5.00	4.565	10	4	4.543	-0.48	0.0037	0.0814
		1.00	0.986	10	4	0.976	-1.02	0.0022	0.2254
5	Rifampicin	3.00	2.958	10	4	2.934	-0.81	0.0014	0.0477
	(pure sample)	5.00	4.930	10	4	4.901	-0.59	0.0016	0.0326
		1.00	0.927	10	4	0.917	-1.08	0.0062	0.6761
А	R-Cinex (Tab)	3.00	2.781	10	4	2.761	-0.72	0.0042	0.1521
	Lupin	5.00	4.635	10	4	4.617	-0.39	0.0036	0.0732
		1.00	0.898	10	4	0.889	-1.00	0.0032	0.3600
В	Zucox (Tab)	3.00	2.694	10	4	2.676	-0.67	0.0025	0.0934
	GSK Pharma	5.00	4.490	10	4	4.470	-0.45	0.0039	0.0872
Tab = Tablet. Ini = Injection. *In each sample nine estimations were done. **Average of nine determinations.									

Tab = Tablet, Inj = Injection, \*In each sample nine estimations were done, \*\*Average of nine determinations.

where, M = molecular weight of sample, N = normality of ferrous ammonium sulphate solution, B = volume of ferrous ammonium sulphate for blank, S = volume of ferrous ammonium sulphate for sample, n = stoichiometry of the reaction.

For testing quantitative validity of the recommended method, standard deviation (SD) and coefficient of variation (CV) were also calculated for each sample size. At least nine determinations were carried out and the average results were noted. To justify the validity of the proposed method, recovery experiments were carried out by the standard drug addition method. A known amount of the pure compound was taken and to this, varying amounts of the pharmaceutical preparations of the same compounds were added. The total amount of the sample was found by the usual method.

Recovery (%) = 
$$\frac{N(\Sigma XY) - (\Sigma X)(\Sigma Y)}{N(\Sigma X^2) - (\Sigma X)^2} \times 100$$

where,  $N = \Sigma N$  = total number of observations, X = amount of drug added, Y = amount of drug obtained by calculation.

The determinations were done with varying sample size (*i.e.*, 1-10 mg) but for convenience, results have been shown only with 1, 3 and 5 mg sample size (Table-1).

For every sample of antituberculous drugs *e.g.*, cycloserine, ethambutol, isoniazid, pyrazinamide and rifampicin, recovery experiment were also done by standard drug addition method and the results were reported (Tables 2-6).

Standard deviation (SD) was calculated by the expression given below:

SD = 
$$\sqrt{\frac{(X_1 - \overline{X})^2 + (X_2 - \overline{X})^2 \dots (X_n - \overline{X})^2}{(n-1)}}$$

On the basis of the value of SD, the value of coefficient of variation (CV) was calculated by the following expression.

$$CV = \frac{SD \times 100}{\overline{X}}$$

where,  $\overline{\mathbf{X}}$  = average value of amount obtained by calculations,  $X_1, X_2 \dots X_n$  = amount obtained by calculations in different observations, n = number of observations.

TABLE-2 RECOVERY STUDIES OF CYCLOSERINE BY STANDARD DRUG ADDITION METHOD								
S. No.	Number of observations (N)	Amount present (Pure) (mg)	Amount of drug added (mg) X	Total amount of drug obtained by calculation (mg)	Amount of drug obtained by calculation (mg) Y	XY	$X^2$	Recovery (%)
1	3	0.992	0.980	1.972	0.982	0.962	0.960	
2	3	0.992	1.983	2.962	1.968	3.903	3.932	
3	3	0.992	2.970	3.986	2.969	8.818	8.821	99.50
4	3	0.992	3.973	4.968	3.945	15.673	15.785	_
	$\Sigma N = 12$	_	$\Sigma X = 9.906$	_	$\Sigma Y = 9.864$	$\Sigma XY = 29.356$	$\Sigma X^2 = 29.498$	-

TABLE-3 RECOVERY STUDIES OF ETHAMBUTOL BY STANDARD DRUG ADDITION METHOD Number of Amount Amount of Total amount of Amount of drug S. Recovery  $\mathbf{X}^2$ observations drug obtained by XY present drug added obtained by No. (%) (Pure) (mg) calculation (mg) calculation (mg) Y (N) (mg) X 1 3 0.959 1.962 0.968 0.928 0.920 0.982 2.970 2 3 0.982 1.982 1.986 3.936 3.928 3 3 0.982 2.988 3.983 2.957 8.812 8.928 99.47 0.982 4 3 3.970 4.975 3.961 15.725 15.761  $\Sigma N = 12$  $\Sigma X = 9.899$  $\Sigma Y = 9.872$  $\Sigma XY = 29.401$  $\Sigma X^2 = 29.537$ 

				TABLE-	4			
	RECOVERY STUDIES OF ISONIAZID BY STANDARD DRUG ADDITION METHOD							
S. No.	Number of observations (N)	Amount present (Pure) (mg)	Amount of drug added (mg) X	Total amount of drug obtained by calculation (mg)	Amount of drug obtained by calculation (mg) Y	XY	$X^2$	Recovery (%)
1	3	0.976	0.985	1.975	0.993	0.978	0.970	
2	3	0.976	1.969	2.964	1.971	3.881	3.877	
3	3	0.976	2.972	3.980	2.963	8.806	8.833	99.72
4	3	0.976	3.986	4.987	3.972	15.832	15.888	
	$\Sigma N = 12$	-	$\Sigma X = 9.912$	-	$\Sigma Y = 9.899$	$\Sigma XY = 29.497$	$\Sigma X^2 = 29.568$	

	TABLE-5 RECOVERY STUDIES OF PYRAZINAMIDE BY STANDARD DRUG ADDITION METHOD								
S. No.	Number of observations (N)	Amount present (Pure) (mg)	Amount of drug added (mg) X	Total amount of drug obtained by calculation (mg)	Amount of drug obtained by calculation (mg) Y	XY	$X^2$	Recovery (%)	
1	3	0.954	0.978	1.978	0.995	0.973	0.956		
2	3	0.954	1.985	2.987	1.951	3.873	3.940		
3	3	0.954	2.980	3.964	2.969	8.848	8.880	99.62	
4	3	0.954	3.965	4.972	3.958	15.693	15.721		
	$\Sigma N = 12$	-	$\Sigma X = 9.908$	-	$\Sigma Y = 9.873$	$\Sigma XY = 29.387$	$\Sigma X^2 = 29.497$		

	TABLE-6 RECOVERY STUDIES OF RIFAMPICIN BY STANDARD DRUG ADDITION METHOD							
S. No.	Number of observations (N)	Amount present (Pure) (mg)	Amount of drug added (mg) X	Total amount of drug obtained by calculation (mg)	Amount of drug obtained by calculation (mg) Y	XY	$X^2$	Recovery (%)
1	3	0.986	0.982	1.976	0.996	0.978	0.964	
2	3	0.986	1.974	2.964	1.970	3.889	3.897	
3	3	0.986	2.963	3.982	2.871	8.507	8.779	99.15
4	3	0.986	3.966	4.981	3.972	15.753	15.729	
	$\Sigma N = 12$	-	$\Sigma X = 9.885$	_	$\Sigma Y = 9.809$	$\Sigma XY = 29.127$	$\Sigma X^2 = 29.369$	

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

It was found that the stoichiometric ratio between ammonium hexanitratocerate(IV) reagent and antituberculous drugs varies. Different stoichiometric ratio is obtained in different antituberculous drugs such as cycloserine (1:6), ethambutol (1:6), isoniazid (1:6), pyrazinamide (1:4) and rifampicin (1:4) in pure form and in their pharmaceutical preparations. The ratio remains constant even under varying reaction conditions i.e., change in reaction time, concentration of the reagent, reaction medium, reaction temperature etc. It was observed that all the compounds studied need 15 min to complete the reaction except pyrazinamide and rifampicin which require only 10 min. By the allowing more reaction time (more than 10-15 min) there is no improvement in the results. A lesser reaction time (less than 10 min) than the described limit gives higher percentage of error because of incomplete reaction. The effect of concentration of ammonium hexanitratocerate reagent (0.01-0.16 M) was also studied and it was found that the recommended concentration (0.10 M) was suitable for accurate and concordant results.

Effect of variation in reaction temperature was studied. The reactivity of the sample is very slow at ice cold temperature but increases with the rise in temperature up to room temperature (25-30 °C). Beyond this temperature, no improvement over the results has been noticed.

#### Conclusion

On the basis of available literature and stoichiometry established between antituberculous drugs and ammonium hexanitratocerate(IV) *i.e.*, cycloserine (1:6), ethambutol (1:6), isoniazid (1:6), pyrazinamide (1:4) and rifampicin (1:4). This ratio remains constant even under varying reaction conditions *i.e.* change in reaction time, concentration of the reagent; reaction medium, *etc*.

# Interferences

Excipients like starch, calcium carbonate, sodium carbonate, cellulose, magnesium trisilicate, tricalcium phosphate and gum

acacia if present in the pharmaceutical preparations do of tablets and other excipients in injection not interfere in the estimation.

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are greatly thankful to Zydus Cadila, Cadila Healthcare Ltd. Ahmedabad and Lupin Ltd. Aurangabad, India for providing reference substance of antituberculous drugs as a gift. The authors Vinod Kumar and Brijesh K. Singh are also thankful to University Grant Commission, New Delhi, India for providing financial assistance in the form of research fellowship.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. J.R. Hwu and K.Y. King, *Curr. Sci.*, **81**, 1043 (2001).
- 2. M.-I. Lannou, F. Hélion and J.-L. Namy, *Tetrahedron*, **59**, 10551 (2003).
- K. Basavaiah, V. Ramakrishna and U.R.A. Kumar, Acta Pharm., 57, 211 (2007).
- I.B. Sharma, V. Singh and M. Lakhanpal, J. Thermal Anal., 38, 1345 (1992).
- 5. L. Haiping, L. Ning and B. Sifu, J. Rare Earths, 24, 180 (2006).
- 6. L.G. Chatten, R.A. Locock and R.D. Krause, *J. Pharm. Sci.*, **60**, 588 (1971).
- I. Miguel-Garcia, S. Parres-Esclapez, D. Lozano-Castello and A. Bueno-Lopez, *Catal. Commun.*, 11, 848 (2010).
- A.K. Dorai, S. Selvasekarapandian, N. Hellar, S. Ayyasamy and H. Muthusamy, *Ionics*, 16, 481 (2010).
- F.J. Wegehaupt, B. Sener, T. Attin and P.R. Schmidlin, Arch. Oral Biol., 55, 441 (2010).
- D.A. Conlon, D. Kumke, C. Moeder, M. Hardiman, G. Hutson and L. Sailer, Adv. Synth. Catal., 346, 1307 (2004).
- 11. C.J. Shih, Y.J. Chen and M.H. Hon, Mater. Chem. Phys., 121, 99 (2010).
- 12. E.G. Heckert, A.S. Karakoti, S. Seal and W.T. Self, *Biomaterials*, **29**, 2705 (2008).
- 13. M.A. Arenas, A. Conde and J.J.D. Damborenea, Corr. Sci., 44, 511 (2002).
- M. Wu, Q. Zhang, Y. Liu, Q. Fang and X. Liu, *Mater. Res. Bull.*, 44, 1437 (2009).
- T. Arii, T. Taguchi, A. Kishi, M. Ogawa and Y. Sawada, J. Eur. Ceram. Soc., 22, 2283 (2002).
- Indian Pharmacopoeia, Controller of Publication, Govt. of India, Ministry of Health and Family Walfare, New Delhi (2008).