NOTES

Chlorinated Pesticide Residues in Fruits and Vegetables

I. CHAKRABARTY*, G. P. SHARMA, B. P. TYAGI AND PRASHANT DUTT

Food Research and Standardisation Laboratory Ghaziabad-201 001, India

412 samples of different fruits and vegetables were collected from some districts of western Uttar Pradesh and Delhi (India) and analysed for organochlorine pesticides residues by GLC using electron capture detector. Residues of BHC (benzene hexachloride) and DDT (dichloro diphenyl trichloro ethane) were detected in 391 samples of vegetables and fruits. A total of 373 sample were lying below the tolerance limit while 18 sample shown comparatively higher value for BHC & DDT. For six samples these values found exceedingly high in comparision to tolerance limit of 0.15 and 1.25 ppm, as recommended by WHO/FAO.

The importance of plant protection technology in agriculture is well established¹⁻⁴. Application of pesticide is must for boosting up of crop production but in discriminate use of pesticide has contaminated our environment, particularly the organochlorine pesticides pose a greater risk as they are non-degradable and have cumulative effect on human being⁵⁻⁷.

Dale et al⁸ in 1963 reported the highest DDT residue level in the body of Indian people, which clearly indicate the higher contamination level of food product by DDT. Extensive studies have been performed for the determination of organochlorine pesticide in variety of food products viz. dairy, fruit, vegetable and meat etc. in almost every part of country⁹⁻¹². But comparatively less attention has been paid towards the western Uttar Pradesh.

Keeping this in view authors have carried out a survey on the pesticide residue food commodities in order to estimate the DDT & BHC. In present communication we are reporting the chlorinated pesticide residue level in fruits and vegetables.

A total of 412 samples were collected from Ghaziabad and its adjoining area by adopting the standard method¹³ and processed for analysis. The methodology adopted for extraction and identification are as reported earlier^{14,15}.

(a) Vegetable—Perusal of the data presented in Table 1 indicate the incidence and range of BHC, DDT and dieldrin in vegetable samples. It

TABLE 1
INCIDENCE AND RANGE OF PESTICIDE RESIDUES IN VEGETABLES

		INCIDENCE A	INCIDENCE AND RANGE OF PENTICIDE RESIDUES IN VEGETABLES	TCIDE RESIDUES I	N VEGETABLES		
			dim solumos	Incidence of	Range	Range of residues (mg/kg)	g)
	Vegetables	samples analysed	samples with residues	residues	Total BHC	Total DDT	Aldrin
-	Reans	-	-	1	0.04	0.01	1
; ,	Deet	• •		100%	0.18-0.30	0.49-0.53	١
i r	Bitter Gourd	₂ =	, C	100%	0.03-1.21	0.08-1.00	I
; -	Bottle Gourd	3 0	3 ∞	88.8%	0.05-0.25	0.004-0.02	1
i v	Brinial	, 20	, 02 02	100%	0.01-1.02	0.07-1.63	0.01-0.24
ی د	Cabhage	12	17	100%	0.02-0.77	0.003-2.65	0.01-0.23
	Carrot	3 :	13	100%	0.01-0.78	0.005-0.59	0.05-0.63
: «	Cauliflower	2 2	10	100%	0.02-0.77	0.003-2.65	0.01-0.23
6	Cucumber	6	6	100%	0.01-0.31	0.88-0.12	I
2	Kundrus		y-4	ı	0.35		ı
i =	Ladv's finger	14	14	100%	0.005-0.73	0.04-0.14	0.01-0.06
12	Metni	່ຕ	က	100%	0.19-0.30	1	1
<u>"</u>	Onion	30	17	82%	0.01 - 1.85	0.01-0.88	0.05-0.01
. 7	Pea	ì r	7	100%	0.12-0.23	0.03-0.12	ı
. 5	In. 1 Ca	. 1	61	100%	0.02-0.30	0.02-1.17	0.03-0.27
9	Pumnkin	12	12	100%	0.02-0.90	0.12	I
1		12	12	100%	0.01-0.80	0.02-0.41	1
<u> </u>	Ridge Gourd	ŀ	m	100%	0.02-0.34	0.01	0.05
9			_	100%	09.0	I	ı
4 8		, <u>Y</u>	. 41	93.3%	0.04-0.64	0.01-0.60	0.02-0.39
રં ર		2 2	12	100%	0.12-0.89	0.03-1.02	0.01-0.03
2.1.	-	51	15	100%	0.02-0.89	0.002-0.65	0.01-0.46
3 5	Turnip	7	-	20%	0.34	I	i
	ı						

TABLE 2

IABLE 2
INCIDENCE AND RANGE OF PESTICIDE RESIDUES IN FRUITS

!		Samples	Samples with	Incidence of	Rang	Range of residues (mg/kg)	lkg)
Fr	Fruits	analysed	residues	residues	Total BHC	Total DDT	Aldrin
1. Fig		m	7	%9.99	0.02-0.09	. 1	1
2. Lite	chi	m	m	100%	0.35-0.72	0.80-1.20	l
3. Pea	3. Pears	12	12	100%	0.03-0.62	0.04-0.52	0.01-0.02
4. Pea	chers	7	7	100%	0.01-0.02	0.16	1
5. Gra	apes	0	6	100%	0.01-0.53	0.09-2.64	1
6. Pap	baya	6	18	88.8%	0.007-0.09	0.003-0.40	1
7. Swe	7. Sweet Lemon	11	10	%6.06	0.006-0.30	traces-0.04	traces
8. Len	non	9	9	100%	0.02-0.44	0.02-0.07	traces-0.10
9. Plum	u i	17	17	100%	0.02-2.84	0.01-1.63	0.04-0.16
10. Mel	. Melon	•	∞	100%	0.02-0.26	0.01-0.56	traces-0.04
11. Wat	termelon	∞	7	87.5%	0.03-0.36	0.004-0.93	1
12. Sap	Sapota	4	4	100%	0.011-0.013	1.10-2.52	traces-0.02
13. Ora	Orange	m	m	100%	0.01-0.01	1	traces-0.02
14. Gua	Guava	12	11	91.7%	0.01-0.36	0.04-3.13	i
15. Pine	Pineapple	4	4	100%	0.01-0.06	0.004-0.04	I
16. App	Apple	8	18	%06	0.003-3.24	0.012-1.80	0.01-0.04
17. Ban	Banana	28	24	85.7%	0.02-1.05	0.003-4.40	0.001-0.05
8. Mai	Mango	23	22	%9'56	0.02-1.89	0.0003-1.48	0.10

Asian J. Chem.

can be seen that out of 231 samples analysed, 222 samples contained pesticide residue. BHC was the main residue in vegetables but the residue levels were well within the prescribed tolerance limits of WHO/FAO. The residue of BHC was detected in 210 samples, for onion the maximum amount of BHC was 1.85 mg/kg. In case of tomato the mean concentration of BHC complex was in the range of 0.02-0.89 mg/kg. For lady's finger, the range is very low. However, the BHC residues obtained in vegetables were for below the PFA limit of 3 mg/kg of lindane. Regarding DDT, only in case of cauliflower the residue tolalled 3.74 mg/kg thus exceeding the limit. In the remaining samples, DDT residues were within acceptable levels.

(b) Fruits: Out of the 181 samples of fruits analysed, only 12 were free of pesticide residue while the remaining 169 were found to contain residue of one or the other insecticides. BHC isomers were detected in 159 samples, while DDT metabolites were present in 89 samples. 22 samples of mango, 21 of banana and 15 of apple were found to contain residue of BHC. But it was always below the tolerance limit. The situation was also same with other varieties of fruits only for apple, the range value for lindane 3.24 mg/kg crossed the tolerance limit.

It was also evident from Table 2 that oranges and figs were free of insecticide. The maximum DDT residue were recorded in banana, *i.e.* 4.40 mg/kg followed by guava (313 mg/kg). For other fruits the residue value recorded for DDT was less than the PFA tolerance limit.

Hence from the above investigation, it can be concluded that the residue levels of BHC & DDT were within the acceptable limit for human consumption in almost all the vegetable and fruit samples.

REFERENCES

- 1. Pesticide, I.C.I. Educational Publications, I.C.I. Ltd. (1975).
- 2. A. Woods, Pest Controls: A survey, McGraw-Hill, London, p. 64 (1974).
- 3. W. W. Fletcher, The Pest War, Blackwell, Oxford, p. 36 (1974).
- 4. Pesticides: Preparation and Mode of Action, Cremlyn, R.
- 5. M. L. Schafor, Residue Review, 24, 19 (1968).
- 6. H. Tanabe, contamination of milk with chlorinated hydrocarbon pesticide, in F. Matsumura, G. M. Bousch and T. Prisate (ed.), Environmental Toxicology of Pesticide, Academic Press, New York (1972).
- 7. R. E. Duggan and J. R. Weatherwax, Science, 157, 1006 (1967).
- 8. W. L. Dale, M. F. Copoland and W. J. Hayes (Jr.), Bull. Wid. Hith. Org., 33, 471 (1965).
- 9. G. S. Dhaliwal and R. L., Kalra, Pestic. Monitg., J., 12, 91 (1978).
- 10. B. S. Kaphalia and T. D. Seth, Pestic, Monitg. J., 15, 103 (1981).

- N. P. Agnihotri, R.S. Dewan, K. V. Jain and J. Y. Pandey, *Indian J. of Ent.*, 36, 203 (1974).
- 12. H. C. Tripathi, M.Sc. Thesis, U.P. Agriculture University, Pant Nagar (1966).
- 13. Codex Alimentarius Commissions (CAC), 2nd Ed., Vol. XIII (1978).
- 14. R. L. Kalra, Analytical Method of Pesticide Residue in Food, Punjab Agriculture University, Ludhiana.
- 15. G. S. Toteja (ed.), Methods of Analysis for Adulterants and Contaminant in Foods, Indian Council of Medical Research, New Delhi (1990).

(Received: 1 January 1992; Accepted: 19 March 1992) AJC-421