Some Hg(II) Complexes with Tetradentate Schiff Base Ligands

SHEKHAR SRIVASTAVA* and RICHRA MISHRA

School of studies in Chemistry, Jiwaji University, Gwalior-474 011, India.

Sixteen complexes of Hg(II) of the type $HgX_2 \cdot SB$ (where $X = CI^-$ or NO_3^- ; SB = tetradentate aromatic Schiff base ligands derived from diamines and glyoxal; 2,3-butanedione; acetylacetone and 1-phenylbutane-1, 3-dione) have been synthesised and characterised by elemental analysis, conductivity, IR and XPS data.

Although a number of Hg(II) complexes are known in the literature¹, Hg(II) complexes with aromatic Schiff base ligands are less known²⁻⁷. We report here the synthesis of some Hg(II) complexes with tetradentate ligands derived from ethylenediamine/phenylenediamine and glyoxal, 2, 3-butanedione, acetylacetone and 1-phenylbutane 1, 3-dione and their characterisation by physico-chemical and spectroscopic data.

The corresponding aromatic carbonyl compound (2 mmol) and the diamine (2 mmol) were mixed in methanol and refluxed for 3 h. TLC suggested complete conversion of the starting materials to the Schiff base. The HgX_2 (1 mmol) was then added and the mixture was refluxed for 3 h. The resulting solid was washed with methanol and air-dried.

Conductance measurements in DMF were made at room temperature using a Digisun Electronic conductivity bridge. Infrared spectra of ligands and complexes were recorded in nujol and KBr respectively on a Perkin-Elemer 457 spectrophotometer. The X-ray photoelectron spectra were recorded on a VG Scientific ESCA-3 MK(II) electron spectrometer. The AlK (1486.8 eV) was used for photoexcitation. The $\text{Cu}^2\text{P}_{3/2}$ (BE = 932.8 – 0.2) and $\text{Au}^4\text{f}_{7/2}$ (BE = 83.8 – 0.1 lines were used to calibrate the instrument and $\text{Ag}^3\text{d}_{5/2}$ (BE = 368.2) was used for cross checking⁸. All the spectra were recorded using the same spectrometer parameters of 50 eV pass energy and 4 mm slit-width. The reduced full-width at half maximum (FWHM) at $\text{Au}^4\text{f}_{7/2}$ (BE = 83.8 eV) level under these conditions was 1.2 eV. The usual least-square fitting procedure of determining peak position, line width and area was used.

Addition complexes of HgX_2 ($X = Cl^-$, NO_3^-) with the following tetradentate Schiff base ligands have been prepared: $SB_1 = bis$ (glyoxal) ethylenediamine; $SB_2 = bis$ (2,3-butanedione)ethylenediamine; $SB_3 = bis$ (acetylacetone) ethylenediamine; $SB_4 = bis$ (1-phenylbutance 1, 3-dione) ethylenediamine; $SB_5 = bis$ (glyoxal) phenylenediamine; $SB_6 = bis$ (2, 3-butanedione) phenylenediamine; $SB_7 = bis$ (acetylacetone) phenylenediamine and $SB_8 = bis$ (1, phenylbutance 1, 3-dione) phenylenediamine.

All these complexes are stable with high melting points and insoluble in common organic solvents except DMF and DMSO. Elemental analysis $(\pm 0.5\%$ for C, H and N) and molar conductance data (below than 60 ohm⁻¹ cm⁻² mol⁻¹) suggest that all complexes are non-electrolyte⁹ with composition of HgX2 · SB.

The IR bands observed at 1610–1670 cm⁻¹ of all the ligands and complexes are assigned to $v_{C=N}$ vibration¹⁰. The ligand $v_{C=N}$ bands around 1610 cm⁻¹ in the ligands shifted to 1630-1760 cm⁻¹ in the complexes due to an increase of bond order on coordination¹¹. The bands at 420-390 and 255-210 cm⁻¹ in the complexes may be assigned to ν_{Hg-N} and $\nu_{Hg-Cl}^{-12,13}$ respectively. The nitrato complexes showed a monodentate bonding mode of nitrate in the complexes¹⁴.

The XPS spectra of $Hg^4P_{1/2,3/2}$ photoelectron peaks of HgX_2 (X = Cl, NO₃) and these prepared complexes have shown that Hg4p BE value in HgX2 is higher than that (having same X) in HgX₂ · SB complexes. These XPS observations suggests that the Schiff base ligands are coordinated to metal ion⁸. Furthermore, the absence of multiple splitting in Hg3s photoelectron peak in all these prepared complexes, suggested the diamagnetic nature⁸.

REFERENCES

- 1. B.J. Aylett, The Chemistry of Zinc, Candmium and Mercury, Pergamon Texts in Inorganic Chemistry, Pergamon, New York, 18 (1973).
- 2. Shekhar Srivastava, Shraddha Srivastava and Anjulata Sharma, J. Indian Chem. Soc., 67, 310 (1990).
- 3. Bipin B. Mahapatra, S.K. Kar and S.C. Choudhury, J. Inst. Chem. (India), 62, 201 (1990).
- 4. Kanak L. Sahu, Prasanna K. Prasad, Ashok K. Panda and Sarweshwar Guru, Transition Met. Chem., 15, 270 (1990).
- 5. K. Hussain Readdy, Curr. Sci. (India), 51, 776 (1988).
- 6. Biman Sur, S.K. Nandi, B. Banerjee and S. Raychoudhury, *Indian J. Chem.*, 24A, 1061 (1985).
- 7. H.A. Tajmir-Riachi, Spectrochim. Acta, 38A, 1043 (1982).
- 8. Shekhar Srivastava, Applied Spectrosc. Reviews, 22, 401, (1986).
- 9. W.L. Greary, Coord. Chem. Rev., 13, 47 (1971).
- 10. J.R. Thornback and G. Wilkinson, J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans, 2, 110 (1978).
- 11. D.H. Bush and J.C. Bailor (Jr.), J. Am. Chem. Soc., 78, 1187 (1956).
- 12. J.R. Ferraro, C.Cristallini and G. Rock, Ric. Sci., 38, 433 (1968).
- 13. R.K. Agarwal, J. Indian Chem. Soc., 65, 448 (1988).
- 14. F.H. Jardine, A.G. Vohra and F.J. Young, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem., 33, 2041 (1971).

(Received: 1 October 1993; Accepted: 14 October 1993) AJC-732.