NOTE

Computation of Molar Conductance at Infinite Dilution from Conductometric Data for a Complex Using Statistical Method

Ms. Manjula Bishnoi and G.K. Joshi*

Department of Chemistry

Government Dungar College, Bikaner-334 001, India

In the present communication, the computation of molar conductance of a complex at infinite dilution from conductometric data is described.

The electrolytic behaviour of a complex in the various solvent systems depends upon resulting ionic strength of the solution at various concentrations. Thus in this situation Kohlrausch's empirical formula is best fitted by considering the value of 'n' in the equation as 2 or 3 in most of the cases. Kohlraush's empirical formula may be given as:

$$\mu_{v} = \mu_{\infty} - D \times (C)^{1/n} \tag{1}$$

where $\mu_v = \text{molar conductance}$ at particular concentration

 μ_{m} = molar conductance at infinite dilution

D = conductometric constant for the solvent system

C = molar concentration of the complex.

The various observations of $\mu_{\rm v}$ with the variation of molar concentration (C) of the complex may be recorded from the standard conductometric apparatus (systronic). Using a well known statistical method² called as least square fit method, the values of μ_{∞} and D may be computed.

In the present note the electrolytic behaviour in terms of μ_{∞} for the complexes pentathiourea dicuprous nitrate and potassium trioxalato chromate in the various solvent systems has been given. The solvent systems used are as follows:

- (a) demineralized water (Dm water)
- (b) methanol + water (1:1) (aquo-methanol)
- (c) ethanol + water (1:1) (aquo-ethanol)
- (d) acetone + water (1:1) (aquo-acetone)
- (e) acetic acid + water (1:1) (aquo-acetic acid)

In short a least square fit method applied for the present purpose may be given as follows:

Considering equation (1) a similar equation can be given as

$$Y = a_0 + a_{1^*} (2)$$

where $Y = \mu_v$; $a_0 = \mu_\infty$; $a_1 = D$ and $x = \sqrt{C}$

COMPUTED VALUES OF MOLAR CONDUCTANCE AT INFINITE DILUTION (µ~) in (mho cm² mol⁻¹) FOR THE COMPLEXES IN VARIOUS SOLVENT SYSTEMS TABLE-1

| , actually | S Z | د | Dm. | Dm. water | Aquo-methanol | ethanol | Aquo- | Aquo-ethanol | Aquo- | Aquo-acetone | Aquo-ac | Aquo-acetic acid |
|---------------|--------|------------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|---------|-----------------|--------------|-------------------|--------------|---------------------|------------------|
| Complex | 3.IA0. | mole/litre | $K \times 10^3$ | Ŧ | $K \times 10^3$ | 'n | $K \times 10^3$ | à | K×10 ³ | 'n | K × 10 ³ | 4 |
| Pentathiourea | ij | 0.0100 | 1.998 | 199.80 | 1.188 | 118.80 | 0.756 | 75.60 | 1.134 | 113.40 | 2.376 | 273.60 |
| dicuprous | 7. | 0.0050 | 1.134 | 226.80 | 0.702 | 140.40 | 0.432 | 86.40 | 0.059 | 118.80 | 1.994 | 388.90 |
| nitrate | 33 | 0.0033 | 0.810 | 245.45 | 0.486 | 147.27 | 0.324 | 98.18 | 0.432 | 130.90 | 1.728 | 526.63 |
| | 4 | 0.0025 | 0.648 | 259.20 | 0.378 | 151.50 | 0.270 | 108.00 | 0.378 | 151.20 | 1.674 | 09.699 |
| | 5. | 0.0020 | 0.540 | 270.00 | 0.313 | 156.50 | 0.226 | 113.00 | 0.342 | 162.00 | 1.566 | 783.00 |
| | 크 | | 310 | 310.39 | 180 | 180.28 | 134 | 134.72 | 180 | 180.51 | 102 | 1024.39 |
| Potassium | 1. | 0.0100 | 2.052 | 205.20 | 1.728 | 172.80 | 1.728 | 172.80 | 1.620 | 162.00 | 2.160 | 216.00 |
| trioxalato | 5 | 0.0050 | 1.728 | 345.60 | 1.512 | 302.40 | 1.404 | 280.80 | 0.972 | 194.40 | 1.836 | 367.20 |
| chromate(III) | κi | 0.0033 | 1.188 | 360.00 | 1.188 | 360.00 | 1.080 | 327.27 | 0.70 | 212.72 | 1.728 | 523.60 |
| | 4 | 0.0025 | 1.080 | 432.00 | 0.972 | 388.80 | 0.864 | 345.60 | 0.540 | 216.00 | 1.674 | 09.699 |
| ÷ | ۸. | 0.0020 | 0.972 | 486.00 | 0.864 | 432.00 | 0.756 | 378.00 | 0.486 | 240.00 | 1.620 | 810.00 |
| | 4 | | 63. | 632.83 | 290 | 590.12 | 200 | 506.88 | 278 | 278.17 | 100 | 1092.77 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |

896 Bishnoi et al. Asian J. Chem.

Solution for obtaining most probable values of a₀ and a₁ may be given as:

$$a_0 = \frac{\sum Y \sum X^2 - \sum X \sum XY}{N \sum X^2 - (\sum X)^2}$$
 (3)

$$a_{l} = \frac{N \Sigma XY - \Sigma X \Sigma Y}{N \Sigma X^{2} - (\Sigma X)^{2}}$$
(4)

where equations (3) and (4) involve the usual statistical notations.

The various values of specific conductance (K), molar conductance (μ_{v}) and molar conductance at infinite dilution (μ_{∞}) for the complexes under the present study have been reported in Table-1.

A comparative electrolytic behaviour of the complex in the various solvent systems has been found to show the following trend when $\mu_\infty\text{-values}$ are considered

- (a) For pentathiourea dicuprous nitrate
- aquo-acetic acid > Dm. water > aquo-acetone ≈ aquo-methanol > aquo-ethanol
- (b) For potassium trioxalato chromate aquo-acetic acid > Dm. water > aquo-methanol ≈ aquo-acetone

Conclusion

The molar conductance at infinite dilution for a complex from the various values of molar conductance at different molar concentrations may be computed from the Kohlrausch's empirical formula by using the statistical method called least square fit method. Thus the electrolytic behaviour of a complex in terms of molar conductance value at infinite dilution in the various solvent systems may be compared.

REFERENCES

- 1. Yuri Lyalikav, Physico-Chemical Analysis, Mir Publishers, Moscow (1968).
- 2. Cyril H. Goulden, Method of Statistical Analysis, Asia Publishing House, Bombay (1964).

(Received: 18 February 1995; Accepted: 27 April 1995) AJC-975