# Synthesis, Biological and Thermal Properties of Schiff Bases of Bisphenol-C

MISS F.D. KARIA and P.H. PARSANIA\*
Department of Chemistry, Saurashtra University
Rajkot-360 005, India

Schiff bases based on bisphenol-C were synthesized by reacting substituted benzaldehyde (o-NO<sub>2</sub>, p-NO<sub>2</sub> and p-OCH<sub>3</sub>) and amino bisphenol-C in DMF. The structures are supported by UV, IR and NMR spectral data. The schiff bases showed promising antibacterial and antifungal activities against *E. coli* and *A. awamori* and moderate activities against *B. mega*. BSB-3 has excellent thermal stability (662°C) while MSB-2 has least thermal stability (188°C) and the rest have comparatively good thermal stability.

### INTRODUCTION

Bisphenols and their derivatives find their extensive applications in various fields<sup>1-8</sup>. The literature survey on Schiff bases based on cardo bisphenols revealed that no work has been reported so far, which prompted us to investigate synthesis and characterization of Schiff bases of structure-I:

(I)

 BSB-1
  $R = R' = -N = CH - o - NO_2Ar$  MSB-1
  $R = CH_3$ ,  $R' = -N = CH - o - NO_2Ar$  

 BSB-2
  $R = R' = -N = CH - p - OCH_3Ar$  MSB-2
  $R = CH_3$ ,  $R' = -N = CH - p - OCH_3Ar$  

 BSB-3
 R = R' = -N = CH - p - CIAr MSB-3
  $R = CH_3$ , R' = -N = CH - p - CIAr 

 BSB-4
 R = R' = -N = CH - Ar MSB-4
  $R = CH_3$ , R' = -N = CH - Ar 

#### **EXPERIMENTAL**

All the chemicals and solvents used were purified according to literature processes. Precoated silica gel plates were used for analytical TLC. M.Ps. were determined in open capillaries and are uncorrected.

1,1'-Bis(4-hydroxyphenyl)cyclohexane (BC) and 1,1'-bis(3-methyl-4-hydroxyphenyl)cyclohexane (MeBC) were synthesized according to our earlier work<sup>9, 10</sup>. BC and MeBC (0.1 mol) in 100 mL CH<sub>3</sub>COOH were nitrated using HNO<sub>3</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (2:1 v/v) nitrating mixture (100 mL) at 70°C for 3 h. Nitro BC and MeBC were reduced using tin metal (0.01 mol) and concentrated hydrochloric acid (7.5 mL) at reflux temperature for 2 h. The residual tin was separated and solution was made alkaline with concentrated ammonia. The

992 Karia et al. Asian J. Chem.

separated product was filtered, washed well with water and dried at 50°C. The amino BC and MeBC were purified repeatedly from methanol-water system.

Schiff bases were synthesized by reacting substituted benzaldehyde (o-NO<sub>2</sub>, p-nitro and p-OCH<sub>3</sub>) (0.04/02 mol) with amino BC and MeBC (0.01 mol) in DMF (15 mL) at reflux temperature for 8 h. The product was isolated from chilled water, filtered, washed well with distilled water and dried at 50°C. Schiff bases were repeatedly recrystallized from DMSO-MeOH-H<sub>2</sub>O system. The purity of Schiff bases was ehecked by TLC using benzene: acetone (4.5:5.5 v/v).

Antibacterial and antifungal activities were tested by cup-plate method<sup>11</sup> against different microbes at 37°C using DMF as a solvent. The sample concentration was 50 µg.

# RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Physical and spectral data of schiff bases are reported in Table-1. IR spectra displayed characteristic bands (cm<sup>-1</sup>) at 3423–3391 v(—OH), 1683–1597 v(N=CH), 1530–1527  $\nu_{as}v($ —NO $_2$ ), 1349  $\nu_s$ , 1252–1251 v(ArOCH $_3$ ), 640–607 v(ArCl) besides normal modes of the alicyclic and aromatic groups.

TABLE-1
THE PHYSICAL AND SPECTRAL DATA OF SCHIFF BASES

Compound	m.p. (°C) (% yield)	λ <sub>max</sub> nm	<b>δ ppm</b>
BSB-1	296 (70)	252.0 200.0	1.25 (s, $\beta + \gamma$ —CH <sub>2</sub> —), 2.1 (s, $\alpha$ —CH <sub>2</sub> —), 8.44–7.12 (m, Ar—H)
BSB-2	308 (66)	252.2 (200.0)	1.45 (s, $\beta + \gamma$ —CH <sub>2</sub> ), 2.11 (s, $\alpha$ —CH <sub>2</sub> —), 4.34–4.30 (d, —OCH <sub>3</sub> ), 7.47–6.90 (m, Ar—H), 7.65–7.55 (m, Ar—H), 8.15–8.06 (m, Ar—H) and 8.44 (s, Ar—OH)
BSB-3	262 (67)	281.0 200.0	1.24 (s, $\beta$ + $\gamma$ —CH <sub>2</sub> —), 1.44 (s, $\alpha$ —CH <sub>2</sub> —), 6.8–6.61 (m, Ar—H), 7.15–7.02 (m, Ar—H), 7.26–7.18 (m, Ar—H), 7.45–7.30 (m, Ar—H), 8.21–8.1 (m, Ar—H) and 8.39 (s, Ar—OH)
BSB-4	292 (63)	286.4 200.0	1.25 (s, $\beta + \gamma$ —CH <sub>2</sub> —), 1.78 (s, $\alpha$ —CH <sub>2</sub> —), 7.42–7.1 (m, Ar—H) and 8.42 (s, Ar—OH)
MSB-1	<del>-</del> (72)	214.4	0.94–0.83 (t, $\beta + \gamma$ —CH <sub>2</sub> ), 1.26 (s, —CH <sub>2</sub> — + Ar—CH <sub>3</sub> ), 7.97–7.0 (m, Ar—H) and 8.4 (s, Ar—OH)
MSB-2	294 (65)	293.6 281.0 200.0	1.25 (s, $\beta$ + $\gamma$ —CH <sub>2</sub> —), 2.15 (s, $\alpha$ —CH <sub>2</sub> — + Ar—CH <sub>3</sub> ), 4.35–4.23 (d, —OCH <sub>3</sub> ), 7.99–7.07 (m, Ar—OH) and 8.39 (s, Ar—OH)
MSB-3	163 (69)	416.0 293.6 281.0 200.0	1.25 (s, $\beta + \gamma$ —CH <sub>2</sub> —), 1.60 (s, $\alpha$ —CH <sub>2</sub> — + Ar—CH <sub>3</sub> ), 6.86–6.83 (d, Ar—H) and 7.29–7.26 (d, Ar—H)
MSB-4	— (66)	416.0 293.6 281.0 200.0	1.26 (s, $\beta + \gamma$ —CH <sub>2</sub> —), 2.77 (s, $\alpha$ —CH <sub>2</sub> — + Ar—CH <sub>3</sub> ), 7.76–6.90 (m, Ar—H) and 8.45 (s, Ar—OH)
		∠∪∪.∪	

Antibacterial and Antifungal Activities: All compounds were screened against different strains of gram positive and gram negative bacteria such as E. coli, B. subtillis, B. mega and A. awamori. The zones of inhibition of the standard drugs and schiff bases are reported in Table-2. It is evident from Table-2 that Schiff bases possess promising antibacterial and antifungal activities against E. coli and A. awamori and moderate activities against B. mega.

TABLE-2 ANTIBACTERIAL AND ANTIFUNGAL ACTIVITIES OF SCHIFF BASES

Comments.		Zone of inh	ibiton, mm	
Sample —	E. coli	B. subtillis	B. mega	A. awamori
Griseofulvin				18
Chloramphenicol	29	20	26	
Norfloxacin	21	16	15	-
Ampicillin	14	25	26	
DMF	12	11	12	12
BSB-1	20	16	17	16
BSB-2	18	13	19	18
BSB-3	20	17	20	19
BSB-4	20	18	19	17
MSB-1	25	22	19	19
MSB-2	24	18	20	18
MSB-3	21	17	14	19
MSB-4	22	19	20	17

Thermal Analysis: Several so called exact methods have been proposed for estimation of kinetic parameters. All these methods involve two important assumptions that thermal and diffusion barriers are negligible and Arrhenius equation is valid. DSC and TGA data on schiff bases are reported in Table-3. From Table-3, it is observed that BSB-2, BSB-4, MSB-4 involved a single-step decomposition whereas BSB-1, BSB-3, MSB-1, MSB-2, MSB-3 involve two-step decomposition. BSB-3 has excellent thermal stability while MSB-2 is less thermally stable than others. The maximum degradation temperature is observed at about 280-292°C except BSB-4 and MSB-4 (325-340°C).

The energy of activation (E<sub>a</sub>) for Schiff bases was determined according to single heating rate method of Horowitz-Metzger method<sup>12</sup>. The least squared values of E<sub>a</sub> and of frequency factor (A) along with correlation coefficient (γ) for Schiff bases are reported in Table-3.

In conclusion Schiff bases possess promising biological activities and good thermal stability except MSB-2.

46t/1

TABLE-3 DSC AND TGA DATA OF SCHIFF BASES

				DSC					TC	TGA	
Compd.	Transition temp	Transition temp (°C)	ΔΗ J g <sup>-1</sup>	IDT (°C)	Decomposition range, (°C)	% Wt.loss	% Residual wt.	T <sub>max</sub> (°C)	E <sub>a</sub> kJ mol <sup>-1</sup>	A min <sup>-1</sup>	*
BSB-1	Ехо	259.7	276.8	245 638	245–315 638–750	91 81	1 8	274	410.9	$1.67 \times 10^{39}$	0.9882
BSB-2		173.5	3.3	235	235–263	40	55 (up to 675)	272.4	208.2	$8.37 \times 10^{10}$	0.9979
BSB-3	Endo	329.3	636.2	662	662-740	œ	75	1	1	I	1
BSB-4	Ехо•	81.8	431.2	268	268–380	62	23 (up to 725)	340	532.1	$3.03 \times 10^{45}$	0.9721
MSB-1	Ехо	299.7	366.4	238	238–320 425–510	25 12	23 46–50	280 443.6	496.4	$1.83\times10^{36}$	0.9756
MSB-2	Endo	480.0	1	188	188–375 375–500	42 13	38 (up to 700)	l	1	1 .	1
MSB-3	1	328.6	I	I	1	I	79 (up to 650)	1	I	1	I
MSB-4	Ехо	328.4	1	238	238–393	55	35 (400–800)	325 367	499.0	$2.07 \times 10^{41}$ $6.72 \times 10^{40}$	0.9792

AJC-1728

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

The authors are thankful to Prof. A.R. Parikh and Heads RSIC Chandigarh and Nagpur for facilities.

### REFERENCES

- 1. E.L. Wittle, U.S. 3661697 (1969).
- 2. M.C. Goldworthy and S.Y. Gerter, Plant Disease Rep. Suppl., 182, 89 (1969).
- 3. J.E. Johnson (Jr.) and D.R. Massell, U.S. 2535014 (1950).
- 4. C.H. Arndt, Phytopathology, 38, 978 (1948).
- 5. G. Subramaniam, R. Savitri and S. Thambipillai, J. Indian Chem. Soc., 66, 797 (1989).
- 6. H.H. Garchar, S.H. Kalola and P.H. Parsania, Asian J. Chem., 5, 340 (1993).
- 7. K.M. Rajkotia and P.H. Parsania, J. Indian Chem. Soc., 75, 524 (1998).
- 8. V.V. Korshak, S.V. Vinogradova and Ya. Vygodskii, J. Macromol. Sci. Rev. Macromol. Chem., 11, 45 (1974).
- 9. H.H. Garchar, H.N. Shukla and P.H. Parsania, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci., 103, 149 (1991).
- 10. \_\_\_\_\_, Asian J. Chem., 6, 87 (1994).
- 11. A.L. Barry, The Antimicrobic Susceptibility Test: Principles and Practices (1976).
- 12. H.H. Horowitz and G. Metzger, Anal. Chem., 35, 1464 (1963).

(Received: 20 February 1999; Accepted: 21 May 1999)