Kinetics and Mechanism of Oxidation of Methionine by Chloramine-T in Alkaline Medium in Presence of OsO₄ Catalyst

T. DEMAPPA and S. ANANDA*

Department of Studies in Chemistry, University of Mysore

Manasagangothri, Mysore-570 006, India

Kinetics and mechanism of oxidation of D-L methionine (Met) by sodium N-chlorotoluene-p-sulphonamide (CAT) in alkaline medium in presence of OsO₄ catalyst have been investigated at 30°C, and the results are compared to the reaction without Os(VIII) catalyst. The reaction rate shows a first order dependence each on [CAT] and [methionine] and inverse fractional order on [OH⁻] and fractional order on [OsO₄]. Additions of halide ions and variation of ionic strength and dielectric constant of the medium do not have any significant effect on the reaction rate. Thermodynamic parameters have been evaluated.

INTRODUCTION

There are very few reports on the mechanistic aspects of oxidation of methionine. A review of literature has shown that aromatic sulphonylhaloamines have been employed for the estimation^{1, 2} of methionine in solution and a few reactions have been kinetically investigated³.

Oxidation and kinetic study of methionine by N-haloamines has not been investigated in presence of the catalyst. It was therefore of interest to study the kinetics of oxidation of methionine by the chloramine-T in presence of catalyst OsO_4 in alkaline medium at $30^{\circ}C$.

EXPERIMENTAL

Chloramine-T (Merck) was purified by the method of Morris *et al.*⁴ The purity of compound was checked by iodometric assay of the active halogen and by recording its Fourier transform ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra. An aqueous solution of the compound was standardized by the iodometric method and preserved in brown bottles to prevent its photochemical deterioration.

Chromatographically pure DL-methionine (Merck) was further assayed by acetous perchloric acid method⁵ and its aqueous solution was prepared. All other reagents were of AnalaR grade. The ionic strength of the system was kept at a high value by using a concentrated NaClO₄ solution.

Stoichiometry and product analysis: Reaction mixture containing methionine and CAT in presence of 7.86×10^{-6} mol dm⁻³ OsO₄ and 0.1 mol dm⁻³ NaOH were

equilibrated with occasional shaking at 30°C for 24 h. The iodometric determination of unreacted oxidant in the reaction mixture showed that one mole of methionine reacted with two moles of oxidant. The observed reaction stoichiometry is given by the equation (1).

 $CH_3SCH_2CH_2CH(NH_2)COOH + 2TsNClNa + 2H_2O \rightarrow$

$$CH_{3} - S - CH_{2}CH_{2}CH(NH_{2})COOH + 2TsNH_{2} + 2NaCl$$

$$0$$

$$(1)$$

Here $Ts = CH_3C_6H_4SO_2$ -toluene-p-sulphonamide (TsNH₂) was detected by paper chromatography. Benzyl alcohol saturated with water was used as the solvent with 0.5% vanilin in 1% HCl solution in ethanol as spray reagent (R_f = 0.905). Identification of methionine sulphone was made through paper chromatography. The solvent employed was n-butanol-glacial acetic acid-water (4:1:5 v/v) and a 0.2% solution of ninhydrin in butanol, water and acetic acid (95:4:0.5 v/v) was used as the spray reagent (R_f = 0.17).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Effect of varying reactant concentrations: The kinetics of oxidation of methionine by CAT were equilibrated at several initial concentrations of the reactants in alkaline medium with OsO4 as catalyst. In the presence of excess [methionine] and fixed [NaOH] and [OsO₄], plots of log [CAT] versus time are linear, indicating a first order dependence of rate on [CAT]. The pseudo first-order rate constant k' are obtained (Table-1).

TABLE-1 EFFECT OF VARYING REACTANT CONCENTRATIONS ON THE RATE OF REACTION

 $[NaOH] = 0.10 \; mol \; dm^{-3}, \; [OsO_4] = 7.86 \times 10^{-6} \; mol \; dm^{-3}, \; \mu = 0.4 \; mol \; dm^{-3}$

10^3 [CAT] mol dm ⁻³	10 ² [methionine] mol dm ⁻³	10 ⁴ k' sec ⁻¹	
0.5	1.0		
0.7	1.0	1.63	
0.8	1.0	1.62	
0.9	1.0	1.61	
1.0	1.0	1.60	
1.1	1.0	1.58	
1.2	1.0	1.57 0.80	
1.0	0.5		
1.0	1.0	1.60	
1.0	2.0	3.18	
1.0	3.0	4.76	
1.0	4.0	6.34	
1.0	5.0	7.94	

At constant [CAT]₀, [NaOH], [OsO₄] and temperature the rate increased with increase of [methionine] yielding linear plot of log k' versus log [methionine]₀ with slope equal to unity, indicating a first order dependence of the reaction rate on [methionine] (Table-1). Further, the rate increased with increase in [OsO₄] and plot of log k' versus log [OsO₄] was linear with slope less than unity indicating a fractional order dependence of rate on [OsO₄] (Table-2).

TABLE-2 EFFECT OF CONCENTRATION OF CATALYST OsO_4 ON THE RATE OR REACTION

[CAT] = 0.001 mol dm⁻³; [NaOH] = 0.10 mol dm⁻³ [methionine] = 0.01 mol dm⁻³; μ = 0.4 mol dm⁻³

10 ⁶ [OsO ₄] mol dm ⁻³	10 ⁴ k' sec ⁻¹	
7.86	1.60	
11.78	2.13	
15.70	2.61	
19.62	3.05	
23.60	3.48	
27.50	3.85	
31.40	4.17	

Effect of NaOH: The reaction rate was studied as function of the concentration of sodium hydroxide. The rate decreased with increase in [NaOH] and a plot of log k' versus log of $[OH^-]_R$ was linear with a slope of -0.4, indicating an inverse fractional order in [NaOH]. Here the reactive $[OH^-]_R$ was determined by the relation $[OH^-]_R = [OH^-]_T - [OH^-]_{Met}$, where $[OH^-]_T$ was the total concentration and $[OH^-]_{Met}$ was the hydroxide ion concentration neutralized by the amino acid.

TABLE-3
EFFECT OF CONCENTRATION OF NaOH ON THE RATE OF REACTION

[CAT] = 0.001 mol dm⁻³; [methionine] = 0.01 mol dm⁻³ [OsO₄] = 7.86×10^{-6} mol dm⁻³; $\mu = 0.4$ mol dm⁻³

[NaOH] mol dm ⁻³	10 ⁴ k' sec ⁻¹	
0.05	1.94	
0.10	1.60	
0.15	1.33	
0.20	1.17	
0.25	1.03	

Effect of TPS and ionic strength: Addition of the reaction product, toluene-p-sulphonamide (0.0002 – 0.001 mol dm⁻³) to the reaction mixture of CAT and methionine had no effect on the rate. Similarly, the reaction rate was unaffected by the variation of the ionic strength of the medium (0.12–0.4 mol dm⁻³) by adding NaClO₄.

TABLE-4 KINETIC AND THERMODYNAMIC PARAMETERS FOR THE OXIDATION OF METHIONINE BY CAT IN ALKALINE MEDIUM IN PRESENCE OF OsO4

Temperature K	10 ⁴ k' sec ⁻¹	Ea kJ mol ⁻¹	ΔH [≠] kJ mol ⁻¹	ΔS [≠] J K mol ⁻¹	ΔG [≠] kJ mol ^{−1}
298	1.12	54.70	52.20	-145.60	96.30
303	1.60	(64.70)	(62.20)	(-142.5)	(94.50)
308	2.34				
313	3.23				Water Addition to the Control of the

Values in parentheses for oxidation of methionine of CAT in alkaline medium without catalyst.

Effect of chloride ion and bromide ion: Addition of Cl⁻ ion in the form of NaCl or Br ion in the form of NaBr has no effect on the rate.

Effect of varying dielectric constant of solvent: The effect of dielectric constant [D] of the solvent of the reaction mixture was studied by varying the MeOH content (0-15% v/v) and indicated no significant effect on the rate.

Effect of temperature: The reaction was carried out at different temperatures (298 to 313 K). The activation parameters were calculated from a plot of log k' versus 1/T.

Tests performed using olefinic monomers were negative indicating the absence of free radical formation in the reaction mixture of methionine and CAT.

Morris et al.⁴, Pryde and Soper⁶, Bishop and Jennings⁷ have shown the existence of similar equilibria in acid and alkaline solutions of N-metallo-Nhaloarylsulphonamides and chloramine-T behaves as a strong electrolyte in aqueous solutions forming different species as in equations (2)-(6).

$$TsNClNa \rightleftharpoons TsNCl + Na^{+}$$
 (2)

$$TsNCl + H^{+} \rightleftharpoons TsNHCl$$
 (3)

$$TsNHCl + H_2O \rightleftharpoons TsNH_2 + HOCl$$
 (4)

$$2TsNHCl \rightleftharpoons TsNH_2 + TsNCl_2$$
 (5)

$$HOCl + H^{\dagger} \rightleftharpoons H_2OCl^{\dagger}$$
 (6)

In acidic medium, the probable oxidising species are the free acid (TsNHCl), dichloramine-T (TsNCl₂), HOCl and H₂OCl⁺. In alkaline solutions of CAT, TsNCl₂ and H₂OCl⁺ do not exist. The expected reactive species are TsNHCl, HOCl and TsNCl. The possible species TsNCl and OCl which could be transformed into more reactive oxidising species TsNHCl and HOCl through reactions (7), (8) and (9).

$$TsNCl + H_2O \rightleftharpoons TsNHCl + OH^-$$
 (7)

$$TsNCl + H_2O \rightleftharpoons TsNH_2 + OCl$$
 (8)

$$OCl^- + H_2O \rightleftharpoons HOCl + OH^-$$
 (9)

If HOCl were the primary oxidizing species as equations (8) and (9) indicate a first order retardation of the rate by the added toluene sulphonamide (TsNH₂) would be expected, which is contrary to the experimental observations. A retarding influence of OH⁻ ions on the reaction rate has been observed in many reactions of CAT, which has been attributed to the formation of conjugate acid TsNHCl from TsNCl⁻ through reaction (7). Hence an inverse fractional order dependence of rate on [OH⁻] observed in presence of OsO₄ indicates that TsNHCl is the most likely oxidizing species.

Ultraviolet spectral measurements showed that methionine solution has a sharp absorption band at 214 nm and a band around 226 nm was noticed for OsO_4 , while a band at 230 nm for CAT solution was observed, in presence of 0.1 mol dm⁻³ NaOH solution. A mixture of CAT and OsO_4 solution in the presence of NaOH solution showed a band around 265 nm, while for the mixture of methionine and OsO_4 solution in presence of NaOH solution there was no change in λ_{max} at 221 nm, indicating that complex formation takes place only between the catalyst and the oxidant CAT.

Further, it has been shown that OsO_4^{8-10} is stable as Os(VIII) and exist in the following equilibria in alkaline medium.

$$OsO_4 + OH^- + H_2O \rightleftharpoons [OsO_4(OH)H_2O]^-$$
(10)

$$[OsO_4(OH)H_2O]^- + OH^- \rightleftharpoons [OsO_4(OH)_2]^{2-} + H_2O$$
 (11)

Both $[OsO_4(OH)H_2O]^-$ and $[OsO_4(OH)_2]^{2-}$ possess octahedral geometry and they may not be able to form effective complexes with the oxidant. It is more realistic to postulate OsO_4 , which possess tetrahedral geometry, as the active species that can effectively form the complex with the oxidant species.

Therefore, the first order dependence of the reaction rate on [CAT] and [methionine], a fractional order dependence on [OsO₄], a negative fractional order dependence on [OH⁻] indicate that the formation of an intermediate species from OsO₄ and CAT serves as an oxidant and the possible oxidising species in this case would be TsNHCl. The electron density around N atom in TsNHCl is decreased and N—Cl bond becomes weaker in the complex. Subsequently, the electrophilic character of TsNHCl is increased after complexation facilitating the reaction with the substrate. In view of these findings, it is likely that Scheme-1 is more probable for explaining the oxidation of methionine in CAT in alkaline medium in presence of OsO₄.

$$\overset{-}{\text{TsNCl}} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightleftharpoons \overset{\text{K}_1}{\rightleftharpoons} \text{TsNHCl} + \text{OH}^- \quad \text{(fast)}$$
(12)

$$TsNHCl + OsO_4 \stackrel{K_2}{\rightleftharpoons} X$$
 (fast) (13)

$$X + S \xrightarrow{k_3} X'(14)$$
 (slow)
 $X' + H_2O \xrightarrow{k_4} Products$ (fast) (15)

Scheme-I

If [CAT]_t represents total effective concentration of CAT, from Scheme-I,

$$[CAT]_t = Ts\overline{N}Cl + TsNHCl + X$$
 (16)

we have

$$[TsNHCl] = \frac{X}{K_2[OsO_4]}$$
$$[TsNCl^-] = \frac{[X]}{K_3[OsO_4]} \frac{[OH^-]}{K_1[H_2O]}$$

By substituting for [TsNHCl⁻] and [TsNCl⁻] into equation (16) one gets the following equation (17):

$$[X] = \frac{K_1 K_2 [CAT]_t [OsO_4] [H_2O]}{[OH^-] + K_1 [H_2O] + K_1 [H_2O] K_2 [OsO_4]}$$
(17)

Since

$$Rate = k_3[X][S] \tag{18}$$

by substituting equation (17) into equation (18), we get

Rate =
$$-\frac{d}{dt}[CAT]_t = \frac{k_3K_1K_2[CAT]_t[S][OsO_4][H_2O]}{K_1[H_2O] + [OH]^- + K_1K_2[OsO_4][H_2O]}$$
 (19)

which is in agreement with the experimental data including a first order each in [CAT] and [methionine], positive fractional order in $[OsO_4]$ and a negative fractional order in $[OH^-]$ since rate = $k'[CAT]_t$, under pseudo first order condition of [methionine] \gg [CAT] the rate equation (19) can be transformed into equation (20) and (21).

$$k' = \frac{k_3 K_1 K_2[S][OsO_4][H_2O]}{K_1[H_2O] + [OH]^- + K_1 K_2[OsO_4][H_2O]}$$
(20)

$$\frac{1}{k'} = \frac{1}{k_3 K_2 [S] [OsO_4]} + \frac{[OH^-]}{k_3 K_1 K_2 [S] [OsO_4] [H_2O]} + \frac{1}{k_3 [S]}$$
(21)

Then,

$$\frac{1}{k'} = [OH^{-}] \left\{ \frac{1}{k_3 K_1 K_2 [S] [OsO_4] [H_2O]} \right\} + \left\{ \frac{1}{k_3 K_2 [S] [OsO_4]} + \frac{1}{k_3 [S]} \right\}$$
(22)

$$\frac{1}{k'} = \frac{1}{\text{OsO}_4} \left\{ \frac{1}{k_3 \text{K}_2[S]} + \frac{[OH^-]}{k_3 \text{K}_1 \text{K}_2[S][\text{H}_2O]} \right\} + \frac{1}{k_3[S]}$$
 (23)

A plot of 1/k' versus [OH⁻] from eqaution (22) gives

Slope =
$$\frac{1}{k_3K_1K_2[S][OsO_4][H_2O]}$$

and

$$Intercept = \frac{1}{k_3 K_2 [S] [OsO_4]} + \frac{1}{k_3 [S]}$$

Similarly, a plot of 1/k' versus 1/[OsO₄] from equation (23) gives

$$Slope = \frac{1}{k_{3}K_{2}[S]} + \frac{[OH^{-}]}{k_{3}K_{1}K_{2}[S][H_{2}O]}$$

$$Intercept = \frac{1}{k_{3}[S]}$$

Therefore, from the values of slopes and intercepts of equations (22) and (23) the values of K_1 , K_2 and k_3 are calculated for the standard run with $[CAT]_0 = 1.00 \times 10^{-3}$ mol dm⁻³, [methionine] = 1.0×10^{-2} mol dm⁻³, [OsO₄] = 7.86×10^{-6} mol dm⁻³ and [NaOH] = 0.10 mol dm⁻³ at 303 K.

The values obtained are $k_3 = 8.52 \times 10^{-2} \text{ sec}^{-1}$

$$K_2 = 5.26 \times 10^4 \text{ lit mol}^{-1}$$

 $K_1 = 2.2 \times 10^{-3} \text{ lit mol}^{-1}$ from equation (22)

and $K_1 = 1.8 \times 10^{-3} \text{ lit mol}^{-1}$ from equation (23)

The values of K_1 obtained from equations (22) and (23) are same. Further, the value of K_1 is in good agreement with the value of K_1 obtained in previous

$$CH_{3} \longrightarrow SO_{2} NCI + H_{2}O \xrightarrow{K_{1}} CH_{3} \longrightarrow SO_{2} NHCI + OH$$

$$CH_{3} \longrightarrow SO_{2} NHCI + OSO_{4} \xrightarrow{K_{2}} CH_{3} \longrightarrow SO_{2} NHCI + OH$$

$$CH_{3} \longrightarrow SO_{2} NHCI + OSO_{4} \xrightarrow{K_{2}} CH_{3} \longrightarrow SO_{2} NHCI + OH$$

$$CH_{3} \longrightarrow SO_{2} NHCI + OSO_{4} \xrightarrow{K_{2}} CH_{3} \longrightarrow SO_{2} NHCI + OH$$

$$CH_{3} \longrightarrow SO_{2} NHCI + OSO_{4} \xrightarrow{K_{2}} CH_{3} \longrightarrow SO_{2} NHCI + OH$$

$$CI \longrightarrow SO_{2} NHCI + OSO_{4} \xrightarrow{K_{2}} CH_{3} \longrightarrow SO_{2} NHCI + OH$$

$$CI \longrightarrow SO_{2} NHCI + OH$$

$$CI \longrightarrow SO_{2} NHCI + OSO_{4} \xrightarrow{K_{2}} CH_{3} \longrightarrow SO_{2} NHCI + OH$$

$$CI \longrightarrow SO_{2} NHCI +$$

Here
$$R = CH_2CH_2 CHNH_2COOH$$

 $Ts = CH_3C_6H_4SO_{\overline{2}}$

publications^{11, 12}. Therefore, the near constancy of K₁ value forms a strong evidence for the formation of the species TsNHCl from TsNCl and H₂O (Step 12 of Scheme-1) for the oxidation of methionine by CAT in alkaline medium with OsO₄ as catalyst.

A detailed mechanistic interpretation of the methionine-CAT reaction in presence of OsO₄ is presented in Scheme-2. The conjugate acid TsNHCl coordinates to the catalyst OsO₄ to form a cyclic complex (X), activating the CAT by stabilizing the charge on its nitrogen and polarizing the N-Cl bond. An electrophilic attack by the positive chlorine of complex species X at the sulphur atom of the substrate forms the intermediate species X¹ and with regeneration of the catalyst OsO₄ and TsNH. X¹ is attacked by the dipolar solvent. Elimination of H⁺ and HCl results in the formation of methionine sulphoxide, which is oxidised by the second molecule of the oxidant, to give methionine sulphone. The four electron stoichiometry shown for the reaction clearly rules out the formation of a sulphimide as a minor product which was noticed by Ruff and Kucsman during the oxidation of sulphides by BAT.

It is of interest to compare the thermodynamic parameters obtained for the oxidation of methionine by CAT in the absence of OsO₄ catalyst with the present studies. A preliminary comparison shows that the energy of activation (E_a) is lower in the catalysed reaction. The moderate ΔH^{\pm} and a fairly negative ΔS^{\pm} values support the formation of a rigid transition state.

REFERENCES

- 1. N.M.M. Gowda and D.S. Mahadevappa, Talanta, 24, 270 (1977).
- 2. D.S. Mahadevappa, K.S. Rangappa and N.M.M. Gowda, Microchem. J., 28, 35 (1983).
- 3. D.S. Mahadevappa, S. Ananda and N.M.M. Gowda, J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans., II (1985).
- 4. J.C. Morris, J.A. Salazar and M.A. Wineman, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 70, 2036 (1948).
- 5. A.I. Vogel, Quantitative Organic Analysis, Longmans and Green, London, p. 788 (1958).
- 6. F.G. Soper, J. Chem. Soc. (T), 1899 (1924).
- 7. E. Bishop and Jennings, *Talanta*, 1, 197 (1958); 8, 22, 34, 697 (1961); 9, 581, 593 (1962).
- 8. K.M. Mackay and R.A. Mackay, Introduction to Modern Inorganic Chemistry, 4th Edn., Prentice-Hall, USA, p. 259 (1989).
- 9. F.A. Cotton and G. Wilkinson, Advanced Inorganic Chemistry, 4th Edn., John Wiley, USA, p. 912 (1980).
- 10. R.D. Saurbrum and E.B. Sandell, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 75, 4170 (1953).
- 11. S.P. Mushram and M.C. Agarwal and B. Prasad, J. Chem. Soc. B., 1712 (1971).
- 12. K.K. Banerji, B. Jayaram and D.S. Mahadevappa, J. Sci. Indust. Res., 46, 65 (1987).

(Received: 22 August 1990; Accepted: 20 November 1998) AJC-1625