Synthesis and Antimicrobial Activity of Some Schiff Bases from Benzothiazoles

SHAIKH KABEER A. *, M.A. BASEER and N.A. MOTE Department of Chemistry, Yeshwant College, Nanded-431 602, India

Some new Schiff bases have been synthesised and tested for their antibacterial and antifungal activity. The structures of these Schiff bases were characterised by analytical and spectral data (IR, ¹H NMR and MS).

INTRODUCTION

During the past few decades, the coordination behaviour of organometallic compounds with several oxygen, sulphur and nitrogen containing ligands have been studied extensively. As a consequence of their biological potency, these complexes have received great attention¹⁻³. It is well documented that the biological activity of an active ligand is altered quantitatively on coordinating with a suitable metal ion^{4,5}. A number of ligands (Schiff bases) have been reported for their bactericidal^{6,8}, fungicidal^{6,7}, antipyretic⁸, antitumour⁹, antitubercular¹⁰, anticancer^{11,19} and sterease inhibitory¹² activities. Some of the Schiff bases were used as chelating agents^{13,14}, analytical reagents¹⁵ for transition metal analysis and as catalysts for epoxidation of olefins¹⁶.

Literature survey reveals that the Schiff bases of thiazoleamines have not been synthesised so far, except a few 17-19. The present paper describes the synthesis, characterisation and antimicrobial activities of Schiff bases derived from substituted aromatic aldehydes/ketones with 2-aminobenzothiazoles and 2-hydrazinobenzothiazoles.

EXPERIMENTAL

M.ps. of the compounds were taken in open capillary tubes and are not corrected. IR spectra were recorded on PE-983 spectrophotometer. 1H NMR spectra (CDCl₃) were recorded on a Gemini 200 MHz spectrophotometer (Chemical shifts in δ , ppm). MS spectra were recorded on VG 70–70H. Purity of the compounds was checked on Silica-gel-G TLC plates. Substituted acetophenones were synthesised by known methods²⁰. 2-Hydrazinobenzothiazole was synthesised by the literature method²¹. These compounds were then used for the synthesis of various Schiff bases (Scheme-I).

LI1 2-N-(2'-hydroxyacetophenyldimine)-benzothiazole

A mixture of 2-aminobenzothiazole (0.2 mol) and 2'-hydroxyacetophenone

LV

(0.2 mol) was refluxed in ethanol (25 mL) for 3 h. The content was poured in cold water. The Schiff base thus formed was filtered off and recrystallised from hot ethanol (70%).

SCHEME-1

LIV

The antibacterial activity of the title compounds was tested against S. aureus, E. coli and B. subtilis using disc diffusion method. Tetracycline was used as a standard for comparison. Antifungal activity of the compounds was evaluated by testing spore germination in petridishes, using two fungal organisms, Curvularia lunata and Helminthosporium oryzae.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The physical data of the synthesised compounds are presented in Table-1. All compounds have shown band at near region 1630-1615 cm⁻¹ for C=N stretch vibrations. Absorption at near 1605–1570 cm⁻¹ due to C=C stretch vibrations. Absorption due to —OH stretching vibrations in region 3250 cm⁻¹. Further the 498 Kabeer A. et al. Asian J. Chem.

structures of these compounds have been confirmed by ¹HNMR and MS spectra of some representative compounds (Table-2).

TABLE-1
PHYSICAL DATA OF COMPOUNDS

Sr.	Compo	l. m.f. (m.w.)	m.p. (°C)	Yield (%)	Elemental Analysis (%)				
No.	No.				С	Н	N	S	X(Cl, I)
1.	LI1	C ₁₅ H ₁₂ N ₂ OS (268)	119	60	67.01 (67.16)	4.42 (4.47)	10.40 (10.44)	11.90 (11.94)	_
2.	LI2	C ₁₅ H ₁₂ N ₂ O ₂ S (284)	160	72	63.32 (63.38)	4.17 (4.22)	9.77 (9.85)	11.21 (11.26)	
3.	LI3	C ₁₅ H ₁₁ N ₂ OSCl (302.5)	110	78	59.42 (59.50)	3.48 (3.63)	9.13 (9.25)	10.49 (10.57)	11.53 (11.73)
4.	LIĮ1	C ₁₅ H ₁₃ N ₃ OS (283)	209	60	63.46 (63.60)	4.48 (4.59)	14.78 (14.84)	11.17 (11.30)	-
5.	LII2	C ₁₅ H ₁₃ N ₃ O ₂ S (299)	174	90	60.26 (60.20)	4.21 (4.34)	14.20 (14.04)	10.56 (10.70)	-
6.	LII3	C ₁₅ H ₁₃ N ₃ O ₂ S (299)	150	83	60.26 (60.20)	4.21 (4.34)	14.18 (14.04)	10.56 (10.70)	-
7.	LII4	C ₁₅ H ₁₂ N ₃ OSCl (311)	204	80	56.61 (56.69)	3.84 (3.77)	13.16 (13.22)	10.00 (10.07)	11.04 (11.18)
8.	LII5	C ₁₅ H ₁₁ N ₃ OSCII (444.5)	224	75	40.56 (40.49)	2.36 (2.47)	9.32 (9.44)	7.29 (7.19)	36.52 (36.78)
9.	LII6	C ₁₆ H ₁₄ N ₃ OSI (424)	210	78	45.33 (45.28)	3.24 (3.30)	9.83 (9.90)	7.51 (7.54)	30.04 (30.18)
10.	LII7	C ₁₆ H ₁₄ N ₃ OSCl (331.5)	221	80	58.02 (57.91)	4.13 (4.22)	12.45 (12.66)	9.46 (9.65)	10.82 (10.70)
-11-	LII8	C ₁₆ H ₁₄ N ₃ OSCl I (459.5)	208	62	41.49 (41.78)	3.11 (3.04)	9.10 (9.14)	6.91 (6.96)	35.47 (35.58)
12.	LIII	C ₁₃ H ₉ N ₃ S (239)	180	76	- .	-	-	-	
13.	LIV	C ₁₄ H ₁₁ N ₃ OS (269)	264	70	62.38 (62.45)	4.13 (4.08)	15.52 (15.61)	11.96 (11.89)	-
14.	LV	C ₁₅ H ₁₃ N ₃ O ₂ S (299)	198	72	60.13	4.39 (4.34)	14.02 (14.04)	10.58 (10.70)	-

All the Schiff bases exhibited antibacterial and antifungal activity due to >C=N linkage. Compounds LI2, LII3 were found more active against the microbes used for antibacterial screening. It may be attributed to the presence of two—OH groups on the aromatic ring. All the compounds LII6, LII7, LII8, LIII were moderately active where as LI3, LII4, LII5 were capable of inhibiting these pathogens to some extent.

The fungicidal activity of compounds LII2, LII3 and LV was more while all the other compounds showed normal activity.

The complexation behaviour of these ligands with transition metals and their physical as well as biological properties are under investigation.

TABLE-2						
SPECTRAL DATA	OF COMPOUNDS					

Compd. No.	¹ H NMR	MS		
LI2	δ 2.54 (s, 3H), δ 7.15 (s, 1H,b), δ 11.90 (s, 2H,b), δ 7.59 (m, 4H), δ 7.33 (m, 3H)	M-148 at M/Z 136		
LÏ3	δ 2.59 (s,3H), δ 7.10 (s, 1H, b), δ 12.18 (s, 1H, b), δ 7.54 (m, 4H), δ 7.49 (m, 3H)	M-247.5 at M/Z 155		
LII2	δ 2.45 (s, 3H), δ 6.96 (s, 1H, b), δ 12.88 (s, 2H, b), δ 7.19 (m, 3H), δ 7.37 (m, 4H)			
LH3	δ 2.43 (s, 3H), δ 6.71 (s, 1H, b), δ 12.05 (s, 2H, b), δ 6.94 (m, 4H), δ 7.14 (m, 3H)	M-254 at M/Z 45		
LII4	δ 2.46 (s, 3H), δ 6.98 (s, 1H, b), δ 12.50 (s, 1H, b), δ 7. 25 (m, 4H), δ 7.44 (m, 3H)	M-17 at M/Z 300		
LII5	δ 2.49 (s, 3H), δ 7.02 (s, 1H, b), δ 13.94 (s, 1H, b), δ 7.45 (m, 4H), δ 7.17 (s, 2H)			
LII6	δ 2.29 (s, 3H), δ 2.52 (s, 3H), δ 7.03 (s, 1H, b), δ 13.64 (s, 1H, b), δ 7.32 (m, 4H), δ 7.16 (s, 2H)			
LII7	δ 2.31 (s, 3H), δ 2.46 (s, 3H), δ 6.81 (s, 1H, b), δ 12.67 (s, 1H, b), δ 7.40 (m, 4H), δ 7.17 (s, 2H)			
LII8	δ 2.5 (s, 3H), δ 2.61 (s, 3H), δ 7.03 (s, 1H, b), δ13.99 (s, 1H, b), δ 7.49 (m, 4H), δ 7.47 (s, 1H)			
LIV	δ 6.93 (s, 1H, b), δ 8.32 (s, 1H), δ 10.91 (s, 1H, b), δ 7.24 (m, 4H), δ 7.26 (m, 4H)			

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Dr. B.S. Dhengle, Principal, and Dr. S.V. Kuberkar, Head, Dept. of Chemistry, Yeshwant College, Nanded for providing all research facilities. We are also thankful to the Director, R.S.I.C., I.I.T., Mumbai and the Director, I.I.C.T. Hyderabad for providing IR, ¹H NMR and MS spectra.

REFERENCES

- l. M.F. Gielen, Metal-Based Anti-Tumour Drugs, Freunal Publishing House, Ltd., London (1988 and 1992).
- 2. A.K. Saxena and F. Huber, Coord. Chem. Rev., 95, 109 (1989).
- 3. T.A.K. Al-Allaf, R.I.H. Al-Bayati, L.J. Rashan and R.F. Khuzaie, Appl. Organomet. Chem., **10,** 47 (1996).
- 4. R.C. Sharma, R.K. Parihar and G. Mohan, J. Biol. Trace Elements Res., 23, 145 (1990).
- 5. R.C. Sharma and V.K. Varshney, J. Inorg. Biochem., 41, 299 (1999).
- 6. N.R. Sengupta, Indian J. Appl. Chem., 29, 33 (1966).
- 7. P.R. Panditrao, S.D. Deval, S.M Gupta, S.D. Samant and L.D. Deodhar, Indian J. Chem., 20B, 929 (1981).

500 Kabeer A. et al. Asian J. Chem.

- 8. J.H. Ledrut, Chem. Abstr., 70, 3927 (1969).
- 9. Chimanlal Deliwala, J. Med. Chem., 49, 450 (1971).
- 10. Jayasukhalal R. Merchant and D.S. Chothia, J. Med. Chem., 40, 194 (1970).
- 11. M.S. Shingare and D.B. Ingle, J. Indian Chem. Soc., 53, 1036 (1976).
- 12. Kanchan, Sengupta and Hijeria, Chem. Abstr., 99, 158177 (1983).
- 13. K.K. Narang and J.K. Gupta, Curr. Sci. (India), 45, 536 (1976).
- 14. K. Lal, Indian J. Chem., 20A, 853 (1981).
- 15. K. Srinivasan, P. Michand and J.K. Kochi, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 108, 2309 (1986).
- P.K. Bhattacharya, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci., 102, 247 (1990).
- A.K.D. Mazumdar, N.K. Saha, K. Kumar and K.D. Banerji, J. Indian Chem. Soc., 56, 999 (1979)
- K. Sahu, R.K. Behera, R.C. Patnaik, A. Nayak and G.B. Behera, *Indian J. Chem.*, 18B, 557 (1979).
- 19. B. Dash, M. Patra and S. Praharaj, *Indian J. Chem.*, **19B**, 894 (1980).
- 20. A.H Blatt, Org. Reactions, 1, 342 (1942).
- 21. Madhukar S. Chande, K.S. Jathar, K.R. Pannikar, B. Pannikar and Jay Anto, *Indian J. Chem.*, 34B, 654 (1995).

(Received: 28 September 2000; Accepted: 27 December 2000) AJC-2210