NOTE

## Isolation and Characterisation of a Sesquiterpene from *Lactuca indica*

## MING SONG FAN, GUAN YE and CHENG GANG HUANG\*

Shanghai Institute of Materia Medica, Shanghai Institutes for Biological Sciences Chinese Academy of Science, Shanghai 201203, China E-mail: msfan007@163. com

A new sesquiterpene lactone glycoside, named Lactuside D, was isolated from *Lactuca indica*. Spectral analysis studies suggested the compound as 3(4),11(13)-dien-eudesma- $5\alpha,6\beta,7\alpha$ H-12,6-olide-15-O- $\beta$ -D-glucopyranoside-1- $\beta$ -p-hydroxy- phenyl-acetate.

Key Words: Lactuca indica, Lactuside D, Sesquiterpene lactone.

Lactuca indica belongs to compositae family, used with the whole plant or roots. It is reported that it possesses anticancer, antioxidation, antidiabetic and hypoglycemic activities  $^{1-4}$ . In present study, a new sesquiterpene lactone glycoside named 3(4),11(13)-dien-eudesma-5 $\alpha$ ,6 $\beta$ ,7 $\alpha$ -H-12,6-olide-15-O- $\beta$ -D-glucopyranoside-1- $\beta$ -p-hydroxyphenyl-acetate (1) was obtained from the whole plant. In this communication, the isolation and structure elucidation of 1 has been described.

Fig. 1. Structure of compound 1 and key correlations in HMBC

An ethanol extract (70%) of *Lactuca indica* (5.0 kg) was extracted with petroleum ether, ethyl acetate *n*-butanol in turn. The ethyl acetate part (40 g) was separated by silica gel using CHCl<sub>3</sub>-MeOH as eluting solvent to give six fractions.

TABLE-I  $^1H$  NMR,  $^{13}C$  NMR, DEPT DATA AND HMBC CORRELATIONS OF COMPOUND 1 ( $\delta,$  ppm, TMS,  $C_5D_5N)$ 

No. <sup>1</sup> H NMR	<sup>13</sup> C NMR (DEPT)	НМВС
1. 5.25 (1H, m)	77.3 d	H-14
2. 2.63 (1H, m)	29.8 t	H-1
2.20 (1H, m)		
3. 6.0 (1H, brs)	123.6 d	H-15
4.	134.2 s	H-15
5. $2.55 (1H, d, J = 9.9)$	49.3 d	H-5, H-9, H-14
6. 4.28 (1H, m)	80.6 d	
7. 2.40 (1H, m)	50.8 d	H-8, H <sub>6</sub> 13
8. 1.80 (1H, m) 1.40 (1H, m)	21.1 t	* <b>H-9</b> ************************************
9. 1.80 (1H, m) 1.20 (1H, m)	34.4 t	H-8, H-14
10.	40.1 s	H-1, H-14
11.	140.1 s	H-13
12.	170.5 s	H-13
13. 6.18 (1H, d, J = 3.1) 5.35 (1H, d, J = 3.0)	116.2 t	
14. 1.08 (3H, brs)	12.6 q	H-1, H-9
15. 4.80 (1H, d, J = 12.8) 4.65 (1H, d, J = 12.6)	71.6 t	H-1'
Sugar moiety (GLC)		
1' 5.25 (1H, m)	104.4 d	H-2', H-15
2' $4.10(1H, t, J = 8.1)$	75.5 d	H-3'
3′ 4.28 (1H, m)	78.7 d	H-2', H-4'
4' 4.32 (1H, m)	71.7 d	H-3', H-6'
5′ 3.95 (1H, m)	78.4 d	H-6′
6' 4.60 (1H, dd, J = 11.8, 2.3) 4.45 (1H, dd, J = 12.0, 4.8)	62.7 t	
Ester moiety		
α	171.8 s	H-1, H-β
β 3.80 (2H, brs)	41.11	H-2", H-6", H-9
1"	125.5 s	H-β, H-2", H-6"
2'' 7.5 (1H, d, $J = 8.4$ )	131.2 d	H-β, H-3", H-6"
3'' 7.3 (1H, d, J = 8.4)	116.5 d	H-2", H-5"
4"	158.3 s	H-2", H-3"
		H-5", H-6"
5" $7.3 (1H, d, J = 8.4)$	116.5 d	H-2", H-6"
6'' 7.5 (1H, d, J = 8.4)	131.2 d	H-β, H-2", H-5"

1542 Fan et al. Salan J. Chem.

Fr-6 (2.6 g) was separated by silica gel and Sephadex LH-20 to give pure 1 (50 mg) as white powder.

Compound 1, white powder, m.p.  $142-144^{\circ}$ C,  $[\alpha]_{D}^{20} + 20$  (c0.1, methanol), HR-FAB-MS gave the m.f.  $C_{29}H_{37}O_{11}$  (measured 561.2360, calcd. for  $C_{29}H_{37}O_{11}$  [M+H]<sup>+</sup> 561.2336), which was supported by <sup>1</sup>H NMR. <sup>13</sup>C NMR and DEPT data (Table-1). Its EI-MS has two significant ion peaks at m/z 246 and 107. The IR (KBr) suggested the presence of hydroxyl (3419 cm<sup>-1</sup>),  $\alpha$ , $\beta$ -unsaturated  $\gamma$ -lactone(1755 cm<sup>-1</sup>), carbonyl (1736 cm<sup>-1</sup>) and benzene ring (1616, 1600, 1516 cm<sup>-1</sup>).

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (C<sub>5</sub>D<sub>5</sub>N, 500 MHz) showed 4 H-signals at lower field;  $\delta$  7.5 and  $\delta$ 7.3 (each 2H, d, J = 8.4 Hz) showed it is probably a p-disubstituted symmetrical benzene ring, which can be identified by two C-signals (δ 131.2, 116.5) appearing higher than other peaks in <sup>13</sup>C NMR.  $\delta$  6.18 and  $\delta$  5.35 (each <sup>1</sup>H, d, J = 3.1 Hz) are suggested olefine methylene protons. Besides, the proton signal at  $\delta$  5.25 (1H, m) and the carbon signals at  $\delta$  104.4 (CH), 75.5 (CH), 78.7 (CH), 71.7 (CH), 78.4 (CH), 62.7 (CH<sub>2</sub>) indicate the presence of a β-D-glucopyranosyloxy in 1. <sup>13</sup>C NMR and DEPT spectra revealed 29 carbons (1 × CH<sub>3</sub>, 7 × CH<sub>2</sub>, 14 × CH,  $7 \times C$ ) (Table-1). The signals  $\delta$  170.5, 140.1, 116.2, 80.6 confirmed the structure of  $\alpha,\beta$ -unsaturated  $\gamma$ -lactone and the signals  $\delta$  171.8 (C), 158.3 (C), 131.2 (2  $\times$ CH), 125.5 (C), 116.5 (2  $\times$  CH), 41.1 (CH<sub>2</sub>) can be deduced to p-hydroxyphenylacetyl, the ester moiety of this compound. By comparison with the NMR data of Ixerisoside G5, this compound can be confirmed as a eudesmanolide-type sesquiterpene lactone glycoside. The ion peaks at m/z 107 were part of the ester moiety without carbonyl and m/z 246 was the mass of sesquiterpene skeleton without the two moiety.

In the HMBC spectrum, H-1 showed long-range correlation with  $C\alpha$ , while H-1' showed correlation with C-15; thus the sugar moiety should be located at C-15 and the ester moiety located at C-1. Furthermore, H<sub>3</sub>-14 correlated with C-1, C-5, C-9, C-10 confirmed the eudesmanolide-type sesquiterpene structure.

All naturally occurring eudesmanolide lactones have  $\alpha$ -oriented H-7 on the basis of biosynthetic considerations<sup>6</sup>. It is suggested there is no NOESY correlation between H-5 and H-6, H-6 and H-7, H-14 and H-1; so H-1 and H-5 are  $\alpha$ -oriented, while H-6 and C-14 are  $\beta$ -oriented. Detailed data of <sup>1</sup>H NMR, <sup>13</sup>C NMR, DEPT data and HMBC correlations of 1 are listed in Table-1.

From the above mentioned evidence, the chemical structure of 1 could be determined as 3(4),11(13)-dien-eudesma- $5\alpha,6\beta,7\alpha$ H-12,6-olide-15-O- $\beta$ -D-glu-copyranoside-1- $\beta$ -p-hydroxyphenyl-acetate, named Lactuside  $D^4$ .

## REFERENCES

- 1. K. Wannee R., T. Anong and K. Piengchai, Mutation Res., 402, 247 (1998).
- 2. Sh. Y. Wang, H.N. Chang and K.T. Lin, J. Agri. Food Chem., 51, 1506 (2003).
- 3. M.J. Kim, E. Lee, and B. Ch. Cha, Saengyak Hakhoechi, 28, 21 (1997).
- 4. C.C. Lin, Sh. J. Sheng, and J.T. Hsu, J. Nat. Prod., 66, 625 (2003).
- 5. T. Warashina, M. Ishino, and T. Miyase, Phytochemistry, 29, 3217 (1989).
- 6. H. Asada, T. Miyase, and S. Fukushima, Chem. Pharm. Bull., 32, 3036 (1984).