Asian Journal of Chemistry

Synthesis and Characterization of 2,3-Dioximino-1,2,3,4tetrahydroquinoxaline Complexes with Co(II), Ni(II), Cu(II), Zn(II), Cd(II), Hg(II) and UO₂(VI)

ALI KAKANEJADIFARD*, HOSSEIN TOOSI-JAMALI and MOTALEB GHASEMIAN Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science Lorestan University, Khorramabad, Iran Tel/Fax: (98)(661)2200185; E-mail: alikakanejadifard@yahoo.com

> A *vic*-dioxime, 2,3-dioximino-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoxaline, was prepared as a mixture of dichloroglyoxime and 1,2-phenylendiamine (H₂L). The mononuclear metal complexes have been synthesized with Co(II), Ni(II), Cu(II), Zn(II), Cd(II) and Hg(II) salts. H₂L forms mononuclear complexes [(HL)₂M] with a metal ligand ratio of 1:2 with M= Co(II), Ni(II) and Cu(II). Zn(II), Cd(II) and Hg(II) form with H₂L complexes [(H₂L)MCl₂], which have a metal ligand ratio of 1:1. The results indicated that the metal ions are coordinated through the two N atoms of the ligand, as in most of the *vic*dioximes. H₂L forms the dinuclear complex [(H₂L)(OH)₂M₂] and a metal ligand ratio of 1:1 with M = UO₂(VI). The composition and the structural formula of the ligand and of the resulted complexes were confirmed by elemental analysis, IR, MS and NMR spectroscopy methods.

> Key Words: *vic*-Dioxime, 2,3-Dioximino-1,2,3,4-tetra-hydroquinoxaline, Transition metal complex, N,N-Chelation.

INTRODUCTION

In previous investigations, the reaction of amines with dichloroglyoxime or cyanogen di-N-oxide resulted in various symmetrically substituted diaminoglyoxime derivatives, some of them were quite suitable to act as donor species towards transition metal ions¹⁻⁶. Interest in the metal coordination environment has prompted the study of oxime ligands due to their variable geometries⁷⁻¹¹ and the tenability of their substituents¹²⁻¹⁴. Some oximes are widely used for various purposes in organic, inorganic, bioinorganic, pigment, analytical, dyes and medical chemistry¹⁵⁻¹⁷. *vic*-Dioximes, containing mildly acidic hydroxyl groups and slightly basic nitrogen atoms are amphoteric and their transition metal complexes have been widely investigated as analytical reagents¹⁸ and models for biological systems such as vitamin B₁₂¹⁹. In this work synthesis of 2,3-dioximino-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoxaline and its complexes with Co(II), Ni(II), Cu(II), Zn(II), Cd(II), Hg(II) and UO₂(VI) ions are described. Vol. 20, No. 6 (2008) 2,3-Dioximino-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoxaline Metal Complexes 4633

EXPERIMENTAL

All chemical reagents were obtained from Merck or Fluka and used without further purification. Melting points were measured on an Electrothermal 9200 apparatus and are uncorrected. Elemental analyses for C, H and N were performed using a Heraeus CHN-O rapid analyzer. Mass spectra were recorded on a Shimadzu QP 5050 spectrometer. ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded with a Bruker DRX-500 AVANCE in DMSO- d_6 as solvent. The IR spectra were recorded on a Shimadzu 8400 S spectrometer.

Synthesis of 2,3-dioximino-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoxaline (H₂L): To a stirring solution of dichloroglyoxime (1.57 g, 10 mmol) in 20 mL MeCN a solution of 1,2-phenylendiamine (1.08 g, 10 mmol), 0.2 g Na₂CO₃ in 30 mL MeCN was added at 5 °C. After 2 h stirring at room temperature, the mixture was filtered and precipitate was washed with cold MeCN. Recrystallization from EtOH (70 % aq). Yield: 1.78 g (93 %), m.p. 239 °C. IR (KBr, v_{max} , cm⁻¹): 3400 (NH), 3500-2500 (OH), 1640 (C=N), 980 (N-O). ¹H NMR, ppm: 10.13 (bs, 2H, OH), 9.46 (bs, 2H, NH), 6.71-7.18 (dd, 4H). ¹³C NMR, ppm: 138.45, 125.90, 121.03 and 114.57. EI-MS: m/z 192 (m⁺), 193 (m+1). Elemental analysis for C₈H₈N₄O₂ calculated: C, 50.00; H, 4.16; N, 29.16; found: C, 49.97; H, 4.17; N, 29.13.

Preparation of Co(II), Ni(II), Cu(II) complexes: A solution of MCl₂· xH_2O (5 mmol) in MeOH (25 mL) was added dropwise to a solution of H₂L (1.92 g, 10 mmol) in MeOH (100 mL) at 40 °C during 0.5 h. The colour of the solution immediately changed and the pH dropped to about 3. The pH was raised to 5.0-5.5 by addition of KOH (0.56 g, 10 mmol) in MeOH (20 mL). The mixture was refluxed for 3 h and the precipitate was filtered and washed with cold H₂O, Et₂O, EtOH and finally dried in air.

[Ni(HL)₂**] complex:** An orange complex was prepared from NiCl₂·6H₂O (1.19 g, 5 mmol) and H₂L (1.92 g, 10 mmol). This compound is dissolved in DMSO and DMF. Yield: 1.17 g (48 %), mp > 260 °C. IR (KBr, v_{max} , cm⁻¹): 3132-3110 (OH), 3209 (NH), 3055-3010 (CH), 1782 (O–H···O), 1650 (C=N), 1581 (C=C), 933 (N-O). ¹H NMR ppm: 17.30 (bs, 2H, O–H···O, exchangeable with D₂O), 10.88 (s, 4H, NH, exchangeable with D₂O), 7.15-7.16 (t, 4H, CH, *J* = 3.60 Hz), 6.88-6.89 (t, 4H, CH, *J* = 3.60 Hz). ¹³C NMR ppm: 134.75, 124.96, 121.87 and 115.18. MS: m/z 441 (m⁺), 191 (ligand). Elemental analysis (%) calculated for C₁₆H₁₄N₈O₄Ni: C, 43.57; H, 3.18; N, 25.41. Found: C, 43.54; H, 3.17; N, 25.37.

[Co(HL)₂] complex: The brown complex was prepared from CoCl₂·6H₂O (1.19 g, 5 mmol) and H₂L (1.92 g, 10 mmol). This compound is also poorly soluble in DMSO and DMF. Yield: 1.55 g (65 %), m.p. 270 °C. IR (KBr, ν_{max} , cm⁻¹): 3236-3157 (OH), 3346 (NH), 3096-3062 (CH), 1705 (O–H···O), 1627 (C=N), 1492 (C=C), 937 (N-O). MS: m/z 441 (m-1), 191(ligand).

Elemental analysis (%) calculated for C₁₆H₁₄N₈O₄Co: C, 43.54; H, 3.18; N, 25.40. Found: C, 43.51; H, 3.16; N, 25.39.

[Cu(HL)₂] Complex: This dark complex was prepared starting from CuCl₂·2H₂O (0.86 g, 5 mmol) and H₂L (1.92 g, 10 mmol). The compound is also poorly soluble in DMSO and DMF. Yield: 1.08 (45 %), m.p. 270 °C. IR (KBr, ν_{max} , cm⁻¹): 3178-3134 (OH), 3375 (NH), 3076-3014 (CH), 1701 (O–H···O), 1652 (C=N), 1494 (C=C), 925 (N-O). MS: m/z 445 (m⁺). Elemental analysis (%) calculated for C₁₆H₁₄N₈O₄Cu: C, 43.09; H, 3.14; N, 25.14. Found: C, 43.07; H, 3.12; N, 25.11.

Preparation of Zn(II), Cd(II), Hg(II) complexes: A solution of MCl₂· xH_2O (5 mmol in H₂O (20 mL) was added dropwise to a solution of H₂L (0.96 g, 5 mmol) in MeOH (70 mL) at the room temperature during 0.5 h. The pH dropped to about 2.5 and raise to 6 by addition of KOH (0.056 g, 1 mmol) in 10 mL MeOH. The mixture was refluxed for 3 h. The precipitate was filtered and washed with H₂O, Et₂O and then dried. The precipitate was recrystallized in MeOH.

[Zn(H₂L)Cl₂] Complex: This brown complex was prepared from ZnCl₂ (0.68 g, 5 mmol) and H₂L (0.96 g, 5 mmol). This compound is insoluble in DMSO, DMF, MeOH and EtOH. Yield: 1.03 g (63 %) m.p. 223 °C. IR (KBr, v_{max} , cm⁻¹): 3224-3126 (O-H), 3352 (N-H), 3095-3008 (C-H), 1635 (C=N), 1492 (C=C), 952 (N-O). ¹H NMR ppm: 10.22 (s, 2H, OH, exchangeable with D₂O), 9.51 (s, 2H, NH, exchangeable with D₂O), 7.15 (2H, CH), 6.72 (2H, CH). ¹³C NMR ppm: 137.83, 125.29, 120.48 and 114.00. MS: m/z 328 (m⁺). Elemental analysis (%) calculated for C₈H₈N₄O₂ZnCl₂: C, 29.23; H, 2.44; N, 17.05. Found: C, 29.21; H, 2.41; N, 17.03.

[Cd(H₂L)Cl₂] Complex: This brown complex was also prepared by the above-described procedure, starting from CdCl₂·H₂O (1.01 g, 5 mmol) and H₂L (0.96 g, 5 mmol). This compound is insoluble in DMSO, DMF, MeOH and EtOH. Yield: 1.09 g (57 %) m.p. > 250 °C. IR (KBr, v_{max} , cm⁻¹): 3350-3215 (O-H), 3413 (N-H), 3128-3110 (C-H), 1643 (C=N), 1488 (C=C), 929 (N-O). ¹H NMR ppm: 10.19 (s, 2H, OH, exchangeable with D₂O), 9.66 (s, 2H, NH, exchangeable with D₂O), 7.16-7.18 (ABq, 2H, *J* = 3.55 Hz), 6.73-6.75 (ABq, 2H, *J* = 3.55 Hz). ¹³C NMR ppm: 137.75, 125.15, 120.66 and 114.14. MS: m/z 375 (m⁺). Elemental analysis (%) calculated for C₈H₈N₄O₂CdCl₂: C, 25.57; H, 2.13; N, 14.91. Found: C, 25.56; H, 2.12; N, 14.88.

[Hg(H₂L)Cl₂] Complex: This brown complex was prepared from HgCl₂· H₂O (1.36 g, 5 mmol) and H₂L (0.96 g, 5 mmol). This compound is insoluble in DMSO, DMF, MeOH and EtOH. Yield: 1.57 g (68 %) m.p. 225 °C. IR (KBr, ν_{max} , cm⁻¹): 3310-3201 (O-H), 3342 (N-H), 3137-3116 (C-H), 1647 (C=N), 1496 (C=C), 923 (N-O). ¹H NMR ppm: 10.82 (s, 2H, OH, exchangeable with D₂O), 10.18 (s, 2H, NH, exchangeable with D₂O), 7.23-7.25 (ABq, 2H, J = 3.43 Hz), 6.82-6.84 (ABq, 2H, J = 3.43 Hz). ¹³C NMR ppm: 137.78, 124.73, 121.50 and 114.69. MS: m/z 463 (m⁺). Elemental analysis (%) calculated for C₈H₈N₄O₂HgCl₂: C, 20.71; H, 1.72; N, 12.08. Found: C, 20.70; H, 1.69; N, 12.05.

Preparation of UO₂(VI) complex, [(**HL**)₂(**UO**₂(**VI**))₂(μ -**OH**)₂: A solution of UO₂(NO₃)₂·x6H₂O (1.51 g, 3 mmol) in MeOH (25 mL) was added dropwise to a solution of H₂L (058 g, 3 mmol) in MeOH (100 mL) at 40 °C during 0.5 h. The colour of the solution immediately changed and the pH dropped to about 1.2. The pH was raised to 3.2 by addition of KOH (0.1 molar). The mixture was refluxed for 3 h and the red precipitate was filtered and washed several times with cold H₂O, Et₂O and hot MeOH. This compound is dissolved in DMSO and DMF. Yield: 1.67 g (58 %), m.p. 250 °C. IR (KBr, ν_{max} , cm⁻¹): 3319 (OH), 3438 (NH), 3112-3070 (CH), 1618 (C=N), 1593 (C=C), 1263 (C-N) 1018 (N-O) and 898 (O=U=O). ¹H NMR ppm: 10.21 (s, 2H, =N-OH, exchangeable with D₂O), 9.85 (s, 1H, NH, exchangeable with D₂O), 9.80 (s, 1H, NH, exchangeable with D₂O), 9.47 (s, 2H, NH, exchangeable with D₂O), 6.69-7.49 (m, 8H, CH), 6.91 (s, 1H, μ -OH, exchangeable with D₂O), 6.86 (s, 1H, μ -OH, exchangeable with D₂O). ¹³C NMR ppm: 151.13, 149.34, 139.15, 122.39, 121.29, 115.58, 114.84.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Compound 2,3-dioximino-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoxaline (H₂L) was prepared from the reaction of 1,2-phenylendiamine with dichloroglyoxime in acetonitrile. It should be noted, compound H₂L has already been synthesized by reaction of 1,2-phenylendiamine and cyanogens-di-N-oxide in low yield¹⁶. IR spectrum of H₂L showed new absorption bands at 3500-2500 (O-H), 1640 (C=N) and 980 (N-O) cm⁻¹. The ¹H NMR spectrum of H₂L exhibited D₂O-exchangeable signals for the N-OH and NH protons at 10.13 and 9.46 ppm, respectively, while the aromatic protons appear at 6.71-7.18 ppm. From ¹³C NMR spectrum of H₂L, the following characteristics were exhibited. The chemical shifts of oxime groups (C=N-OH) appeared at 138.45 and three signals at 125.90, 121.03 and 114.57 were found for benzene carbons. The mass spectrum of H₂L revealed a molecular ion peak at m/z = 192. All the above-mentioned spectral data confirm the proposed chemical structure for H₂L, as is indicated in **Scheme-I**.



Scheme-I: Preparation and structural formula of the H₂L

4636 Kakanejadifard et al.

Asian J. Chem.

On the other hand, the reaction of H_2L with some transition and heavy metal ions was studied. So, addition of chloride salt of each of Ni(II), Co(II) and Cu(II) ions to H_2L solutions resulted in pure 1:2 (metal:ligand) mole ratio complexes of these metal ions with H_2L , while in the case of Zn(II), Cd(II) and Hg(II) 1:1 (metal:ligand) mole ratio complexes were obtained. A distinct decrease in the pH of each reaction solution was observed during the complex formation of Ni(II), Co(II) and Cu(II) complexes with H_2L , which can be attributed to the deprotonation of the ligand due to N, N'-chelating (Fig. 1).



Fig. 1. Suggested structure of the square-planar M(HL)₂ complexes.

The composition and structural formula of these complexes were confirmed by elemental analysis, IR, MS and NMR studies. The IR spectra of the complexes are close to those of H₂L, except for the missing of the OH stretching frequencies for Ni(II), Co(II) and Cu(II) complexes. As in literature, the resulted metal complexes are in a square-planar structure through the oximic nitrogens of the ligand $H_2L^{20,21}$. The disappearance of N-OH stretching band at about 3100 cm⁻¹ in the IR spectrum of H₂L together with the existence of a band for H-bridges (O-H···O) at 1782-1701 cm⁻¹ and the shift of C=N and N-O stretching frequencies in the IR spectra of the complexes support MN_4 -type coordination in these complexes (1, 2) and 3). The hydrogen-bridge structure of 1 was confirmed by ¹H NMR spectrum through showing a new signal at lower field (17.30 ppm). The chemical shifts for NH and aromatic protons were observed at 10.88 and 6.88-7.16, respectively. In ¹³C NMR spectrum of **1**, the chemical shifts of oxime groups (C=N-OH) appeared at 134.75 and three signals at 124.96, 121.87 and 115.18 for benzene carbons. The chemical shifts of NH and intramolecular hydrogen binding (O-H···O) protons were disappeared after addition of D_2O to the test solutions. Furthermore, for Zn(II), Cd(II) and Hg(II) complexes with H₂L, IR spectra showed that the O-H stretching vibration of the oxime groups was still present in the molecule (3300-3200 cm⁻¹). But, for the case of Ni(II), Co(II) and Cu(II) complexes with H₂L, the corresponding bands were not observed in their IR spectra, while the N-H and N-O stretching vibrations were appeared in 3413-3342 and 952-923 cm⁻¹ region, respectively. In addition, ¹H NMR spectrum of 4 exhibited

two D₂O exchangeable signals for N-OH and NH protons at 10.22 and 9.51 ppm, respectively. The signal resulting from aromatic rings were observed as a two AB quartet at around 6.75 and 7.18 ppm. In ¹³C NMR of **4**, carbon resonance of dioxime groups were observed at 137.83 ppm, as expected for the dioxime and three signals at 125.15, 120.66 and 114.14.18 ppm for benzene carbons. The ¹H and ¹³C NMR data for **5** and **6** complexes are to the same as those found for **4**. The structures of the resulted complexes are also confirmed by mass spectrometry, which revealed molecular ion peaks (M⁺) for [Zn(H₂L)Cl₂], [Cd(H₂L)Cl₂] and [Hg(H₂L)Cl₂] complexes at m/z 328, 375 and 463, respectively. This type of coordination is more usual in complexes, where the ligand forms a five memberd chelate ring, in a tetrahedral configuration, by coordinating to metal through the N, N-atoms of the oximic part of the ligand^{22,23}, as shown in Fig. 2.



Fig. 2. Suggested structure of tetrahedral M(H₂L)Cl₂ complexes.

The uranyl complex of H_2L exhibits a different structure. The uranyl complexes of *vic*-dioximes are binuclear complexes with μ -hydroxo bridges, as previously reported^{2,16}. The proposed structure of resulted complex, on the basis of spectral data and comparison of this data with values reported for similar compounds is shown in Fig. 3.



Fig. 3. Suggested structure of binuclear uranyl(VI) complex (7)

In the IR spectrum of uranyl complex 7 (O=U=O), (N-O) and (N-OH) frequencies were observed at 898, 1018 and 3319 cm⁻¹, respectively, as strong bands which are consistent with the dimeric structure. IR spectrum showed that the O-H stretching vibration of the oxime groups is still present as a broad band in the molecule (3400 cm^{-1}) and in contrast to Ni(II), Co(II) and Cu(II) complexes, the characteristic band for stretches was not observed.

4638 Kakanejadifard et al.

Asian J. Chem.

The ¹H NMR of **7** showed a chemical shift for the deuterium exchangeable proton =N-OH at low field (δ = 10.21 ppm) which can be attributed to the magnetic anisotropy of the uranyl ion, as discussed in previous work^{2,16-18}. There are also the deuterium exchangeable protons at 6.86 and 6.91 ppm which can be assigned to μ -hydroxo groups coordinated to uranyl ions which are not planar. The deuterium exchangeable protons of NH of **7** were appeared at 9.85, 9.80, 9.47 ppm and also aromatic rings proton (6.69-7.49). In the ¹³C NMR of uranyl complex of **7**, carbon resonance of dioxime groups were observed at 151.13 and 149.34 ppm as expected for the dioximes. The aromatic carbons appeared at 139.15-114.84 ppm. In addition, despite the fact that no molecular ion peaks greater than the estimated molecular of nonnumeric complex provided additional evidence for the 2:2 mole ratio of UO₂/H₂L complex.

REFERENCES

- 1. J.J. Christensen, J.J. Eatoiugh. and R.M. Izatt, Chem. Rev., 74, 351 (1974).
- 2. J.R. Dilworth and S.J. Parrott, Chem. Soc. Rev., 27, 43 (1998).
- 3. A. Kakanejadifard and E. Niknam, Polish. J. Chem., 80, 1645 (2006).
- 4. M.D. Coburn, J. Heterocycl. Chem., 5, 83 (1968).
- 5. A. Kakanejadifard, E. Niknam and A. Zabardasti, J. Coord. Chem., 60, 677 (2006).
- A. Kakanejadifard, M. Farnia and G. Najafi, *Iran. J. Chem & Chem. Eng.*, 23, 118 (2004).
- 7. A. Chakravorty, Coord. Chem. Rev., 13, 1 (1974).
- 8. T. Fukuchi, Bull. Chem. Soc. (Japan), 65, 1807 (1992).
- A.R. Chakravarty, F.A. Cotton, L.R. Falvello, B.K. Ghosh and M. Tomas, *Inorg. Chem.*, 22, 1892 (1983).
- L.F Szczepura, J.G Muller, C.A. Bessel, R.F. See T.S. Janik, M.R. Churchill and K.J. Takeuchi, *Inorg. Chem.*, **31**, 859 (1992).
- 11. T. Fukuchi, E. Miki, K. Mizumachi and T. Ishimori, Chem. Lett., 1133 (1987).
- 12. J.G. Muller and K.J. Takeuchi, Inorg. Chem., 29, 2185 (1990).
- V.K. Sharma, O.P. Pandey and S.K. Sengupta, *Synth. React. Inorg. Met-Org. Chem.*, 21, 1587 (1991).
- 14. A.M. Bond and M. Khalifa, Aust. J. Chem., 41, 1389 (1988).
- 15. G.N. Schrauzer and J. Kohnle, Chem. Ber., 97, 3056 (1964).
- C. Grundmann, V. Mini, J.M. Dean and H.D. Frommeld, Ann. Chim-Justus Liebig., 191, 687 (1965).
- 17. M.E.B. Jones, D.A. Thornton and R.F. Webb, Makromol. Chem., 49, 62 (1961).
- 18. Y. Gök and H. Kantekin, Polyhedron, 16, 2413 (1997).
- 19. M.N. Hughes, Introduction to Chemistry of Biological Processes, Wiley, NY (1981).
- 20. I. Mkandaz, S. Yilmaz and A. Keskin, Polyhedron, 21, 825 (2002).
- 21. R. Chaudhari, Coord. Chem. Rev., 243, 143 (2003).
- 22. O. Gungor, E. Canpolat and M. Kaya, Polish. J. Chem., 77, 403 (2003).
- 23. E. Canpolat and M. Kaya, Polish. J. Chem., 77, 961 (2003).