Synthesis of N'-(Substituted benzylidene)-1-benzofuran-2-carbohydrazide and 5-(5-Substituted-1-benzofuran-2-yl)-1,3,4-oxadiazole-2-thiol as Potent Antioxidants

Chandrashekar Javali* and M.D. Karvekar†

Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, Government College of Pharmacy

Subaiah Circle, Bangalore-560 027, India

Tel: (91)9449809743; E-mail: javaligcp@yahoo.co.in

The reactions of substituted benzofuran-2-carbohydrazide (1) with various aromatic substituted aldehydes and carbon disulphide yielded corresponding N'-(substituted benzylidene)-1-benzofuran-2-carbohydrazide (2a-f) and with carbon disulphide yielded 5-(5-substituted-1-benzofuran-2-yl)-1,3,4-oxadiazole-2-thiols (3a-c), respectively. These compounds were characterized by IR, ¹H NMR and mass spectra. All the compounds were screened for their *in vitro* antioxidant activity using DPPH method and antimicrobial activity by cup-plate diffusion method. The compounds 2c, 3a and 3b shown potent radical scavenging activity and 2a, 2d, 3b and 3c have shown moderate antimicrobial activity.

Key Words: Synthesis, Substituted benzofuran benzylidine, Benzofuran oxadiazole thiol, Diphenyl picrylhydryzyl, Antimicrobial and Antioxidant activity.

INTRODUCTION

In the last few years natural and synthetic benzofuran derivatives have been studied extensively for their chemical and potential biological activities that include antibacterial¹, antiinflammatory¹ and antiasthamatic². It has been found that DPPH will oxidize ascorbic acid and polyhydroxy aromatic amines (*p*-phenylenediamine, *p*-amino-phenols). The sulphydryl groups of proteins are oxidized³. In the present work an attempt has been made to synthesize analogues of substituted benzylidene and 1,3,4-oxadiazole-2-thiol containing substituted benzofuran moiety expecting their enhanced antioxidant and antimicrobial activity.

Substituted salicylaldehyde and ethyl chloroacetate in presence of anhydrous potassium carbonate in DMF when treated resulted in the simultaneous condensation and cyclization accompanied with partial

 $^{^\}dagger\!\text{Department}$ of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, Krupanidhi College of Pharmacy, Bangalore-560 034, India.

4532 Javali et al. Asian J. Chem.

hydrolysis and decarboxylation giving benzofuran-2-carboxylate which on treatment with hydrazine hydrate gave benzofuran-2-carbohydrazide^{4,5} (**1a-c**). Condensation of (**1a-c**) with substituted aromatic aldehyde yielded corresponding benzylidenes⁶⁻⁸ (**2a-f**). The 5-(substituted-1-benzofuran-2-yl)-1,3,4-oxadiazole-2-thiol⁹ (**3a-c**) were also obtained by reaction of (**1a-c**) with carbon disulphide in alcoholic potassium hydroxide.

EXPERIMENTAL

All melting points were determined by open capillary tube method using Tempo melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. IR spectra were recorded on a Shimadzu 8400 FTIR spectrophotometer. ¹H NMR and mass were got done from Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore. The names of the compound were obtained using ACDFREE10 PC software for nomenclature in organic chemistry.

Synthesis of N'-(4-hydroxy-3-methoxybenzylidene)-1-benzofuran-2-carbohydrazide (2a): A mixture of 1a (0.01 mol) and veratraldehyde (0.01 mol) in methanol containing a drop of glacial acetic acid was refluxed for 4 h and cooled. The solid product obtained was recrystallized from methanol yielded (2a). Compounds (2b-f) were prepared similarly. Purity of the compound was checked by silica gel plates using n-hexane: ethyl acetate (1:4) as mobile phase. 2b-2d were prepared using 1a similarly 2a and 2a were prepared using 2a similarly 2a and 2a similarly 2a similarly 2a and 2a similarly 2a and 2a similarly 2a similarly 2a and 2a similarly 2a similarly 2a and 2a similarly 2a similarly 2a similarly 2a similarly 2a and 2a similarly 2a si

Synthesis of 5-(5-bromo-1-benzofuran-2-yl)-1,3,4-oxadiazole-2-thiol (3c): To a solution containing 80 mL of ethanol and potassium hydroxide 0.02 mol (1.12 g) (dissolved in 4 mL of water) was added to 5-bromo-1-benzofuran-2-carbohydrazide 0.02 mol **1c**. After solution occurred, slightly more than one equivalent of carbon disulfide 2.28 g (2 mL) was added and the mixture was refluxed for 2-3 h or until most of the hydrogen sulfide had been evolved. Occasionally a solid appeared upon the addition of carbon disulfide, but this usually dissolved on heating. After concentration of the solution to a small volume, the residue was dissolved in water. The precipitate was obtained by adding the solution to ice containing hydrochloric acid. The solid was filtered and dried, recrystallized from alcohol or purified by redissolving in alkali and reprecipitating with acid to yield **3c** (**Scheme-I**). Purity of the compound was checked by silica gel plates using *n*-hexane:ethyl acetate (1:5) as mobile phase. Physical characterization and spectral data are given in Table-1.

Antioxidant activity: The synthesized compounds (**2a-f** and **3a-c**) were tested for their *in vitro* antioxidant activity by 1,1-diphenyl-2-picryl hydrazyl (DPPH) method. The free radical scavenging potentialities of the compounds were measured in terms of hydrogen donating or radical scavenging ability using 1 mL methanolic solution of DPPH (0.1 mM) was added to 3 mL of sample solution in methanol at different concentrations (10-40

TABLE-1 PHYSICAL AND SPECTRAL CHARACTERIZATION DATA

| FITTSICAL AND SECTIVAL CHARACTERIZATION DATA | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| (Compd.)/m.f. | Yield (%)/ (m.p. (°C) | $\begin{matrix} R_{_f} \\ value \end{matrix}$ | Spectra data | | | | |
| (2a) C ₁₈ H ₁₆ N ₂ O ₄ | 80 (200) | 0.44 | IR (KBr, v_{max} , cm ⁻¹): 3255 (NH), 1670 (C=O), 1591 (C=N). ¹ H NMR DMSO (d_{e}) δ : 3.8 (d , 6H, (OCH ₃) $_{2}$), 7-7.8 (m 8H Ar), 8.4 (s, 1H N=CH), 12. (s, 1H, NH). Mass m/z 324. (M ⁻¹) | | | | |
| $(2b) \\ C_{16}H_{12}N_2O_3$ | 78 (195) | 0.67 | IR (KBr, v_{max} , cm ⁻¹): 3442 (OH), 1633 (C=O), 1587 (C=N). ¹ H NMR DMSO (d_e) δ : 6.8-8.39 (m 9H Ar), 8.3 (s, 1H N=CH), 9.52 (s, 1H OH), 11.9 (s, 1H, NH). | | | | |
| (2c) C ₁₇ H ₁₄ N ₂ O ₄ | 75 (238) | 0.51 | IR (KBr, ν _{max} , cm ⁻¹): 3462 (OH), 3232 (NH), 1649 (C=O), 1595 (C=N). ¹ H NMR DMSO (d ₆). δ: 3.8 (s, 3H, OCH ₃), 6.8-8.39 (m 8H Ar), 8.3 (s, 1H N=CH), 9.52 (s, 1H OH), 11.9 (s, 1H, NH). | | | | |
| $ \begin{array}{c} \textbf{(2d)} \\ C_{16}H_{12}N_2O_2 \end{array} $ | 80 (216) | 0.67 | IR (KBr, ν _{max} , cm ⁻¹): 3200 (NH), 1645 (C=O), 1583 (C=N). ¹ H NMR CDCl ₃ . δ: 7-7.9 (m 9H Ar), 8.3 (s, 1H N=CH), 9.8 (s, 1H, NH). | | | | |
| $(2e) \\ C_{18}H_{15}N_2O_4Cl$ | 72 (193) | 0.47 | IR (KBr, v_{max} , cm ⁻¹): 3261 (NH), 1664 (C=O), 1566 (C=N), 804 (Ar-Cl). ¹ H NMR DMSO (d ₆) δ : 4 (d, 6H, (OCH ₃) ₂), 6.8-7.9 (m 7H Ar), 8.5 (s, 1H N=CH), 12.1 (s, 1H, NH). | | | | |
| (2f) C ₁₈ H ₁₅ N ₂ O ₄ Br | 70 (175) | 0.48 | IR (KBr, $ν_{max}$, cm ⁻¹): 3224 (NH), 1658 (C=O), 1573 (C=N), 802 (Ar-Br). ¹ H NMR CDCl ₃ δ: 4 (d, 6H, (OCH ₃) ₂), 6.8-7.9 (m 7H Ar), 8.5 (s, 1H N=CH), 12.1 (s, 1H, NH). MS m/z 403.7 (M ⁺¹) | | | | |
| (3a) $C_{10}H_6N_2O_2S$ | 75 (235) | 0.54 | IR (KBr, v_{max} , cm ⁻¹): 1645 (C=N), 3116-2950 (ArH), ¹ H NMR DMSO (d ₆) δ : 6.8-7.9 (m 4H Ar), 15. (s 1H SH). MS m/z 218 (M ⁺) | | | | |
| (3b) C ₁₀ H ₅ N ₂ O ₂ SCl | 70 (218) | 0.4 | IR (KBr, ν _{max} , cm ⁻¹): 1649 (C=N), 3031-2925 (ArH), 804 (Ar-Cl). ¹ H NMR CDCl ₃ δ: 6.8-7.9 (m 4H Ar). MS m/z 252 (M ⁺²) | | | | |
| (3c) C ₁₀ H ₅ N ₂ O ₂ SBr | 70 (220) | 0.5 | IR (KBr, v_{max} , cm ⁻¹): 1627 (C=N), 3082-2927 (ArH), 808 (Ar-Br). ¹ H NMR DMSO (d_6) δ : 7.4-7.7 (m 4H Ar), 15.0 (s 1H). MS m/z 297 (M ⁺¹). | | | | |

μg/mL). The test compounds react with DPPH and converts it to 1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazine. The degree of decolourization indicates the scavenging potentialities of the antioxidant drug. The change in the absorbance produced at 517 nm has been used as a measure of antioxidant activity¹⁰. Among the compounds tested **2c**, **3a** and **3b** were found to be potent radical scavenging activity than ascorbic acid (Table-2). Scavenging activity was expressed as:

4534 Javali et al. Asian J. Chem.

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{1a(R=H)} \\ \text{1b(R=Cl)} \\ \text{1c(R=Br)} \\ \text{MeOH} \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{CS}_2 \\ \text{KOH} \\ \end{array}$$

(2a) $R=R^3 = H$, $R^1R^2 = OCH_3$ (2b) $RR^1R^2 = H$, $R^3 = OH$ (2c) $RR^3 = H$, $R^1 = OH$, $R^2 = OCH_3$ (2d) $RR^1R^2R^3 = H$ (2e) R=CI, $R^1R^2 = OCH_3$, $R^3 = H$ (2f) R=Br, $R^1R^2 = OCH_3$, $R^3 = H$ (3a) R=H (3b) R=CI (3c) R=Br

Scheme-I

TABLE-2

| Compd. | Percentage of Radical Scavenging Activity (%) | | | | | |
|---------------|---|----------|----------|----------|--|--|
| | 10 μg/mL | 20 μg/mL | 30 μg/mL | 40 μg/mL | | |
| 2a | 1.1 | 0.9 | 1.6 | 1.3 | | |
| 2b | 18.2 | 16.6 | 17.8 | 18.6 | | |
| 2c | 38.5 | 51.9 | 57.3 | 65.1 | | |
| 2 d | 13.2 | 14.3 | 14.5 | 15.1 | | |
| 2g | 12.1 | 11.6 | 12.3 | 11.6 | | |
| 2h | 6.7 | 8.3 | 8.8 | 12.2 | | |
| 3a | 35.24 | 45.03 | 50.84 | 91.96 | | |
| 3b | 50.6 | 77.31 | 79.92 | 86.27 | | |
| 3c | 31.47 | 46.25 | 57.38 | 78 | | |
| Ascorbic acid | 30.6 | 52.3 | 60.8 | 70.4 | | |

DPPH scavenged (%) =
$$\frac{(A_{cont} - A_{test})}{A_{cont}} \times 100$$

Antimicrobial activity: The synthesized compounds (**2a-f** and **3a-c**) were screened for *in vitro* antimicrobial activity against *S. aureus*, *B. subtilis* and *E. coli* and *S. typhi* was assessed by using cup plate diffusion method.

The test solutions were prepared in DMSO alone was carried out, which also works as the control. Streptomycin and ampicillin $50 \,\mu\text{g/mL}$ concentrations were used as the standard drug. After 24 h of incubation at $37 \pm 1 \,^{\circ}\text{C}$, zones of inhibition was measured in mm and the activity was compared with standard at same concentrations. Among the compounds tested 2a, 3b and 3c were found to be most potent against S. aureus, B. subtilis and E. coli and S. typhi (Table-3).

TABLE-3

| Compd | Antimicrobial activity (50 μg/mL) | | | | | |
|--------------|-----------------------------------|-----------|---------|----------|--|--|
| | B.Subtilis | S. aureus | E. coli | S. typhi | | |
| 2a | 7 | 2 | 3 | 2 | | |
| 2 b | 5 | 3 | 3 | 2 | | |
| 2 c | 4 | 3 | 2 | 3 | | |
| 2 d | 9 | 3 | 2 | 2 | | |
| 2e | 5 | 2 | 3 | 2 | | |
| 2f | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | | |
| 3a | 8 | 4 | 3 | 5 | | |
| 3 b | 9 | 6 | 4 | 6 | | |
| 3c | 6 | 5 | 3 | 5 | | |
| Ampicillin | 20 | 8 | 8 | 18 | | |
| Streptomycin | 11 | 6 | 4 | 8 | | |

Zone of inhibition (mm).

REFERENCES

- 1. V.P. Vaidya and Y.S. Agasimundin, *Indian J. Chem.*, 22B, 432 (1983).
- S.R. Baker, W. Ross and W.B. Jamieson, Ger. Offen., 2,936,730, 27 Mar (1980); Chem. Abstr., 94, 15550uz (1981).
- 3. M.S. Blois, Nature, 181, 1200 (1958).
- 4. S.B. Mahajan and Y.S. Agasimundin, Curr. Sci., 45, 20, 722 (1976).
- 5. K.M. Basavaraja and Y.S. Agasimundin, Indian J. Chem., 22B, 458 (1983).
- 6. K.M. Basavaraja, V.P. Vaidya, S.S. Sangapure and Y.S. Agasimundin, *Indian J. Heterocycl. Chem.*, **2**, 35 (1992).
- 7. K.P. Jadhav and D.B. Ingle, J. Indian Chem. Soc., 55, 424 (1978).
- 8. A.R. Astik, J.M. Acharya, G.B. Joshi and K.A. Takkar, *J. Indian Chem. Soc.*, **55**, 272 (1976).
- 9. D.R. Kronenthal, C.Y. Han and M.K. Taylor, J Org. Chem., 47, 2765 (1982).
- 10. S.J. Wadher, A.R. Tapas and P.G. Yeole, Int. J. Chem. Sci., 4, 761 (2006).