NOTE

Antimicrobial Activity of *Calotropis procera* Seeds

V.H. BHASKAR* and S.S. AJAY

Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, Vinayak Missions University, Salem-636 001, India Fax:/Tel: (91)(427)3987000; E-mail: ajay_singh_20042004@yahoo.com

The present study is related to evaluate antimicrobial activities of chloroform and methanol extracts of seeds of *Calotropis procera* located in the forest area of Ghaziabad, India. Chloroforms extract of *Calotropis procera* seeds exhibited better antimicrobial activity. On the other hand, the extracts obtained *Calotropis procera* seeds tested have been evaluated for their possible *in vitro* antibacterial activities based on paper disc method.

Key Words: *Calotropis procera* seeds, Asclepiadaceae, Antimicrobial activity.

Calotropis procera is large shrubs belong to the family Asclepiadaceae. The plant grows mainly in semi-arid tropical areas. It grows best in dry sandy and alkaline soils and warm climate. It is distributed in India and Africa. It is grown well as Rubbiss heap, waste¹. The plant is indigenously known as Alarka. In Sanskrit, Alarka, in Hindi Akha, English Name milkweed. The various medicinal properties have been attributed to the plant *Calotropis procera*, in a folk medicine as antiinflammatory activity and anticancerous property. It is also used for the treatment of skin disease, enlargement of abdominal viscera, intestinal worm, cough, ulcer and as anti bacterial in traditional medicine *i.e.* (latex of *Calotropis procera*) reported for the anti bacterial activity. Phytochemically the plant is reported to contain glycoside, alkaloid, flavonoids² and reducing antiinflammatory activity³.

Plant samples of *Calotropis procera* seeds were collected from different locations in forest area of Ghaziabad, India. The taxonomic identification of plant materials was confirmed by raw material herbarium and museum, National Institute of Science communication and Information Resources. They were assigned voucher specimen/ Ref. NISCAIR/RHMD/CONSULT/2008-09/996/27/ and were deposited in Herbarium Department. The collected plant materials were dried in the shade and the seeds of plant were separated from the stem and ground in a grinder with a 2 mm in diameter mesh⁴.

Methanol and chloroform were obtained from Sigma (St. Lonis, MO). All other solvents were of analytical grade and obtained from Merek (Darmstadt, Germany).

Vol. 21, No. 7 (2009)

Preparation of extracts: The dried and powdered seeds (500 g) were extracted with 1 L of chloroform and methanol using soxhlet extractor (ISOPAD, Heideberg, Germany) for 72 h at a temperature not exceeding the boiling point of the solvent^{5,6}, respectively. The extract was filtered using Whatman filter paper No. 1 and then concentrated *in vacuo* at 40 °C using a rotary evaporator (BUCHI Labortechuic AG., Flawil, Switzerland). The residues obtained were stored in a freezer (Nauire, polymouth, USA) at -80 °C until further tests.

Antimicrobial activity⁶

Microbial strain: Chloroform and methanol extracts were tested individually against a range of 7 microorganisms. Microorganisms were provided by Department of Microbiology, Santosh Medical College, Ghaziabad, India, The identify of the microorganisms used in this study was confirmed by the microbial identification system in Department of Biotechnology, C.P.S. Mohuda, India.

Bacterial cultures: The test organisms⁷ used in this study were as follow: *Staphylococcus aureus* MTCC 737, *Bacillus subtilis* MTCC, *Escherichia coli* MTCC 118, *Mycobacterium smegmatis* MTCC 106, *Aeromonas hydrophila* ATCC 79, *Morganella morganii* ATCC 102, *Proteus vulgaris* MTCC 201.

Assay procedure (paper disc method): The peptone solution was poured on the solidified agar medium^{8,9}. The contact time was 3-5 min. Excess peptone solution was removed by inverting the plate or with the help of micro pipette (per sterilized in oven of 3 h at 110 °C in order to avoid contamination sterile Whatman filter paper disc (6 mm diameter) were thoroughly moistened with different concentrations of chloroform and methanol extract and chloroamphenicol disc moistened with strile DMF was used as control⁹. The paper discs were previously sterilized in autoclave by moist heat sterilization. The disc were placed on the plate with the help of fine pointed previous sterilized forceps. Then the plates were incubated at 37 ± 1 °C. All work was done under aseptic condition¹⁰. The assay plates were kept at 5-10 °C for 24 h. After incubation the bacterial activity was determined by zone of inhibition method where diameter was measured by using millimeter scale¹¹.

TABLE-1 ANTIBACTERIAL ACTIVITY OF CHLOROFORM EXTRACT OF *Calotropis procera* SEEDS

Test microorganism	CC	Concentration of extract			Control
	(100 µg/mL)	1 mg/mL	5 mg/mL	10 mg/mL	DMF
Staphylococcus aureus	20.65 ± 0.48	15.35±0.16	18.64 ± 0.54	23.16±0.43	7.35±0.12
Bacillus subtilis	20.23±066	13.10±0.11	17.49 ± 0.75	22.41±0.13	7.35 ± 0.12
Escherichia coli	15.56 ± 0.35	12.32 ± 0.31	14.28 ± 0.61	20.03±0.15	7.35 ± 0.12
Mycobacterium smegmatis	16.23 ± 0.41	10.21 ± 0.10	12.11±0.61	19.13±0.10	7.35 ± 0.12
Aeromonas hydrophila	15.17 ± 0.20	12.21 ± 0.32	14.70 ± 0.21	18.21 ± 0.14	7.35 ± 0.12
Morganella morganii	18.73 ± 0.31	11.30 ± 0.12	15.71 ± 0.60	20.41 ± 0.38	7.35 ± 0.12
Proteus vulgaris	18.90 ± 0.32	14.15±0.61	16.52 ± 0.40	21.01 ± 0.16	7.35±0.12

CC = Concentration of chloramphenicol.

5790 Bhaskar et al.

Asian J. Chem.

In this screening, the different gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria used are *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Bacillus sutilis*, *Escherichia coli*, *M. morganii*, *P. vulgatis*, *M. smegmatis* and *A. hdrophila* out of all the extract. The chloroform extract was most effective as compared to methanol extract. All extract are having good antibacterial activity, but chloroform extract is having the best activity (Tables 1 and 2). The activity may be due to the presence of flavonoids².

TABLE-2 ANTIBACTERIAL ACTIVITY OF METHANOL EXTRACT OF *Calotropis procera* SEEDS

Test microorganism	CC Concentration of extract				Control
	(100 µg/mL)	1 mg/mL	5 mg/mL	10 mg/mL	DMF
Staphylococcus aureus	20.65 ± 0.48	12.35±0.55	15.46 ± 0.51	18.12±0.54	7.35±0.12
Bacillus subtilis	20.23±066	11.24 ± 0.62	14.86 ± 0.61	17.40 ± 0.25	7.35 ± 0.12
Escherichia coli	15.56 ± 0.35	10.22 ± 0.32	11.63±0.52	13.45±0.41	7.35 ± 0.12
Mycobacterium smegmatis	14.16 ± 0.18	09.15±0.13	11.50±0.44	13.21±0.16	7.35 ± 0.12
Aeromonas hydrophila	14.20±0.16	10.13±0.21	12.61±0.26	16.11±0.11	7.35 ± 0.12
Morganella morganii	17.19 ± 0.15	10.20 ± 0.11	12.01±0.19	14.11±0.30	7.35 ± 0.12
Proteus vulgaris	16.25 ± 0.41	11.41 ± 0.51	13.20±0.14	14.21 ± 0.16	7.35 ± 0.12

CC= Concentration of chloramphenicol.

REFERENCES

- 1. R.D. Girach, S. Singh, M. Ahmad, M. Brahaman and M.K. Misra, *Fitoterapia*, **69**, 24 (1998).
- 2. J.B. Harborne, In Comparative Biochemistry of flavonoids, Academic Press, London and New York (1967).
- 3. M.A. Khan, H.A. Niazi and M.S. Khan, *Hamdard Medicus*, **43**, 23 (2000).
- 4. K.M. Nadkarni, Indian Materia Medica, Popular Book Depot, Bombay, edn. 3, Vol. 1 (1954).
- 5. M. Ahmad, M.A. Khan and M. Zafar, *Pakistan Agric.*, **1**, 2 (2006).
- 6. M.S.E. Saumy and N.D. Grampurohit, Indian Drugs, 39, 101 (2002).
- 7. Pharmacopoeia of India, Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, Government of India, New Delhi, Vol. 2, p. 53, 54, 89, 95 (1996).
- 8. WHO, Quality Control Methods for Medicinal Plant Materials, Geneva, pp. 22-24, 33 (1998).
- 9. The Ayurvedic Pharmacopoeia of India, Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, Government of India, Department of Health, New Delhi, India, part I. Vol. 3, edn. 1, pp. 234-235(2001).
- 10. G.E. Trease and W.C. Evans, Pharmacognosy, edn. 15, pp. 253-254 (2005).
- V.V.M. Gogte, Ayurvedic Pharmacology and Therapeutic Used in Medicinal Plants, Shri Ramkrishanan, Executive Secretary, Bharatiya Vidya Bhawan, pp. 425-426 (2000).

(Received: 25 August 2008; Accepted: 4 May 2009) AJC-7538