Asian Journal of Chemistry

# Breakthrough of Organic Electroluminescence Efficiency of Photoluminescence in Frequency Domain

JIN-ZHAO HUANG\*, SHI-SHUAI LI, XIU-PENG FENG, PEI-JI WANG and ZHONG ZHANG School of Science, University of Jinan, Jinan-250022, Shandong Province, P.R. China E-mail: jzhuangjz@hotmail.com

In this paper, a new way is proposed to confirm that the organic electroluminescence efficiency can exceed the 25 % limitation of that of photoluminescence in frequency domain. In present experiment, the spectra shows two characteristic emission bands and the high and low energy emission bands are due to singlet and triplet excited states. The triplet emission has longer lifetime than that of singlet emission and the emission intensity of short lifetime changes (increase and decrease) more dramatic than that of long lifetime with the changing frequency. So, it may be concluded that the 25 % limitation is not perfect and can be exceeded in the frequency domain at a given frequency.

Key Words: Frequency domain, 25 % Limitation, Triplet, Singlet.

## **INTRODUCTION**

It is generally believed that the electroluminescence efficiency is limited to 25 %of that of photoluminescence based on the statistics of spin multiplicity. It is to say, for recombination of a pair of electron and hole (both spin 1/2), there are four microstates in total, with three triplet states and one singlet state. But recent reports have indicated that the ratio between the electroluminescence and photoluminescence quantum yields can exceed this limitation<sup>1-8</sup> and the reason for this is under investigation. In reference<sup>9,10</sup>, they found a remarkable dependence of the ratio on the applied electric field. While from Wilson et al.<sup>5</sup>, the ratio is almost independent of the external electric field. Theoretically, several models have been employed to explain why the ratio is not fixed at 25 %. Bittner and Kobrak<sup>7</sup>, have simulated the intrachain collision of positive and negative polarons through a mixed quantum/ classical molecular dynamics approach to illustrate that the ratio can be exceeded. Tandon et al.<sup>10</sup> observed an abrupt increase in the ratio with respect to the electric field from a quantum-dynamical calculation. Shuai et al.8 have applied the Fermigolden-rule (FGR) to calculate the matrix elements for the exciton formation process, for *p*-phenylene-vinylene (PPV), they conclude that this ratio can generally exceed the 25 % limitation. In reference<sup>11</sup>, a correlated quantum-chemical approach coupled with a first-order perturbation is used to investigate the relationship between the ratio and the electric field. It is found that for *p*-phenylene-vinylene oligomer, the ratio increases with the electric field.

Vol. 22, No. 6 (2010) Electroluminescence Efficiency of Photoluminescence in Frequency Domain 4689

In this paper, we propose a new way in the frequency domain to illustrate that the 25 % limitation can be exceeded.

#### **EXPERIMENTAL**

The device ITO/PEDOT:PSS/PVK:Ir(ppy)2(acac)/BCP(10 nm)/Alq<sub>3</sub>(20 nm)/ Al has been fabricated. The PEDOT:PSS [poly(3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene): poly(styrene sulfonate)] and PVK [poly-(N-vinylcarbazole)]: Ir(ppy)<sub>2</sub>(acac) [*bis*(2phenylpyridine)(acetylacetonate)iridium(II)] were fabricated by spin-coating method with the rotation rate 2000 rpm (rotation/min). The PVK and Ir(ppy)<sub>2</sub>(acac) were dissolved in chloroform with the concentration 10 and 0.5 mg/mL, respectively. The ratio of PVK to Ir(ppy)<sub>2</sub>(acac) was 1:0.2 % in weight. The BCP (2,9-dimethyl-4,7-diphenyl-1,10-phenanthroline), Alq<sub>3</sub> (*tris*(8-hydroxy-quinolinato)aluminium) and Al were deposited in a vacuum chamber (the pressure was  $2 \times 10^{-6}$  torr) by thermal evaporation method. The typical deposition rate was about 0.03 nm/s. The thickness of layer is controlled by a quartz crystal monitor. The electroluminescence was measured using the fluolog-3 fluorescent spectrometer made by SPEX. The measurement was carried out at room temperature in ambient atmosphere.

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Generally, the luminescent centers which have been excited cannot be excited again and they can absorb photons again only after their de-excitation, so the luminescence lifetime and absorption rate of luminescence center have direct influence on the efficiency. The absorption rate of luminescence efficiency can be controlled by changing the driving frequency, so the luminescence efficiency can be improved by adjusting the driving frequency. In general the lifetime of singlet is thousand time shorter than that of triplet, when the electrons still stay in the triplet, the electrons in the singlet state may give many times of luminescence. We take the lifetime of lumine-scence and driving frequency into consideration, the limitation of 25 % can be exceeded.

Fig. 1 is the electroluminescence spectrum of the device under different frequency. The intensity of both PVK and  $Ir(ppy)_2(acac)$  emission increases with the increasing of frequency up to 100 Hz then decreases. The voltage is a constant, that is 12 V, when the frequency changes. In present experiment, the luminescence of PVK is from singlet and that of  $Ir(ppy)_2(acac)$  is from triplet.

Fig. 2 is the variation of ratio  $r_1 = \frac{PVK : (I_x - I_{20 \text{ Hz}})}{Ir(ppy)_2(acac) : (I_x - I_{20 \text{ Hz}})}$  (x = 30, 40, 60, 80 and 100 Hz) and  $r_2 = \frac{PVK : (I_{100 \text{ Hz}} - I_x)}{Ir(ppy)_2(acac) : (I_{100 \text{ Hz}} - I_x)}$  (x = 300, 500, 1000, 2000, 5000 and 10000 Hz) with the changing frequency, here the I is the emission intensity of PVK and Ir(ppy)\_2(acac). It can be observed that the r<sub>1</sub> and r<sub>2</sub> increase with the increasing frequency. It is to say that the intensity of PVK emission change (increase and decrease) dramatically than that of Ir(ppy)\_2(acac) emission.

Asian J. Chem.



Fig. 1. Electroluminescence spectrum of the device under different frequency





In low-voltage cathodoluminescence, the decay time of green phosphors  $SrGa_2S_4:Eu^{2+}$ , ZnS:Cu, Al and  $Gd_2O_2S:Tb^{3+}$  is 490 ns, 20 and 500 µs, respectively. In reference<sup>12-14</sup>, the efficiency of  $SrGa_2S_4:Eu^{2+}$  is higher than the other two phosphors at a given current density. The luminescence efficiency of low voltage phosphors can be greatly improved by choosing phosphors with a fast decay time that is considerably less than the excitation dwell time. This indicates that the short luminescence lifetime is in favour of improving luminescence efficiency.

In the electroluminescence of ZnS:Ce, Nd, the result is that the ratio of the emission intensity Ce<sup>3+</sup>-Nd<sup>3+</sup> is almost linearly increasing with the increasing excitation frequency (Fig. 3). The luminescence of Ce<sup>3+</sup> is I<sub>1</sub>:5d(<sup>2</sup>D)-4f(<sup>2</sup>F<sub>7/2</sub>) and I<sub>2</sub>:5d(<sup>2</sup>D)-4f(<sup>2</sup>F<sub>5/2</sub>). The luminescence of Nd<sup>3+</sup> is I<sub>3</sub>:<sup>4</sup>G<sub>7/2</sub>-<sup>4</sup>I<sub>9/2</sub>, I<sub>4</sub>:<sup>4</sup>G<sub>5/2</sub>-<sup>4</sup>I<sub>9/2</sub> and I<sub>5</sub>:<sup>4</sup>H<sub>11/</sub> 2-<sup>4</sup>I<sub>9/2</sub>. From the slopes of these lines: K(I<sub>1</sub>:I<sub>3</sub>) > K(I<sub>1</sub>:I<sub>5</sub>) > K(I<sub>1</sub>:I<sub>4</sub>), K(I<sub>2</sub>:I<sub>3</sub>) > K(I<sub>2</sub>:I<sub>5</sub>) > K(I<sub>2</sub>:I<sub>4</sub>), K(I<sub>1</sub>:I<sub>3</sub>) > K(I<sub>2</sub>:I<sub>3</sub>), K(I<sub>1</sub>:I<sub>5</sub>) > K(I<sub>2</sub>:I<sub>5</sub>) and K(I<sub>1</sub>:I<sub>4</sub>) > K(I<sub>2</sub>:I<sub>4</sub>).  $\tau_1$ ,  $\tau_2$ ,  $\tau_3$ ,  $\tau_4$  and  $\tau_5$  are 3.2, 4.8 and 4.2 us, respectively. It can be conclude that the increasing excitation frequency benefit the emission of short lifetime more than those of long lifetime. The reason is that for the short lifetime of Ce<sup>3+</sup>  $\tau_1$  will be

4690 Huang et al.

Vol. 22, No. 6 (2010) Electroluminescence Efficiency of Photoluminescence in Frequency Domain 4691

saturated at large frequency than that of long lifetime. This result is obtained under the condition that the period of excitation is longer than the lifetime. This indicates that the luminescence of short lifetime is prior to that of long lifetime with increasing frequency<sup>15</sup>.



Fig. 3. Ratio of emission intensity Ce<sup>3+</sup>-Nd<sup>3+</sup>

In the experiment, the PVK singlet emission has short lifetime and the  $Ir(ppy)_2(acac)$  triplet emission has long lifetime. From Fig. 2(a) the increasing intensity of singlet emission is larger than that of triplet emission with the increasing frequency. So the efficiency can be improved by adjusting the driving frequency and the 25 % limitation can be exceeded in frequency domain.

## Conclusion

In summary, it is concluded that the 25 % limitation can be exceeded in frequency domain at a given frequency, because the different luminescence lifetime has different luminescence efficiency at a given frequency and the short lifetime luminescence is more benefit to the luminescence efficiency.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Project supported by the Doctoral Foundation of University of Jinan (Grant No. XBS0845), the Natural Science Foundation of Shandong Province, China (Grant No. Y2008A21 and SZR0704), the Scientific Research Foundation of the Education Department of Shandong Province, China (Grant No. J08LI12).

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Y. Cao, I.D. Parker, G. Yu, C. Zhang and A.J. Heeger, *Nature*, **397**, 414 (1999).
- 2. D. Beljonne, A. Ye, Z.G. Shuai and J.L. Bredas, Adv. Funct. Mater., 17, 684 (2004).
- 3. M. Wohlgenannt, K. Tandon, S. Mazumdar, S. Ramasesha and Z.V. Vardeny, *Nature*, **409**, 494 (2001).
- 4. J. Lu, Y.B. Hou, Q.M. Shi, Y.B. Li and H. Jin, Spectro. Spec. Anal., 3, 420 (2007).
- 5. J.S. Wilson, A.S. Dhoot, A.J.A.B. Seeley, M.S. Khan, A. Kohler and R.H. Friend, *Nature*, **413**, 828 (2001).

4692 Huang et al.

- A.S. Dhoot, D.S. Ginger, D. Beljonne, Z.G. Shuai and N.C. Greenham, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 360, 195 (2002).
- 7. M.N. Kobrak and E.R. Bittner, Phys. Rev. B, 62, 11473 (2000).
- 8. Z.G. Shuai, D. Beljonne, R.J. Silbey and J.L. Bredas, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **84**, 131 (2000).
- L.C. Lin, H.F. Meng, J.T. Shy, S.F. Horng, L.S. Yu, C.H. Chen, H.H. Liaw, C.C. Huang, K.Y. Peng and S.A. Chen, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **90**, 036601 (2003).
- 10. K. Tandon, S. Ramasesha and S. Mazumdar, Phys. Rev. B, 67, 045109 (2003).
- 11. S.W. Yin, L.P. Chen, P.F. Xuan, K.Q. Chen and Z.G.Shuai, J. Phys. Chem. B, 108, 9608 (2004).
- 12. C. Stoffers, S. Yang, F. Zhang, S.M. Jacobsen, B.K. Wagner and C.J. Summers, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, **71**, 1759 (1997).
- 13. S. Yang, C. Stoffers, F. Zhang, S.M. Jacobsen, B.K. Wagner and C.J. Summers, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, **72**, 158 (1998).
- 14. F.-L. Zhang, S. Yang, C. Stoffers, J. Penczek, P.N. Yocom, D. Zaremba, B.K. Wagner and C.J. Summers, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, **72**, 2226 (1998).
- 15. X.R. Xu, J. Tianjin Inst. Tech., 13, 1 (1997) (in Chinese).

(Received: 3 October 2009;

Accepted: 20 February 2010)

AJC-8462