



Electrochemical Studies of As(III) Complexes of Tyrosine

M.K. PALIWAL and O.D. GUPTA*

Department of Chemistry, University of Rajasthan, Jaipur-320 055, India

*Corresponding author: E-mail: gupta_od@yahoo.com; mkpaliwal76@yahoo.com

(Received: 3 March 2010;

Accepted: 24 September 2010)

AJC-9134

The polarographic determination of stability constants of As(III) complexes of tyrosine at 300 K in various aqueous and non-aqueous media, have shown the formation of 1:1, 1:2 and 1:3 complexes. The values of overall stability constants of complexes have been calculated by DeFord and Hume's method which is further verified by Mihailov's method. The reduction process was found to be quasireversible and diffusion controlled involving three electrons transfer. It was found that the stability constants of As(III)-tyrosine system in non-aqueous medium are less than in aqueous medium.

Key Words: Polarography, Dropping mercury electrode, Arsenic, Tyrosine.

INTRODUCTION

The studies of complexation of metals with various ligands polarographic in aqueous media have been carried out from a long time. However attempts have been made from a long time to use non-aqueous solvents. Many workers¹⁻⁶ have studied various metal ligand complexes polarographically using non-aqueous solvents. The number of electrochemical studies of metal ligand complexes are found to be useful in various fields such as analytical, biochemical and pharmaceutical⁷⁻⁹. Selveraj *et al.*¹⁰ evaluated the electrochemical behaviour of Co(II) in acetonitrile-water mixture at DME. Kumar and coworkers¹¹ have evaluated stability constants of Cd(II) and Pb(II) with macrocyclic polyethers in ethanol-water mixtures. Lohiya *et al.*¹² investigated the electrochemical studies at DME of copper-2-amino lepedine complexes in aqueous 1,4-dioxane, dimethyl formamide, acetonitrile and formamide mixture, but literature is quite silent about the studies of metal ligand complexes of As(III) with tyrosine in aqueous and non-aqueous media.

Hence, the present work has been undertaken for the study of As(III) in presence of tyrosine. The overall formation constants of the resulting complexes in aqueous and non-aqueous (ethanol) media have been calculated graphically by DeFord-Hume's method¹³. The overall formation constants of the complexes have also been calculated using mathematical method of Mihailov¹⁴.

EXPERIMENTAL

All the chemicals used were of reagent grade purity. The solvents used were purified by standard methods. A manual polarographic setup was used for recording polarograms. The dropping mercury electrode had the following characteristics $m = 1.96$ mg and $t = 4.10$ s (in open circuit). The supporting electrolyte used was KCl and requisite amount was added to maintain the ionic strength constant $\mu = 0.5$. A solution of 0.002 % Triton X-100 was used as maxima suppressor. The temperature was kept constant using Haake-type ultrathermostat (27 ± 0.02 °C). Before polarographic measurements, purified nitrogen gas was passed for 10-15 min, after presaturation with aqueous and non-aqueous solvent mixture to be used in the study. The test solution were taken in conventional H-type cell. Polarograms were plotted manually by observing current reading on spot galvanometer against potential applied by the potentiometer in connection with 2 V capacity lead accumulator.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The reduction of As(III) complex with tyrosine gives well defined wave. The present study deals with comparative study of simple complexes of As(III)-tyrosine system in aqueous and different percentage of aqueous-non-aqueous media. The non-aqueous solvents used in this study is ethanol. These solvents have been used in three different percentage compositions *viz.*, 20, 40 and 60 % with water. All the systems have been studied at 300 K. From the experiments it has been

observed that in all cases, electrode process is diffusion controlled and there is quasireversible reduction involving three electrons. In all aqueous and non-aqueous solvents, as the increasing amount of ligand (0.001-0.007 M) is added to the solution containing arsenic(III), requisite amount of KCl as supporting electrolyte to keep ionic strength constant and sufficient amount of Triton X-100 as maximum suppressor. It has been observed that there is increase in cathodic shift and decrease in diffusion current. This proves the complexation between metal and ligand in aqueous, aqueous-ethanol (20, 40 and 60 %) mixtures. The formation constants of consecutive complexes in all media were determined by DeFord and Hume's method at 300 K. $\log \beta_j$ values were obtained by the graphical method modified by Leden. According to the method, the function $F_0(X)$ may be expressed in the following form:

$$F_0(X) = \text{antilog} \left[0.4343 \frac{nF}{RT} \Delta E_{1/2} + \log \frac{I_m}{I_c} \right]$$

I_m and I_c are diffusion currents of simple and complex metal ion, respectively.

The $F_0(X)$ functions values when plotted against C_x (concentration of ligand), a smooth curve passing through the origin was observed. However, $F_1(X)$ values on plotting against C_x gave a curve, which indicates formation of complex and the intercept is β_1 . The plot of $F_2(X)$ against C_x gave a straight line having slope indicates the formation of the penultimate complex. The $F_3(X)$ function values when plotted against C_x gave a straight line parallel to abscissa axis denotes the formation of the highest stoichiometric complex species. The intercept of this line without slope on the ordinate yielded the value of β_3 which proves that the overall formation constant for the formation of 1:3 (metal to ligand) complex.

The functions $F_1(X)$, $F_2(X)$ and $F_3(X)$ are given by following expression:

$$F_N(X) = \frac{F_{N-1}(X) - \beta_{N-1}}{[X]}$$

The values of formation constants have been recorded in Table 1. For the verification of these β_j values, the mathematical Mihailov's method was also applied to evaluate the stability constants from $F_0(X)$ function values. This involves the evaluation of Mihailov's constant 'a' and 'A' for the various ligand concentrations, respectively. From the average values of 'a' and 'A' the stability constants have been determined by eqn. 1.

$$\beta_n = A \frac{a^n}{n!} \quad (1)$$

where n = number of complex formed, which can be known from DeFord and Hume's method.

The value of 'A' and 'a' could be obtained by solving the following two eqns. 2 and 3

$$(F' - 1) \sum_1^n \frac{[X'']^n}{n!} a^{n-1} - (F'' - 1) \sum_1^n \frac{[X']^n}{n!} a^{n-1} = 0 \quad (2)$$

$$\text{and} \quad A = \frac{F' - 1}{\sum_1^n \frac{a^n}{n!} [X]^n} \quad (3)$$

TABLE-1
STABILITY CONSTANTS FOR As(III)-TYROSINE SYSTEM IN AQUEOUS AND NONAQUEOUS (20, 40 AND 60 % ETHANOL) MIXTURES AT 300 K

Metal ion	Complexing agent	Media	$\log \beta_j$	DeFord and Hume	Mihailov
As(III)	Tyrosine	Water	$\log \beta_1$	2.96	2.88
			$\log \beta_2$	5.14	5.39
			$\log \beta_3$	7.82	7.73
		Ethanol (20 %)	$\log \beta_1$	2.23	2.14
			$\log \beta_2$	4.38	4.61
			$\log \beta_3$	7.00	6.90
		Ethanol (40 %)	$\log \beta_1$	2.17	2.13
			$\log \beta_2$	4.27	4.40
			$\log \beta_3$	6.53	6.49
		Ethanol (60 %)	$\log \beta_1$	1.90	1.89
			$\log \beta_2$	4.04	4.10
			$\log \beta_3$	6.17	6.13

where F' and F'' are the values of the experimental $F_j(X)$ functions at ligand concentrations X' and X'' , respectively.

On comparison, the overall formations constants determined by both the methods are found to be in good agreements.

While discussing the effect of the solvent on complex formation, the following points need careful consideration are physical properties and chemical properties of solvent. The physical properties of the solvent, dielectric constant has been thought as the most important. Attempts have been made to correlate pK values of the complexes and the dielectric constants of the solvents but with little success.

The result of the present study show that the increasing concentration of ethanol, the stability of the As(III)-tyrosine complexes decreases. The stability decreases on going from aqueous solution to solution in 20, 40 and 60 % non-aqueous solvent mixtures. The number of complex species formed remained same in aqueous and aqueous-ethanol. The values of the stability constants have been recorded in Table 1.

It is observed that ethanol has very different and low dielectric constants ($\epsilon = 24.3$) compared to water ($\epsilon = 78.3$), but these solvents affect complex formation in the same direction. The main factor determining the composition and stability of complex is the chemical nature of the ligand and not the dielectric constants.

The stability decreases slightly on going from aqueous solutions to non-aqueous ethanol solution mixtures in 20, 40 and 60 %. The results show that the complex containing a metal-nitrogen bond, the addition of non-aqueous solvent decreases the stability. This may be due to the fact that the basic strength of amines decreases on going from aqueous solution to solution in non-aqueous media. The results also agree with the observation, which indicates that the chemical nature of the solvent and complexing agent are important factors which affect the stabilities.

Chemical properties of the solvent thus seems to be operative in affecting the composition and stability of complexes in solution. Neutral solvents like ethanol, have neither strong proton donor tendency nor strong proton accepting tendencies. Such solvents serve principally as the suspending medium for the solute species and itself participates in chemical reaction

to only a minor extent. Thus solvation is the most important factor in affecting complex formation in non-aqueous solvent mixtures.

Solvation of a metal ion may occur: (i) through ion-dipole interaction between the positive cation and the negative end of the solvent dipole or (ii) through coordinate covalent bonds in which the solvent molecule shares a pair of electrons with the cation.

If solvation occurs through ion interaction, the solvation sheath of the resulting ion may be more simple. Water is a normal liquid in which the solvent molecules are predominantly unassociated and kinetically free. Ion-solvent interaction would be predicated by virtue of the dipolar properties; much as in water except that the solvation sheath may be more simple. In such a case, the simple metal ion easily coordinate with a ligand and the number and stability of complexes may be expected to increase.

Another possibility is the solvation may take place through coordinate covalent bonds. In case of the solvent molecule, it will be held more rigidly by the metal ion, thus hindering coordination with the ligand and the results show decrease in the number of complex species and lowering the stability of complexes with increasing solvent concentration.

This seems to explain the results obtained from the study of As(III)-tyrosine complexes in aqueous mixtures. The solvation of the metal ion through coordinate covalent bonds, the solvents like ethanol, is also shown by the fact that the half-wave potentials of As(III) become slightly more negative at higher concentration of these solvents.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are thankful to the Head, Department of Chemistry, University of Rajasthan, Jaipur for providing necessary facilities and steady cooperation to carry out this research work.

REFERENCES

1. K. Fachadi and M. Shamsipur, *J. Chin. Chem. Soc.*, **46**, 893 (1999).
2. E. Bottari and R. Ports, *Ann. Chim.*, **75**, 393 (1985).
3. A.G. Anastopoulos and Th. Latsinoglou, *J. Solut. Chem.*, **34**, 1341 (2005).
4. J.N. Gaur, D.S. Jain and P.S. Verma, *J. Electrochem. Soc. (India)*, **22**, 213 (1974).
5. Z. Monsef, G. Rounaghi and A. Sarafraz, *J. Incl. Phenom. Macrocycl. Chem.*, **39**, 321 (2001).
6. J.N. Gaur and V.K. Sharma, *J. Electroanal. Chem.*, **9**, 321 (1965).
7. R.N. Patel, H.C. Pandey and K.B. Pandey, *Bull. Electrochem.*, **12**, 612 (1966).
8. F. Khan and P.L. Sahu, *Ultra Scient. Phys. Sci.*, **12**, 106 (2000).
9. B.K. Singh, C.L. Jain and R.S. Sindhu, *Trans. SAEST*, **30**, 4 (1995).
10. K. selvaraj, J. Mallika and A. Selvaraj, *Orient. J. Chem.*, **20**, (2003).
11. V. Kumar, S. Ijeri, A.K. Shrivastava, *J. Chem. Eng. Data*, **47**, 346 (2002).
12. R.K. Lohiya, P.L. Pratihar, R.V. Singh and S.K. Mukherjee, *Orient. J. Chem.*, **17**, (2001).
13. D.D. DeFord and D.N. Hume, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **73**, 5321 (1951).
14. M.H. Mihailov, *J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem.*, **36**, 107 (1974).

PITTCON 2011

14 — 17 MARCH, 2011

ATLANTA, GA (U.S.A.)

Contact:

The Pittsburgh Conference, 300 Penn Center Boulevard, Suite 332 Pittsburgh, PA 15235-5503, U.S.A.
Tel: + 412-825-3220, Fax: +412-825-3224, E-mail: expo@pittcon.org, Web site: <http://www.pittcon.org/>