

Conversion of Aldehydes to Acylals Using Acetic Anhydride in Presence of Catalytic Amount of Fe(NO₃)₃·9H₂O Under Solvent-Free Conditions at Room Temperature

ARDESHIR KHAZAEI^{1,*}, ABBAS AMINI MANESH², AMIN ROSTAMI³, HEIDAR ALI ALAVI-NIK¹ and ZAHRA TOODEH ROOSTA¹

¹Faculty of Chemistry, Bu-Ali Sina University, P.O. Box 651783868, Hamedan, Iran ²Department of Chemistry, Payam Noor University, Hamedan, Iran ³Department of Chemistry, Kurdistan University, Kurdistan, Iran

*Corresponding author: Fax: +98 8112546840; Tel: +98 8112546838; E-mail: khazaei_1326@yahoo.com

Accepted: 24 September 2010)

AJC-9121

 $Fe(NO_3)_3$ ·9H₂O efficiently catalyzed the conversion of aromatic and aliphatic aldehydes to 1,1-diacetates under solvent-free conditions at room temperature. This study reports the use of $Fe(NO_3)_3$ ·9H₂O which is highly efficient, chemoselective, eco-friendly non-toxic and cost effective catalyst for use in the conversion of aldehydes to acylals. Short reaction times and good yields are another advantages of this method. 4-Dimethylaminobenzaldehyde and ketones did not react under the same conditions.

Key Words: 1,1-Diacetates, Chemoselective, Efficient, Inorganic catalysts, Solvent-free.

INTRODUCTION

Acylals have been used as protecting groups for carbonyl compounds because of their stability in neutral and basic media as well as aqueous acids^{1,2}. The acylals are important starting materials for the synthesis of valuable intermediates in the Diels-Alder cycloaddition reactions³. Acylals have been applied as crosslinking reagents for cellulose in cotton⁴. Hence, there are considerable methods for synthesis of acylals. Some of the catalysts which have been developed for this purpose are including: sulfuric acid^{5a}, triflic acid^{5b}, PCl₃^{5c}, TMSCl-Nal^{5d}, ZnCl₂^{5e}, I₂^{5f}, anhydrous ferrous sulfate^{5g}, FeCl₃^{5h}, NBS⁵ⁱ, zeolites^{6a}, sulfated zirconia^{6b}, montmorillonite clay^{6c}, expansive graphite^{6d}, aluminum dodecatungstophosphate^{6e}, zeolite HSZ-360^{6f}, layered zirconium sulfophenyl phosphonate^{6g}, Cu(OTf)₂ (2.5 mol %)^{7a}, Sc(OTf)₃ (2 mol %)^{7b}, Bi(OTf)₃ (0.1 mol %)^{7c}, $Zn(BF_4)_2^{7d}$, $ZrCl_4^{7e}$, bismuth nitrate^{7f}, ceric ammonium nitrate⁸, NH₂SO₃H⁹, WCl₆¹⁰, LiBF₄¹¹, LiBr¹², which are also efficient for this conversion. Many of the reported methods, however, involve strongly acidic or oxidising conditions, corrosive reagents, high temperature, high catalyst loading, longer reaction times. Moreover, some of these are not selective in terms of aldehydes and keto carbonyl functional groups. In view of these, the search for finding a cost effective, mild and simple selective protocol for synthesis of acylals from aldehydes is still relevant. In continuation of our research¹³, we herein report the results of Fe(NO₃)₃·9H₂O catalyzed expedient, simple and cost effective, selective conversion of aldehydes to acylals (Scheme-I).

RCHO $\frac{\text{Fe}(\text{NO}_3)_3.9\text{H}_2\text{O}(0.1 \text{ mmol})}{\text{Ac}_2\text{O}(2 \text{ ml}), \text{RT}} \rightarrow \text{RCH}(\text{OAc})_2$ R = arylScheme-I

EXPERIMENTAL

All reagents were purchased from Merck and Aldrich and used without further purification. All yields refer to isolated products after purification. Products were characterized by comparison with authentic samples and by spectroscopy data (IR, ¹H NMR spectra). The spectra were measured in CDCl₃ unless otherwise stated, relative to TMS (0.00 ppm).

General procedure: A mixture of aldehyde (1 mmol), Ac₂O (2 mL) and Fe(NO₃)₃·9H₂O (0.1 mmol) was stirred at ambient temperature and the progress of the reaction was monitored by TLC. After completion of the reaction, the reaction mixture was washed with a 10 % aqueous solution of NaOH, then washed with Et₂O and dried over Na₂SO₄ and solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The resultant product was filtered through a column of silica gel to afford pure acylals.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The conversions of various aldehydes to their corresponding 1,1-diacetates (acylals) are presented in Table-1.

PREPARATION OF ACYLALS IN THE PRESENCE OF Fe(NO ₃) ₃ ·9H ₂ O (0.1 mmol) AND ACETIC ANHYDRIDE (2 mL) UNDER SOLVENT-FREE CONDITIONS AT ROOM TEMPERATURE				
No.	Substrate	Time (min)	Yield (%) ^{a,b}	Product
1	Me N-CHO	2880	-	Me Ne OAc
2	— Сно	420	70	
3	Cl	480	76	
4	Br CHO	90	86	Br - OAc OAc
5	МеО — СНО	1440	-	MeO-OAc
6	СНО	5	-	OAc OAc
7	СНО	1440	-	OAc OAc
8	СНО	660	78	
9		2880	-	O2N ACO OAC
10	CHO O ₂ N	2880	-	O_{2N}
11	сі————————————————————————————————————	180	66	Cl
12	MeO-	1440	-	MeO AcO OAc
13	СНО ОМе	960	79	OAc OAc OMe
14	$ \begin{array}{c} & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & H & H & \\ & H & H \\ & & \\ & O \end{array} $	240	76	
15		5	79	OAc OAc

TABLE-1

^aProducts were characterized by their physical constants, comparison with authentic samples and by their IR and NMR spectra. ^bIsolated yields.

Spectral data

Compound 2: ¹H NMR (90 MHz, CDCl₃/TMS): δ 7.32 (s, 1H), 6.98-7.08 (dd, 4H), 2.05 (s, 3H), 1.79 (s, 6H). IR (KBr, ν_{max} , cm⁻¹): 3033, 2926, 1770, 1367, 1244, 1207, 1068, 1006, 959, 815, m.p. 80-82 °C, reported⁹ m.p. 81-82 °C.

Compound 3: ¹H NMR (90 MHz, CDCl₃/TMS): δ 7.54-7.85 (m, 5H), 2.11 (s, 6H). IR (KBr, ν_{max} , cm⁻¹): 3055, 3025, 1767, 1621, 1495, 1201, 1012, 804, 762, 604, m.p. 51-52 °C, reported¹⁴ m.p. 52-53 °C.

Compound 4: ¹H NMR (90 MHz, acetone-*d*₆/TMS): δ 7.61 (m, 5H), 2.10 (s, 6H). IR (KBr, v_{max}, cm⁻¹): 3094, 1758, 1592, 1488, 1373, 1234, 1206, 942, 828, m.p. 94-96 °C, reported¹⁵ m.p. 93-95 °C.

Compound 8: ¹H NMR (90 MHz, acetone- d_6 /TMS): δ 7.91 (s, 1H) 7.19-7.54 (m, 4H), 2.11 (s, 6H). IR (KBr, v_{max} , cm⁻¹): 2987, 2781, 1769, 1620, 1594, 1495, 1371, 1245, 1200, 1011, 805, 765.

Compound 11: ¹H NMR (90 MHz, CDCl₃/TMS): δ7.33-7.55 (m, 5H), 2.03 (s, 6H). IR (KBr, ν_{max}, cm⁻¹): 3055, 2934, 1761, 1600, 1492, 1373, 1207, 1013, 943, 832, m.p. 81-83 °C, reported⁹ m.p. 82-83 °C.

Compound 13: ¹H NMR (90 MHz, CDCl₃/TMS): δ 7.86 (s, 1H), 7.41 (d, 1H), 7.26 (t 1H), 6.91 (t 1H), 6.82 (d 1H), 3.74 (s, 3H), 2.05 (s, 6H). IR (KBr, v_{max} , cm⁻¹): 3010, 2967, 1761, 1602, 1495, 1372, 1238, 1200, 1021, 950, 758, m.p. 66-73 °C, reported¹⁶ m.p. 73-74 °C.

Compound 14: ¹H NMR (90 MHz, CDCl₃/TMS): δ 7.20-7.80 (m, 6H), 6.92 (d 1H), 6.33 (dd, 1H), 2.18 (s, 6H). IR (KBr, v_{max} , cm⁻¹): 3083, 2972, 1750, 1678, 1380, 1248, 1198, 1058, 940, 747, 692, m.p. 84-85 °C, reported¹¹ m.p. 84-86 °C.

Compound 15: ¹H NMR (90 MHz, acetone-*d*₆/TMS): δ 7.23 (m, 5H), 6.78 (t 1H), 2.73 (m 2H), 2.01 (q, 8H). IR (KBr, v_{max} , cm⁻¹): 3029, 2932, 2865, 1763, 1549, 1455, 1497, 1375, 1245, 1208, 1111, 1011, 946, 879, 756, 701.

Aldehydes having both electron withdrawing and electrondonating groups were acetylated without formation of any oxidative side products with 0.1 mmol of Fe(NO₃)₃·9H₂O as a catalyst (entry 2, 3, 4, 8, 11, 13). An unsaturated aldehyde (entry 14) was converted to the corresponding unsaturated acylal without affecting the double bond. Some aromatic ketones were also checked for the reaction: 4-nitroacetophenone and 4-methoxy acetophenone, they have not reacted under the described experimental conditions. Likewise, 4- dimethylaminobenzaldehyde failed to give the expected 1,1-diacetate. These reactions, in comparison with similar reactions, which have been performed in an autoclave at 150-200 °C in the presence of expensive and toxic catalysts, were occured under milder reaction conditions. All the yields were calculated from crystallized products.

Conlusion

An efficient and selective method is reported for the preparation of 1,1-diacetate from aldehyde under solvent free conditions using catalytic amounts of Fe(NO₃)₃·9H₂O. The selectivity, cheapness, availability, low cost and no toxicity of the catalyst, easy and clean workup and good to high yields are noteworthy features of the reported method.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors acknowledged Bu-Ali Sina University Research Councils, Hamedan Payam Noor University Research Councils, University of Kurdistan Research Councils, the Center of Excellence in Development of Chemistry Methods (CEDCM) and National Foundation of Elites (NFE) for support of this work.

REFERENCES

- 1. T.W. Greene and P.G.M. Wuts, Protective Group in Organic Synthesis, Wiley, New York, edn. 3, p. 306 (1999).
- K.S. Kochhar, B.S. Bal, R.P. Deshpande, S.N. Rajadhyaksha and H.W. Pinnick, J. Org. Chem., 48, 1765 (1983).
- 3. P. Kumar, V.R. Hedge and T.P. Kumar, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **36**, 601 (1995) and references cited therein.
- 4. J.G. Frick Jr. and P.J. Harper Jr., Appl. Polym. Sci., 29, 1433 (1984).
- (a) M.J. Gregory, J. Chem. Soc. B, 1201 (1970); (b) F. Freeman and E.M. Karcherski, J. Eng. Data, 22, 355 (1977); (c) J.K. Michie and J.A. Miller, Synthesis, 824 (1981); (d) N. Daka, R. Borah, D.J. Kalita and J.C. Sarma, J. Chem. Res. (s), 94 (1988); (e) I. Scribine, Bull. Soc. Chem. Fr., 1194 (1961); (f) N. Deka, D.J. Kalita, R. Borah and J.C. Sarma, Org. Chem., 62, 1563 (1997); (g) T.-S. Jin, G.-Y. Du, T.-S. Li, Indian J. Chem., 37B, 939 (1998); (h) Y.-Q. Li, Synth. Commun., 30, 3913 (2000); (i) B. Karimi, H. Seradj and G.R. Ebrahimian, Synlett, 623 (2000).
- (a) C. Pereira, B. Gigante, M.J. Marcelo Curto, H. Carreyra, G. Perot and M. Guisnat, Synthesis, 1077 (1995); (b) S.V. Rajn, J. Chem. Res. (s), 68 (1996); (c) M.V. Joshi, C.S. Narasimbam, J. Catal., 141, 308 (1993); (d) T.S. Jin, Y.R. Ma, Z.H. Zhang and T.S. Li, Synth. Commun., 3379 (1997); (e) R. Ballini, B. Bordoni, G. Bosica, R. Maggi and G. Sartori, Tetrahedron Lett., 39, 7587 (1998); (f) M. Curini, F. Epifano, M.C. Marcotullio, O. Rosati and M. Nocchetti, Tetrahedron Lett., 43, 2709 (2002).
- (a) K.L. Chandra, P. Saravanan and V.K. Singh, *Synlett*, 359 (2000);
 (b) V.K. Aggarwal, S. Fonquerna and G.P. Vennall, *Synlett*, 849 (1998);
 (c) M.D. Carrigan, K.J. Eash, M.C. Oswald and R.S. Mohan, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 42, 8133 (2001);
 (d) B.C. Ranu, J. Dutta and A. Das, *Chem.*

Lett., **32**, 366 (2003); (e) G. Smitha and Ch. Sanjeeva Reddy, *Tetrahedron*, **59**, 9571 (2003); (f) D.H. Aggen, J.N. Arnold, P.D. Hayes, N.J. Smoter and R.S. Mohan, *Tetrahedron*, **60**, 3675 (2004).

- 8. S.C. Roy and B. Banerjee, *Synlett*, 1677 (2002).
- 9. T.S. Jin, G. Sun, Y.W. Li and T.S. Li, Green Chem., 4, 255 (2002).
- B. Karimi, G.R. Ebrahimian and H. Seradj, Synth. Commun., 32, 669 (2002).
- 11. J.S. Yadav, B.V.S. Reddy, C. Venugopal and T. Ramalingam, *Synlett*, 604 (2002).
- 12. H.M.S. Kumar, B.V.S. Reddy, P.T. Reddy and J.S. Yadav, *J. Chem. Res.* (*S*), 86 (2000).
- (a) A. Khazaei and R.G. Vaghei, Tetrahedron Lett., 43, 3073 (2002);
 (b) A. Khazaei, R.G. Vaghei and M. Tajbakhsh, Tetrahedron Lett., 42, 5099 (2001);
 (c) K. Ardeshir and S. Abbas, Synth. Commun., 29, 4079 (1999);
 (d) A. Khazaei, K. Bridson and R.G. Pitchard, Cryst. Str. Commun., C5, 970 (2001);
 (e) A. Khazaei, E. Mehdipour and B. Roodpeyma, Iran. J. Chem. Chem. Eng., 14, 77 (1995);
 (f) A. Khazaei, M.A. Zolfigol and A. Rostami, Synthesis, 2959 (2004);
 (g) A. Khazaei, A.A. Manesh and A. Rostami, J. Chem. Res., 391 (2005);
 (h) A. Khazaei and A.A. Manesh and V.R. Safi, J. Chin. Chem. Soc., 52, 559 (2005);
 (i) A. Khazaei, A. Rostami and A.A. Manesh, J. Chin. Chem. Soc., 53, 437 (2006).
- 14. A.R. Hajipour, A. Zarei, L. Khazdooz, F. Mirjalilli, N. Sheikhan and S. Zahmatkesh, *Synthesis*, 3644 (2005).
- N.M. Nagy, M.A. Jakab, J. Konya and S. Antus, *Appl. Clay Sci.*, 21, 213 (2002).
- R. Ghosh, S. Maiti, A. Chakraborty and R. Halder, J. Mol. Catal. A, 215, 49 (2004).

GREEN ENERGIES & TECHNOLOGIES INTERNATIONAL SHOW

2-4 FEBRUARY, 2011

CANNES, FRANCE

Contact:

GETIS GROUP, 5, Rue de la Rampe - 06400 Cannes France Tel:33 (0)483-440-700, Fax:+33(0)493-933-189 Web site: http://www.getisgroup.com/