

Adsorption on Toxic Metal Pb(II), Cd(II), Hg(II) and Cu(II) Ions on Agricultural Byproducts

Y.K. MESHRAM

Department of Chemistry, G.S. Science, Arts and Commerce College
Khangaom-444 312, India

Agricultural byproducts like orange, banana, pomegranate, apple, chickoo, sweetlime were used in their natural state on which the study of adsorption of toxic metal ions Pb(II), Cd(II), Hg(II) and Cu(II) at 27°C has been made. The values of Freundlich constants (n and k) are estimated from the study. It can be seen that adsorption increases with the increase in concentration of metal ions.

Key Words: Adsorption, Toxic metal ions, Agricultural byproducts.

INTRODUCTION

The presence of toxic metal ions in industrial waste has attracted worldwide attention. Several methods such as chemical precipitation, ion exchange, ultra-filtration, electrochemical treatment etc. are suggested for the removal of these metal ions. Few workers have suggested methods for adsorption of these ions by using inexpensive agricultural byproducts^{1,2}, tree barks³⁻⁶, peanut skin^{7,8} and agricultural waste material⁹⁻¹¹.

In the present work, an attempt has been made to study the adsorption of toxic metal ions, such as Pb(II), Cd(II), Hg(II) and Cu(II) on agricultural byproducts like orange skin, banana husk, pomegranate husk, apple skin, chickoo skin and sweetlime husk at 27°C.

Sondawale¹² has studied the adsorption of Pb(II), Cd(II), Hg(II) and Cu(II) on agricultural byproducts, such as orange, potato, chickoo, guava and banana husk. Adsorption of Cd and Pb from aqueous solution by spent grain has been studied by Low *et al.*¹³ and Carolyn *et al.*¹⁴ have studied the adsorption of aqueous heavy metals on to carbonaceous substrates.

EXPERIMENTAL

Orange skin, banana husk, pomegranate husk, chickoo skin, apple skin were collected and exposed to sunlight for one week. Subsequently they were ground, further exposed to sunlight for 24 h and were preserved in plastic bottles with airtight corks.

The solutions at different concentrations (0.01, 0.008, 0.006, 0.004 and 0.002 M) of Pb(II), Cd(II), Hg(II) and Cu(II) were prepared in different conical flasks. 1.0 g of each of the adsorbents was weighed and placed in each conical flask. The flasks were corked and placed overnight. The solutions were filtered. pH of the filtrate were measured and the filtrates were preserved in airtight glass bottles. The changes in adsorption of metal ions before and after adsorption were measured the spectrophotometrically. The data obtained of percentage adsorption along with concentration are presented in Tables 1–6.

TABLE-1
 ADSORPTION OF METAL IONS ON APPLE SKIN

Conc.	Cu(II)		Pb(II)		Cd(II)		Hg(II)	
	A after adsorption	ΔA $A_{\text{after}} - A_{\text{before}}$	A after adsorption	ΔA $A_{\text{after}} - A_{\text{before}}$	A after adsorption	ΔA $A_{\text{after}} - A_{\text{before}}$	A after adsorption	ΔA $A_{\text{after}} - A_{\text{before}}$
0.010 M	0.993	0.885	1.077	0.957	0.903	0.786	0.989	0.863
0.008 M	0.914	0.824	0.960	0.850	0.775	0.668	0.950	0.830
0.006 M	0.851	0.778	0.887	0.794	0.660	0.560	0.900	0.788
0.004 M	0.752	0.696	0.820	0.736	0.586	0.490	0.827	0.723
0.002 M	0.643	0.604	0.735	0.658	0.515	0.433	0.753	0.657

TABLE-2
 ADSORPTION OF METAL IONS ON BANANA HUSK

Conc.	Cu(II)		Pb(II)		Cd(II)		Hg(II)	
	A after adsorption	ΔA $A_{\text{after}} - A_{\text{before}}$	A after adsorption	ΔA $A_{\text{after}} - A_{\text{before}}$	A after adsorption	ΔA $A_{\text{after}} - A_{\text{before}}$	A after adsorption	ΔA $A_{\text{after}} - A_{\text{before}}$
0.010 M	0.855	0.670	1.005	0.897	1.105	0.978	0.970	0.848
0.008 M	0.779	0.622	0.903	0.813	0.817	0.700	0.840	0.731
0.006 M	0.710	0.560	0.850	0.774	0.762	0.652	0.746	0.646
0.004 M	0.680	0.538	0.735	0.670	0.684	0.585	0.650	0.560
0.002 M	0.621	0.486	0.690	0.641	0.582	0.493	0.510	0.430

TABLE-3
 ADSORPTION OF METAL IONS ON CHICKOO SKIN

Conc.	Cu(II)		Pb(II)		Cd(II)		Hg(II)	
	A after adsorption	ΔA $A_{\text{after}} - A_{\text{before}}$	A after adsorption	ΔA $A_{\text{after}} - A_{\text{before}}$	A after adsorption	ΔA $A_{\text{after}} - A_{\text{before}}$	A after adsorption	ΔA $A_{\text{after}} - A_{\text{before}}$
0.010 M	0.648	0.557	0.957	0.672	0.485	0.360	0.876	0.761
0.008 M	0.585	0.505	0.788	0.513	0.435	0.315	0.747	0.642
0.006 M	0.530	0.461	0.706	0.441	0.382	0.271	0.635	0.540
0.004 M	0.470	0.412	0.612	0.358	0.345	0.242	0.532	0.446
0.002 M	0.410	0.362	0.556	0.308	0.293	0.198	0.420	0.344

TABLE-4
ADSORPTION OF METAL IONS ON ORANGE SKIN

Conc.	Cu(II)		Pb(II)		Cd(II)		Hg(II)	
	A after adsorption	ΔA $A_{\text{after}} - A_{\text{before}}$	A after adsorption	ΔA $A_{\text{after}} - A_{\text{before}}$	A after adsorption	ΔA $A_{\text{after}} - A_{\text{before}}$	A after adsorption	ΔA $A_{\text{after}} - A_{\text{before}}$
0.010 M	0.956	0.836	0.875	0.647	0.937	0.827	0.958	0.853
0.008 M	0.900	0.794	0.826	0.602	0.912	0.817	0.852	0.737
0.006 M	0.840	0.748	0.786	0.565	0.884	0.804	0.810	0.705
0.004 M	0.810	0.732	0.706	0.488	0.857	0.797	0.770	0.680
0.002 M	0.735	0.672	0.667	0.452	0.830	0.780	0.710	0.628

TABLES-5
ADSORPTION OF METAL IONS ON POMEGRANATE HUSK

Conc.	Cu(II)		Pb(II)		Cd(II)		Hg(II)	
	A after adsorption	ΔA $A_{\text{after}} - A_{\text{before}}$	A after adsorption	ΔA $A_{\text{after}} - A_{\text{before}}$	A after adsorption	ΔA $A_{\text{after}} - A_{\text{before}}$	A after adsorption	ΔA $A_{\text{after}} - A_{\text{before}}$
0.010 M	0.984	0.813	0.915	0.740	0.997	0.852	0.989	0.863
0.008 M	0.910	0.755	0.840	0.670	0.908	0.783	0.950	0.830
0.006 M	0.828	0.685	0.789	0.626	0.890	0.774	0.900	0.788
0.004 M	0.735	0.607	0.705	0.547	0.840	0.742	0.827	0.723
0.002 M	0.735	0.594	0.688	0.517	0.795	0.710	0.753	0.657

TABLE-6
ADSORPTION OF METAL IONS ON SWEETLIME HUSK

Conc.	Cu(II)		Pb(II)		Cd(II)		Hg(II)	
	A after adsorption	ΔA $A_{\text{after}} - A_{\text{before}}$	A after adsorption	ΔA $A_{\text{after}} - A_{\text{before}}$	A after adsorption	ΔA $A_{\text{after}} - A_{\text{before}}$	A after adsorption	ΔA $A_{\text{after}} - A_{\text{before}}$
0.010 M	0.909	0.770	1.005	0.797	0.960	0.820	1.088	0.950
0.008 M	0.875	0.749	0.910	0.716	0.945	0.823	0.961	0.835
0.006 M	0.821	0.708	0.835	0.654	0.903	0.749	0.813	0.700
0.004 M	0.790	0.692	0.776	0.610	0.878	0.788	0.755	0.655
0.002 M	0.730	0.645	0.698	0.544	0.812	0.738	0.679	0.590

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

It could be seen from Table 1–6 that adsorption increases with respect to increase in concentration of metal ions; the order of adsorption between metal ions and agricultural byproducts are shown as under

- (1) Apple skin: $\text{Cu(II)} < \text{Pb(II)} > \text{Cd(II)} < \text{Hg(II)}$
 (2) Banana husk: $\text{Cu(II)} < \text{Pb(II)} > \text{Cd(II)} > \text{Hg(II)}$
 (3) Chickoo skin: $\text{Cu(II)} < \text{Pb(II)} > \text{Cd(II)} < \text{Hg(II)}$
 (4) Orange skin: $\text{Cu(II)} < \text{Pb(II)} > \text{Cd(II)} < \text{Hg(II)}$
 (5) Pomegranate husk: $\text{Cu(II)} > \text{Pb(II)} < \text{Cd(II)} > \text{Hg(II)}$
 (6) Sweet lime skin: $\text{Cu(II)} > \text{Pb(II)} < \text{Cd(II)} > \text{Hg(II)}$

The formation curves were constructed between $\log c$ vs. $\log \text{O.D.}$ and $\log c$ vs. $\log \Delta A$; a straight line curves are formed for all for the systems for every toxic metal, which hold good the Langmuir adsorption isotherm. The values of Freundlich constants (n and k) are also estimated from graphs which are found to be $n < 1$ and $k > 1$. These values are shown in Table-7.

TABLE-7
VALUES OF k AND n FOR DIFFERENT ADSORPTION SYSTEMS

Agricultural byproduct	Metal ions	λ_{\max}	k values	n values
Apple	Cu(II)	350 nm	5.212	0.480
	Pb(II)	360 nm	6.309	0.200
	Cd(II)	365 nm	7.762	0.220
	Hg(II)	360 nm	6.309	0.275
Banana	Cu(II)	355 nm	5.821	0.176
	Pb(II)	350 nm	7.070	0.714
	Cd(II)	350 nm	7.498	0.428
	Hg(II)	350 nm	7.498	0.428
Chickoo	Cu(II)	370 nm	7.585	0.443
	Pb(II)	350 nm	7.498	0.250
	Cd(II)	350 nm	7.498	0.250
	Hg(II)	350 nm	7.498	0.250
Orange	Cu(II)	370 nm	7.585	0.443
	Pb(II)	360 nm	3.981	0.133
	Cd(II)	350 nm	7.943	0.464
	Hg(II)	365 nm	3.349	0.300
Pomegranate	Cu(II)	375 nm	5.432	0.312
	Pb(II)	345 nm	5.011	0.100
	Cd(II)	355 nm	6.165	0.433
	Hg(II)	370 nm	7.244	0.300
Sweetlime	Cu(II)	350 nm	3.695	0.150
	Pb(II)	355 nm	3.758	0.160
	Cd(II)	360 nm	6.531	0.106
	Hg(II)	355 nm	6.760	0.470

REFERENCES

1. D.V. Jahagirdar and J.N. Nigal, *Asian. J. Chem.*, **9**, 122 (1997).
2. E.J. Roberts and S.P. Rowland, *Environ. Sci. Tech.*, 7552 (1973).
3. M.S. Mastri, F.W. Reuter and M.S. Friendman *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.*, **18**, 675 (1975).
4. J.M. Randall, R.L. Berman and A.C. Waiss, *Forest Prod. J.*, **24**, 18 (1974).
5. J.M. Randall and E. Hantala, Proc. 30th Industrial Waste Conf., Purdue University, 412 (1975).
6. J.M. Randall, A.C. Waiss and J.L. Tschernitz, *Forest Prod. J.*, **26**, 46 (1976).
7. J.M. Randall, F.W. Reuter and A.C. Waiss, *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.*, **19**, 1663 (1955).
8. J.M. Randall, *J. Appl. Polym., Sci.*, **2**, 353 (1978).
9. P. Kumar and S.S. Dara, *Chemical Era*, **15**, 20 (1979).
10. P. Kumar and J. Proger, *Water Tech.*, **13**, 353 (1980).
11. P. Kumar, *J. Agric. Waste*, **4**, 213 (1982).
12. P.J. Sondawale, Ph.D. Thesis, Amravati University, Amravati (2001).
13. K.J. Low, C.K. Lee and S.C. Liew, *Process Biochem.*, **36**, 1, 5, 59, 64 (2000).
14. C.A. Burns, P.J. Casi, J.H. Harding and R.S. Crawford, *Colloid and Surface, A: Physico-Chemical and Engg. Aspects*, **155**, 63 (1999).

(Received: 24 October 2003; Accepted: 05 January 2004)

AJC-3324

**ENZYMES, COENZYMES & METABOLIC PATHWAYS
(GORDON RESEARCH CONFERENCE)**

MERIDEN, NH, USA

JULY 18–23, 2004

Contact:

<http://www.grc.uri.edu/04sched.htm>

**ELECTRONIC PROCESSES IN ORGANIC MATERIALS
(GORDON RESEARCH CONFERENCE)**

SOUTH HADLEY, MA, USA

JULY 25–30, 2004

Contact:

<http://www.grc.uri.edu/04sched.htm>