Asian Journal of Chemistry

Vol. 20, No. 8 (2008), 6259-6262

Estimation of Heat of Formation (ΔH_f) for Hydroxy-Terminated Polybutadiene

FARIDEH GOURANLOU Department of Material Science, Malek-Ashtar University, Lavizan, Tehran, Iran E-mail: faridehgouranlou@gmail.com

> For estimation of heat of formation (ΔH_f) of hydroxyterminated polybutadiene (HTPB), MNDO program was used. MNDO has restrictions in calculation large molecules, so we calculated ΔH_f for segments and estimated it for mean chain of HTPB.

> Key Words: Hydroxy-terminated polybutadiene, Heat of formation (ΔH_f), Polymer chain.

INTRODUCTION

Heat of formation (ΔH_f) is an important thermodyamical property which gives the stability of materials. For calculating of this property, differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) was used. But for polymers, because they haven't sharp melting point and the results of DSC is incorrect^{1,2}. For determination of ΔH_f , the mechanism of polymer synthesis should be known. As shown below, polymerization of 1,3-butadiene³ has three steps:

Initiation step: The H_2O_2 is turned to OH radical by heat or light as show below:

$$H_2O_2 \xrightarrow{hv \text{ or } \Delta} 2HO'$$

OH radical can attack to monomer (1,3-butadiene) to make monomer radical:





6260 Gouranlou

Asian J. Chem.



RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In propagation step, the more radicals can react together. First of all, we calculated saturated hydrocarbon (homolog of methane) to determine accuracy of MNDO calculations. Then, the segments of HTPB were calculated by MNDO⁴. For simplicity, we use T for *trans* and C for *cis* and V for vinyl structure. The result of MNDO calculation for hydrocarbons and HTPB (monomer, dimmer, trimmer, tetramer and so on) are shown in Tables 1 and 2.

TABLE-1 HEATS OF FORMATION OF IN TANDEM HOMOLOGUES OF METHYLENE

| Formula | Calculated ΔH_f (Kcal/mol) by | Observed ΔH_f (Kcal/mol) from | Difference of ΔH_{f} |
|----------------|--|--|------------------------------|
| | MNDO | CRC | for two homolog* |
| CH_4 | -11.95 | -17.889 | -7.77 |
| C_2H_6 | -19.72 | -20.239 | -5.22 |
| C_3H_8 | -24.94 | -24.820 | -4.76 |
| C_4H_{10} | -29.80 | -29.812 | -4.70 |
| $C_{5}H_{12}$ | -34.42 | -35.000 | -4.70 |
| $C_{6}H_{14}$ | -39.13 | -39.960 | -4.70 |
| $C_7 H_{16}$ | -43.84 | -44.890 | -4.70 |
| C_8H_{18} | -48.56 | -49.820 | -4.70 |
| C_9H_{20} | -53.26 | -54.740 | -4.70 |
| $C_{10}H_{22}$ | -57.98 | -59.670 | -4.70 |
| $C_{11}H_{24}$ | -62.69 | -64.600 | -4.70 |

*Difference between heats of formation of in tandem homologues of methylene that are calculated by MNDO.

Difference between heats of formation (H_f) of in tandem homologues of methylene in CRC tables is -4.96 Kcal/mol. This is very close to differences of H_f for methylene^{5,6} that are calculated by MNDO. For determination of H_f for undecane as a material with unknown H_f , we estimated that butane (C_4H_{10}) has theoretical H_f (calculated by MNDO) -29.8 Kcal/mol and the differences between heats of formations of C_5H_{12} and C_4H_{10} is -4.7 so as eqn. 1:

$$H_{f} = (F \times G) + B \tag{1}$$

Vol. 20, No. 8 (2008)

Estimation of ΔH_f for Hydroxy-Terminated Polybutadiene 6261

| Molecules | Abbreviation | Heat of formation (Kcal/mol) |
|---|--------------|------------------------------------|
| Н3С ОН | Т | -47.74 |
| ндс | TT | -43.18 |
| Н ₃ С ОН | TTT | -37.17 |
| H ₃ COH | С | -46.52 |
| H ₃ C OH | CC | -40.28 |
| Н ₃ С ОН | CCC | -32.35 |
| H ₂ C OH | V | -42.49 |
| CH ₂ OH | VV | -28.26 |
| CH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₂ | VVV | -7.87 |

TABLE-2 HEAT OF FORMATION OF *cis*, *trans* AND VINYL SEGMENTS OF 1,3-BUTADIENE BY MNDO

where F = difference between heats of formations of two in tandem from butane to undecane and its value is -4.7 Kcal /mol, G = number of molecules between butane and undecane, B = heat of formation of butane.

 $Hf = [7 \times (-4.7)] + (-29.812)$

= -62.72 Kcal/mol

For calculating of error per cent in calculating of heat of formation, we subtract heat of formation of undecane (that shown in CRC tables) from heat of formation of it (calculated by MNDO). Then the result must be divided by heat of formation of undecane (calculated by MNDO), as shown below:

% Error = -64.60 + 62.69/62.69 × 100 = 3.047 % 6262 Gouranlou

Conclusion

In HTPB, we have three structures for chain polymer with double bonds. Some of them are *cis*, *trans* or vinyl and per cent of them isn't same. So, we calculated the heat of formation for monomer (1,3-butadiene), dimmer and trimmer. Because MNDO program can calculate 35 atoms (Table-2). So difference between monomer-dimmer, dimmer-trimmer, that have *cis*, *trans* or vinyl, will be calculated and then multiple it in polymerization degree of HTPB (43.1), then result must be added to heat of formation of monomer(1,3-butadiene). By using eqn. 1:

$$H_{f} = (F \times G) + B$$

where F = number of *cis* isomer in one mean chain of HTPB, G = polymerization degree of HTPB, B = heat of formation for *cis* isomer of monomer (1,3-butadiene).

For *cis* isomer: $H_f = (F \times G) + B$ = (-7.09 × 43.1) + (-46.52) = -352.88 Kcal/mol For trans isomer: $H_f = (F \times G) + B$

$$= (-5.29 \times 43.1) + (-47.74) = -276.3 \text{ Kcal/mol}$$

For vinyl isomer: $H_f = (F \times G) + B$

 $= (-17.31 \times 43.1) + (-42.49) = -790.46$ Kcal/mol In a chain of HTPB polymer, the percent of *cis*, *trans* and vinyl is 57.5, 24.72 and 17.78, respectively. So the heat of formation of a chain of HTPB is the sum of the heat of formations for each isomer that multiplied by its per cent.

Heat of formation of HTPB = $(H_f cis \times cis \%) + (H_f trans \times trans \%) + (H_f vinyl \times vinyl \%)$

 $= (-276.3 \times 0.575) + (-352.88 \times 0.2472) + (-790.45 \times 0.1778)$ = -386.65 Kcal/mol

Heat of formation of HTPB in literature was calculated for one individual sample is 388.15 Kcal/mol.

REFERENCES

- 1. S.F. Reed (Jr.), J. Polym. Sci., 11, 305 (1973).
- 2. T.I. Min, T. Miyomoto and H. Inagaki, Rubber Chem. Technol., 50, 63 (1977).
- 3. M. Ramarao, K.J. Scarich, P.V. Ravindran, G. Chandrasekharan, S. Alwan and K.S. Sastri, *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.*, **49**, 435 (1993).
- 4. www.uah.edu/research/PRC/resumes/
- 5. 2nd International Bhurban Conference on Applied Sciences and Technology June, 16-21 (2003).
- G.T. Gray III and W.R. Blumental, in eds.: H. Kuhn and D.Medlin, Split-Hopkinson Pressure Bar Testing of Soft Materials, in ASM Handbook, ASM International, Metals Park, Oh; Vol. 8, pp. 488-496 (2000).