Synthesis and Characterization of Nickel(II) and Copper(II) Complexes of the Schiff Bases Derived from 4-/6-Methyl- Δ^3 -tetrahydrobenzaldehyde and Glycine

ERSIN GÜLER*, SEREF ERTUL, AHMET OKUDAN

and ÖZCAN KOÇYIGIT Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science and Arts, Selcuk University 42075 Kampüs Selçuklu, Konya, Turkey E-mail: eguler66@gmail.com; eguler@selcuk.edu.tr

Nickel(II) and copper(II) complexes with the Schiff bases derived from 4-methyl- Δ^3 -tetrahydrobenzaldehyde or 6-methyl- Δ^3 -tetrahydrobenzaldehyde and glycine were synthesized. These compounds have been characterized by elemental analysis, conductivity measurements and infrared spectroscopy. The Schiff base ligands and their complexes have been further characterized by ¹H NMR. The results suggest that the Schiff base acts as a bidentate ligand which bonds to the metal ions through the imino nitrogen and carboxylate oxygen. The potassium salts of the Schiff bases are 1:1 electrolytes, but all the complexes are non-electrolytes.

Key Words: Schiff bases, Glycine, Ni(II) and Cu(II) Complexes, Conductivity.

INTRODUCTION

Schiff base derivatives of 2-chlorobenzaldehyde and glycine and Δ^3 -tetrahydrobenzaldehyde or 2-methyl- Δ^3 -tetrahydrobenzaldehyde and glycine and their metal ligand complexes have been studied previously and their structures were characterized¹⁻³. In these works, the complex formation constants, the availability as carries for ligand membrane transport and their reaction properties and mechanisms with epoxy compounds of the Schiff bases were investigated in detail. In addition, these Schiff bases which may be obtained from the extraction of some lipids. In this paper we present the synthesis and characterization of the Schiff bases derived from 4-methyl- Δ^3 -tetrahydrobenzaldehyde or 6-methyl- Δ^3 -tetrahydrobenzaldehyde in detail. In and copper(II).

1534 Güler et al.

Asian J. Chem.



Fig. 1. Structure of the potassium salts of the ligands, KL¹·H₂O and KL²·H₂O

EXPERIMENTAL

All chemicals used in this work were of reagent grade. The metal chlorides and glycine were purchased from Sigma Chemical Company. 4-Methyl- Δ^3 -tetrahydrobenzaldehyde and 6-methyl- Δ^3 -tetrahydrobenzaldehyde were prepared according to published methods⁴.

Melting points were measured on a Gallenkamp melting point apparatus. Elemental analysis were performed by Tübitak Laboratory (Gebze, Kocaeli). ¹H NMR analysis were performed by M.E.T.U. Laboratory (Ankara, Turkey). Infrared spectra were recorded in KBr pellets and Perkin Elmer Model 1605 FTIR spectrometer in the range 4000-400 cm⁻¹. All conductivity measurement were performed in methanol using a Shanghai DDS-11A apparatus at 25 °C. The concentration of the solution was 1.0×10^{-4} mol L⁻¹. The magnetic moments of the complexes were measured by to the Gouy method with a Newport Instruments type D-104 magnet power supply (293 K). The copper content was determined by iodometry⁵ and the nickel content as dimethylglyoximato-nickel⁶ by gravimetric analysis. H₂O analysis data were obtained using a TAS-100 thermoanalysis instrument.

Synthesis of the ligands

N-(4-Methyl)-Δ³-tetrahydrobenzylideneglycinatopotassium monohydrate salt (KL¹·H₂O)^{1,2}: An ethanolic solution (60 mL) of glycine (1.50 g, 20 mmol) and potassium hydroxide (1.12 g, 20 mmol) was stirred magnetically at room temperature for 1 h and then filtered. The colourless solution of potassium glycinate was added dropwise to an ethanolic solution (60 mL) of 4-methyl-Δ³-tetrahydrobenzaldehyde (2.48 g, 20 mmol). The mixture was stirred magnetically at room temperature for 1 h. A white solid particles appeared in the solution and precipitation was gradually increased by stirring. The resulting white solid product was filtered, washed with 95 % ethanol and dried in a vacuum desiccator. Yield *ca.* **3.47 g (73 %).** Vol. 20, No. 2 (2008)

N-(6-Methyl)-\Delta^3-tetrahydrobenzylideneglycinatopotassium monohydrate salt (KL¹·H₂O)^{1,2}: An ethanolic solution (60 mL) of glycine (1.50 g, 20 mmol) and potassium hydroxide (1.12 g, 20 mmol) was stirred magnetically at room temperature for 1 h and then filtered. The colourless solution of potassium glycinate was added dropwise to an ethanolic solution (60 mL) of 6-methyl- Δ^3 -tetrahydrobenzaldehyde (2.48 g, 20 mmol). The mixture was stirred magnetically at room temperature for 1 h. A white solid particles appeared in the solution and precipitation was gradually increased by stirring. The resulting white solid product was filtered, washed with 95% ethanol and dried in a vacuum desiccator. Yield *ca.* 3.35 g (70 %).

Preparation of metal complexes

NiL¹₂·**6H**²**O and CuL**¹₂·**2H**₂**O:** An ethanolic solution (60 mL) of nickel(II) chloride (2.378 g, 10 mmol) or copper(II) chloride (1.706 g, 10 mmol) was mixed with KL¹ (4.74 g, 20 mmol) in 1:2 molar ratio. The mixture was stirred magnetically at room temperature for 2 h and then concetrated to about 10 mL at 65-70 °C in a water bath. Addition of 5 mL distilled water to the concentrated reaction mixture precipitated green or blue products, respectively. The precipitate was collected by filtration, washed with diethylether then 95 % ethanol and dried in a vacuum desiccator. The yields of the Ni and Cu complexes were 3.85 g (73 %) and 4.02 g (76 %), respectively.

NiL²₂·6H₂O and CuL²₂·2H₂O: The reactions were performed in a analogous manner as the preparation of the complexes of L¹; the yields of the Ni and Cu were 1.86 g (81 %) and 2.11 g (80 %), respectively. The yields of the Ni and Cu were 4.10 g (78 %) and 3.40 g (74 %), respectively.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The elemental analysis (Table-1) are in agreement with the chemical formulas of the compounds. Molar conductivities in methanol (Table-1) indicate that the Schiff base potassium salts are 1:1 electrolytes, while all the complexes behave as non-electrolytes. The infrared spectra of the potassium salts of the Schiff base ligands and their complexes (Table-2) provide insight into the mode of bonding of the ligands to the metal ions. The strong and broad absorption in the region 3350-3300 cm⁻¹ of all the compounds substantiates the presence of water. The coordination of the imino nitrogen to the metal ion may be inferred from the shift of v(C=N) from 1640 to 1625 cm⁻¹.

This is in agreement with the results of Nakamoto *et al.*⁷. These authors showed that for selected metal complexes of glycine in the same physical state the asymmetrical carboxylate stretching frequency increased

1536 Güler et al.

Asian J. Chem.

| ANALYTICAL DATA AND SOME PHYSICAL PROPERTIES OF COMPOUNDS | | | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|--------|--------|---------|---|--|
| Compounds | Yield (%) / m.p. (°C) | µ _{eff} (BM) / (colour) | Found (calcd.) % | | | | ohm ⁻¹ ol ⁻¹) | |
| (m.f.) | | | С | Н | Ν | М | Cond. (cm ² m | |
| $L^{1}KH_{2}O$ | 73 | _ | 50.85 | 6.58 | 6.10 | | 01.62 | |
| $(C_{10}H_{16}NO_{3}K)$ | (>300) | (White) | (50.63) | (6.75) | (5.90) | _ | 91.62 | |
| $NiL_{2}^{1}6H_{2}O$ | 73 | Diamagnetic | 45.75 | 7.67 | 5.61 | 11.45 | 45 554 | |
| $(C_{20}H_{40}N_2O_{10}Ni)$ | (>300) | (Green) | (Green) (45.57) (7. | | (5.32) | (11.15) | 5.54 | |
| $CuL_{2}^{1}H_{2}O$ | 76 | 1.69 | 52.44 | 7.28 | 5.84 | 14.01 | 561 | |
| $(C_{20}H_{32}N_2O_6Cu)$ | (>300) | (Blue) | (52.23) | (6.96) | (6.09) | (13.82) | 3.04 | |
| $L^{2}KH_{2}O$ | 70 | _ | 50.78 | 6.53 | 6.05 | | - 91.71 | |
| $(C_{10}H_{16}NO_{3}K)$ | (>300) | (White) | (50.63) | (6.75) | (5.90) | _ | | |
| $NiL^{2}_{2}GH_{2}O$ | 78 | Diamag. | 45.82 | 7.71 | 5.58 | 11.34 | 5 5 5 | |
| $(C_{20}H_{40}N_2O_{10}Ni)$ | (>300) | (Green) | (45.57) | (7.59) | (5.32) | (11.15) | 5.55 | |
| $CuL_2^2 H_2O$ | 74 | 1.66 | 52.32 | 7.22 | 5.91 | 13.98 | 5 62 | |
| $(C_{20}H_{32}N_2O_6Cu)$ | (>300) | (Blue) | (52.23) | (6.96) | (6.09) | (13.82) | 5.02 | |

TABLE-1

TABLE-2 INFRARED SPECTRAL DATA (cm⁻¹) OF THE COMPOUNDS

| | | × , | | | |
|---|-------------|--------|---------------|----------------------|--|
| Compounds | $\nu(H_2O)$ | v(C=N) | $v_{as}(COO)$ | ν _s (COO) | |
| L ¹ K ¹ H ₂ O | 3320 m, br | 1640 s | 1595 s | 1395 m | |
| $L^2 K H_2 O$ | 3320 m, br | 1640 s | 1595 s | 1395 m | |
| $CuL_{2}^{1}H_{2}O$ | 3330 w, br | 1625 s | 1595 s | 1380 s | |
| $NiL_{2}^{1}6H_{2}O$ | 3325 w, br | 1620 s | 1600 s, br | 1385 m | |
| $CuL_2^2 H_2O$ | 3325 w, br | 1625 s | 1600 s | 1385 s | |
| NiL ² ₂ 6H ₂ O | 3335 w, br | 1625 s | 1600 s, br | 1380 m | |
| | | | | | |

while the symmetric one decreased in metal complexes. One may infer that the carboxylate oxygen takes part in coordination according to the changes of $v_{as}(COO)$ and $v_s(COO)$ of these complexes.

¹H NMR Spectra of the Schiff base ligands and complexes: The ¹H NMR spectra of the synthesized ligand and metal complexes are given in Table-3. When the ¹H NMR spectra of KL¹ and KL² are compared, the spectra are quite similar to each other. The peak seen at 8.25 ppm belongs to the protons in the CH=N groups and those at 4.15 ppm belong to the CH₂ groups. Morever, the peaks for the CH₃ group of ligands were observed at 0.95 ppm.

Vol. 20, No. 2 (2008)

Ni(II) and Cu(II) Complexes of Schiff Bases 1537

TABLE-3 SOME SELECTED CHEMICAL SHIFT SIGNAL DATA (DMSO) IN ¹H NMR SPECTRA

| Compd. | Chemical shift (δ ppm) |
|----------------------|--|
| L^1KH_2O | 8.25 (CH=N, 1H, s); 4.15 (CH ₂ , 2H, s); 0.95 (CH ₃ , 3H, s) |
| $L^2 K H_2 O$ | 8.25 (CH=N, 1H, s); 4.10 (CH ₂ , 2H, s); 0.95 (CH ₃ , 3H, d, <i>J</i> =5.5 Hz) |
| $NiL_{2}^{1}6H_{2}O$ | 8.70 (CH=N, 2H, s); 4.85 (CH ₂ , 4H, s); 1.00 (CH ₃ , 6H, s) |
| $NiL_{2}^{2}6H_{2}O$ | 8.75 (CH=N, 2H, s); 4.80 (CH ₂ , 4H, s); 0.95 (CH ₃ , 6H, d, <i>J</i> =6.0Hz) |

When the ¹H NMR spectra of the complexes are compared with those of the ligands, the observed peaks for the ligands, generally, were shifted to lower field. This shift indicates that the complex formation take place. However, the largest shifts were observed for the CH=N and CH₂COO⁻ protons. This was expected, because there has been charge transfer from these groups to the metal. When the ¹H NMR spectra of the complexes of Ni(II) with KL² were compared, the CH=N and CH₂COO⁻ protons were shifted by 0.45 and 0.50 ppm, respectively.

The mononuclear complexes of Ni(II) are diamagnetic as expected for a d⁸ metal in a square-planar field⁸⁻¹¹. The magnetic moments of the mononuclear complexes of Cu(II) are about 1.65-1.68 BM, which is comparable with values reported for slightly distorted square-planar copper(II) complexes¹².

The obtained results are in agreement with our previous work¹ and Zhang's study². Therefore, the data in the literature are strongly supportive of the obtained results.



Fig. 2. Structure of the Ni(II) and Cu(II) complexes of the ligands L^1 and L^2 (n = 6 for M = Ni and n = 2 for M = Cu) 1538 Güler et al.

Asian J. Chem.

Structure of the metal complexes: From the above discussion, it is seen that the metal ions in the complexes are bonded to the ligands through the imino nitrogen and carboxylate oxygen atoms. As the complexes are presumed to be tetra-coordinate, the proposed coordination modes⁸ are shown in Fig. 2. The water molecules are identified by broad O–H absorptions around 3335-3325 cm⁻¹ in the IR spectra of the complexes and the bands disappeared on heating at 110 °C for 6 h. Thus, the water molecules are not directly coordinated to the central metal atom but held in the crystal lattice of the complexes¹³.

REFERENCES

- E. Güler, H.C. Sevindir, S. Kurbanov and R. Mirzaoglu, Synth. React. Inorg. Met.-Org. Chem., 28, 295 (1998).
- W. Zhang, W. Zishen, Y. Zhenhuan, L. Zhifong and Z. Xinde, Synth. React. Inorg. Met.-Org. Chem., 24, 1453 (1994).
- S.A. Ibrahim, A.M. Shaker, N.M. Ismail and M.R. Mahmoud, Synth. React. Inorg. Met.-Org. Chem., 24, 1537 (1994).
- 4. H.A. Chayanov, J. Org. Chem., 8, 460 (1938).
- 5. A. Usanmaz, Quantitative Analytical Chemistry, Middle East Technical University Press, Ankara, p. 471 (1991).
- 6. A. Usanmaz, Quantitative Analytical Chemistry, Middle East Technical University Press, Ankara, p. 479 (1991).
- 7. K. Nakamoto, Infra-red and Raman Spectra of Inorganic and Coordination Compounds, John Wiley and Sons, edn. 3 (1978).
- 8. H.C. Sevindir, R. Mirzaoglu, E. Özcan, S. Ertul and E. Güler, *Synth. React. Inorg. Met.-Org. Chem.*, **24**, 613 (1994).
- 9. I. Karatas and G. Irez, Macromolec. Rep., A30, 241 (1993).
- 10. B. Mercimek and G. Irez, Macromolec. Rep., A32, 147 (1995).
- 11. E. Ozcan and R. Mirzaoglu, Synth. React. Inorg. Met.-Org. Chem., 13, 781 (1983).
- 12. V. Ahsen, F. Gokceli and Ö. Bekaroglu, J. Chem. Soc. Dalton. Trans., 1827 (1987).
- 13. M. Yilmaz, Synth. React. Inorg. Met.-Org. Chem., 28, 1759 (1998).

(Received: 13 April 2007; Accepted: 10 October 2007) AJC-6003