Asian Journal of Chemistry

# Thermal Properties and Electrical Conductivity of Pure In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and Doped with ZrO<sub>2</sub>

Z.M. HANAFI, F.M. ISMAIL, A.K. MOHAMED\* and F.F. HAMMAD National Research Center, Dokki, Cairo, Egypt E-mail: saydatris@yahoo.com

The thermal properties (TGA, DTA) and AC electrical conductivity of pure In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and ZrO<sub>2</sub> doped In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> have been studied. The dopants ratio were 1, 3, 5, 8 and 10 mol % of ZrO<sub>2</sub>. The AC conductivity as a function of temperature was measured in the temperature range 298-753 K at a frequency 20 KHz. The plots of log  $\sigma(\Omega^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1})$  vs. 1/T (K<sup>-1</sup>) showed that all curves have three parts and the activation energies for conduction were calculated.

Key Words: TGA, DTA, ZrO<sub>2</sub>, Doped In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, Electrical properties.

## **INTRODUCTION**

Hanafi *et al.*<sup>1</sup> measured the electrical conductivity of  $In_2O_3$  prepared from  $In(OH)_3$  and  $In(NO_3)_3$  at 450, 650 and 850 °C. The results indicated that the sample which prepared from  $In(OH)_3$  at 850 °C for 3 h is the most stoichiometric one.

The effect of doping of Ti, Nb, Ta, W, or Ge on the electrical conductivity of  $In_2O_3$  single crystal is negligible while Zr and Hf have a strong effect, these results were reported by Kani<sup>2</sup>. Qadri *et al.*<sup>3</sup> studied  $In_2O_3$  doped transparent conducting oxides of ZrO<sub>2</sub> and studied the electrical conductivity of ZrO<sub>2</sub> doped  $In_2O_3$ , the ratio of ZrO<sub>2</sub> ranges from 0-15 mol %<sup>4</sup>.

TGA and DTA analyses of standard  $In_2O_3$  were studied by different authors<sup>5-9</sup>. Comparatively less work concerning the thermal properties and AC electrical conductivity of  $In_2O_3$  and  $ZrO_2$  doped  $In_2O_3$  was found in the literature. Thus, it is worthwhile to study the effect of  $ZrO_2$  on  $In_2O_3$ .

## **EXPERIMENTAL**

Pure  $In_2O_3$  was prepared by the method stated by Hanafi *et al.*<sup>1</sup> and those doped with different concentrations of  $ZrO_2$  additives (1, 3, 5, 8 and 10 mol %) were prepared according to the method described by Kiyoyoshi and Kenji<sup>10</sup>. Table-1 shows the different samples of  $ZrO_2$  doped  $In_2O_3$  respectively, with the standard  $In_2O_3$  sample (undoped).

All samples were sieved through 0.2 mesh and subjected to XRD, IR, TGA and DTA analyses. The discs required to AC electrical conductivity measurements were agglomerated by compressing 2 g of powder under a pressure of 10 ton/cm<sup>2</sup>. It may

2920 Hanafi et al.

Asian J. Chem.

UNDOPED In <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> AND ZrO <sub>2</sub> DOPED In <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>			
Samples	Samples		
$(In_2O_3)_{1-x}(ZrO_2)_x$	$x = 5 \text{ mol } \% \text{ ZrO}_2$		
standard In <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	$x = 8 \mod \% ZrO_2$		
$x = 1 \mod \% \operatorname{ZrO}_2$	$x = 10 \text{ mol } \% \text{ ZrO}_2$		
$x = 3 \mod \% \operatorname{ZrO}_2$			

TABLE-1

be noted that the thickness, surface area of the disc and pressure for all samples were constant. The measurements were taken at a frequency 20 KHz and temperature ranging from 25-480 °C using the circuit as described<sup>11</sup>.

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Fig. 1a shows the thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) of pure  $In_2O_3$  and  $ZrO_2$  doped  $In_2O_3$ . A minute loss in weight over range 25-100 °C was detected. This may be due to water elimination. These results in comfirmity with Lehmann *et al.*<sup>12</sup>. Generally, all prepared samples did not show any change in weight up to *ca.* 600 °C. Fig. 1b represents the differential thermal analyses DTA curves of the prepared samples. An endothermic peak or plateau in the temperature less than 100 °C are observed in the samples. This may correspond to the water elimination observed in TGA measurements.

It must be mentioned here that a small exothermic peak at *ca*. 303 °C was observed in sample contains 10 mol %. This sample may contains excess  $ZrO_2$  in the tetragonal form which changes to monoclinic modification at *ca*. 303 °C. This assumption is in agreement with that obtained by Komissarova *et al.*<sup>13</sup>.

Weiher<sup>14,15</sup> found that  $In_2O_3$  has an extrinsic conductivity in the temperature range from room temperature up to 800 °C. For this reason, the conductivity measurements were carried out over the temperature range from 25 °C up to 480 °C to detect only the role of imperfections on the electrical measurements.



Fig. 1a. Thermogravimetric analysis of  $ZrO_2$ -doped  $In_2O_3$  samples: (1) Undoped  $In_2O_3$  (standard) (2) 1 mol %  $ZrO_2$  (3) 3 mol %  $ZrO_2$ (4) 5 mol %  $ZrO_2$  (5) 8 mol %  $ZrO_2$  (6) 10 mol %  $ZrO_2$ 







Fig. 2 can be divided into three regions I, II and III. In region I,  $In_2O_3$  (undoped) behaves as a semiconductor whereas the electrical conductivity values increased continuously with temperature. In region II exhibits minimum in the curve *i.e.* a negative temperature coefficient of conductivity was observed. After the previous behaviour  $In_2O_3$  exhibits the semi conducting properties region III.



Fig. 2. Electrical conductivity of undoped In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> as a function of temperature

2922 Hanafi et al.

Asian J. Chem.

It is of great interest to state that the negative temperature behaviour disappeared when pure  $In_2O_3$  cooled after heating and immediately subjected to a second and third run<sup>8</sup>.

In general, by comparison the general feature of all samples Fig. 3 it can be seen that the curves can be divided also into three regions I, II and III.

In the region I the curves consists of two or three distinct linear parts separated by Knee. It is clear from Table-2 which represents the variation of Knee temperature with concentration of the dopant.

$\mathbf{K} \mathbf{V} \mathbf{L} \mathbf{L} \mathbf{V} \mathbf{L} \mathbf{V} \mathbf{L} \mathbf{V} \mathbf{L} \mathbf{U} \mathbf{L} \mathbf{V} \mathbf{L} \mathbf{U} \mathbf{L} \mathbf{U} \mathbf{U} \mathbf{U} \mathbf{U} \mathbf{U} \mathbf{U} \mathbf{U} U$					
Sample		Knee temperature			
Pure In <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	69	51	-		
1 mol % ZrO <sub>2</sub>	187	135	-		
3 mol % ZrO <sub>2</sub>	110	76	-		
5 mol % ZrO <sub>2</sub>	215	108	57		
8 mol % ZrO <sub>2</sub>	218	115	55		
10 mol % ZrO <sub>2</sub>	174	135	78		

 TABLE-2

 KNEE TEMPERATURE VALUES FOR PURE In O. AND ZrO. DOPED In O.

It is clear from Table-2 that the Knee temperature of pure  $In_2O_3$  and 3 mol % dopant have the lowest Knee temperature value which indicate that these samples contains a minimum lattice defect<sup>16</sup>. From the slope of these parts, the activation energies  $\Delta E_1$ ,  $\Delta E_2$  and  $\Delta E_3$  for all samples were calculated and listed in Table-3.

Sample —		$\Delta E ev$	
	Region I		
Pure In <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0.24	0.40	0.89
1 mol % ZrO <sub>2</sub>	0.37	1.23	-
3 mol % ZrO <sub>2</sub>	0.09	0.57	1.09
5 mol % ZrO <sub>2</sub>	0.16	0.55	1.31
8 mol % ZrO <sub>2</sub>	0.12	0.35	1.39
10 mol % ZrO <sub>2</sub>	0.30	0.82	1.60

 TABLE-3

 ACTIVATION ENERGY VALUES OF PURE In2O3 AND ZrO2 DOPED In2O3

The discrepancy between the values of the activation energy obtained in this work and those given by different authors<sup>1,5,14,17-21</sup>. This is due to the purity, nature and thermal history of the specimens used by different investigators. It was assumed that  $In_2O_3$  has an extrinsic behaviour in this region I thus, the deviation may be either due to: (i) Possible movement of impurities in the same relatively disorder region of grain boundaries. (ii) The existence of phase transition which affects the conductivity in this temperature range. (iii) Different concentration of imperfections present.



 $Vol.\ 21, No.\ 4\ (2009) \quad Thermal\ Properties\ \&\ Electrical\ Conductivity\ of\ Pure\ and\ Doped\ In_2O_3\ 2923$ 

Fig. 3:Electrical conductivity of undoped and  $ZrO_2$ -doped  $In_2O_3$  samples as a<br/>function of temperature(O) Undoped  $In_2O_3$  (standard)( $\bigcirc$ ) 1 mol %  $ZrO_2$ ( $\triangle$ ) 3 mol %  $ZrO_2$ ( $\triangle$ ) 5 mol %  $ZrO_2$ ( $\bigcirc$ ) 8 mol %  $ZrO_2$ ( $\bigcirc$ ) 10 mol %  $ZrO_2$ 

2924 Hanafi et al.

It is of great interest to state that the first process is less dominant due to the frequency dispersion method chosen for the conductivity measurements. Further all samples have been subjected to the same conditions.

The decrease of conductivity with increase in temperature was observed in all samples. This phenominum was detected by previous authors which represented by region II it may be attributed to decrease in carrier mobility and/or carrier concentration<sup>1,13</sup>. This decrease in carrier mobility can arises from: (i) A change in the scattering mechanism. (ii) An increase in the carrier effect mass or a combination of both. Qadri *et al.*<sup>4</sup> had found that as the  $ZrO_2$  content increases from 0-15 mol % the electrical resistivities increased, the carrier densities and hall mobilities decreased.

According to previous authors<sup>22,23</sup> this behaviour may be easily explained on the basis of thermal scattering of electron in the lattice. After the anomalous behaviour the conductivity of some samples increases again with temperature region III.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Z.M. Hanafi, F.M. Ismail and S.A. Nasser, *Egypt. J. Phys.*, **8**, 83 (1977).
- 2. Y. Kanai, Japan J. Appl. Phys., 23, 127 (1984); 24, 361 (1985).
- 3. S.B. Qadri, H.R. Khan, E.F. Skelton and P. Lubitz, Surface Coating Technol., 100-101, 94 (1998).
- 4. S.B. Qadri, H. Kim, H.R. Khan, A. Pique and J.S. Horwitz, *Thin Solid Films*, **377-378**, 750 (2000).
- A.E. Soloveva, V.A. Zhadanov, V.L. Markov and R.P. Shvangiradze, *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSR. Neorg. Mater.*, 18, 825 (1982).
- 6. J.H. Dewit, J. Solid State Chem., 8, 142 (1973); 13, 192 (1975); 20, 143 (1977); 25, 101 (1978).
- A.N. Christensen, N.C. Broch, O. von Heidenstam and A. Nilsson, *Acta Chem. Scand.*, 21, 1046 (1967).
- 8. A.E. Soloveva, Ogneuporg, 7, 22 (1987).
- M. Treillenx, G. Fuchs, A.F. Santos, P. Melinon, A. Hoareau and B. Cabaud, *Thin Solid Films*, 19, 127 (1990).
- W. Kiyoyoshi and Y. Kenji, Japan Kokai, Tokkyo Koho Jp. [60, 186, 416, C 85, 186, 416], 21 sep. (1985) Appl. 84/38, 491, 02 Mar (1984).
- 11. Z.M. Hanafi and M.A.Z. Khilla, Phys. Chem., 82, 209 (1972).
- M.S. Lehmann, F.K. Larson, F.R. Poulsen, A.N. Christensen and S.E. Rasmussen, Acta Chem. Scand., 24, 1662 (1970).
- 13. L.N. Komissarova, Y.P. Simanov and Z.A. Valdimirova, Russ. J. Inorg. Chem., 5, 687 (1960).
- 14. R.L. Weiher, J. Appl. Phys., 33, 2834 (1962).
- 15. R.L. Weiher and R.P. Ley, J. Appl. Phys., 37, 299 (1966).
- 16. A.R. Tourky, T.M. Farag and Z.M. Hanafi, Z. Phys. Chem., 227, 145 (1964).
- 17. M. Arvin, J. Phys. Chem. Solids, 23, 1981 (1962).
- 18. H.K. Müller, *Phys. Status Solid*, **27**, 733 (1968).
- 19. J.P. Remeika and E.G. Spencer, J. Appl. Phys., 35, 2803 (1964).
- 20. K. Masahiko, K. Hisao, A. Imai and A. Yoshida, J. Appl. Phys., 64, 1902 (1988).
- 21. J.R. Bellingham, W.A. Philips and C.J. Adkins, J. Phys. Condes. Mater., 2, 6207 (1990).
- 22. J. Deren and E. Polaczkowa, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Ser. Sci. Chim., 7, 313 (1959).
- 23. F. Seitz and D. Turnball, Solid State Phys., 11, 15 (1960).