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#### Abstract

The kinetic study of the oxidation of aromatic anil and its five derivatives in presence of oxalic acid by chromic acid in aqueous acetic acid medium has been studied. The reaction involves three electron transfer due to conversion of $\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{VI})$ to $\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{III})$. The cooxidation reveals the first order kinetics for the oxidant $\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{VI})$, fractional orders ( 0.50 ) and ( 0.76 ) for substrate and oxalic acid, respectively. A suitable mechanism and activation parameters have been computed.
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## INTRODUCTION

Aromatic anils have two phenyl rings, one derived from benzaldehyde and another from aniline. We can have substituents in either of two phenyl rings and oxidation study of the compounds have been carried out by chromic acid in presence of oxalic acid. The process is said to be co-oxidation. The oxidation was found to be sluggish in the absence of oxalic acid. But in presence of oxalic acid, the reaction was very effective.

Chromium trioxide dissolves in water accompanying depolymerization ${ }^{1}$ and the resulting solution is a fairly strong $\mathrm{acid}^{2}$. In aqueous solution it gives mainly as $\mathrm{HCrO}_{4}^{-}$which acts as the strong oxidizing species. The conversion of $\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{VI})$ to the stable Cr (III) during the reaction involves three electron transfer. The oxidizing power of $\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{VI})$ is influenced by the substituents in the substrate and the nature of the reaction medium. Oxidation of olefins ${ }^{3,4}$, alcohols ${ }^{5-15}$, malachite green ${ }^{16}$ by $\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{VI})$ have been studied. The cooxidation of peperidin 4 -ol ${ }^{17}$ and anilides ${ }^{18}$ have also been carried out by chromic acid in presence of oxalic acid. The present study devoted to the kinetics of cooxidation of aromatic anils with chromium(VI) in presence of oxalic acid in aqueous acetic acid medium.

## EXPERIMENTAL

The benzylideneaniline (BA) and the substituted anils in the ring (B) given below were prepared by standard methods ${ }^{19,20}$.

[^0]Benzylidene aniline (BA)
p-Methylbenzylidene aniline
p-Methoxybenzylidene aniline
$p$-Chlorobenzylidene aniline
$p$-Nitrobenzylidene aniline
$m$-Nitrobenzylidene aniline


The purity of the compounds was checked by IR and TLC studies and comparing the physical constants. All the kinetic measurements were carried out in double distilled water and followed by iodometric titrations. The pseudo first order rate constants were calculated and the activation energies were obtained graphically by plotting $\log \mathrm{k}_{2}$ versus $1 / \mathrm{T}$

Product analysis: Anil ( 0.1 ) M, oxalic acid ( 0.1 ) $\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{CrO}_{3}(0.01) \mathrm{M}$ were taken in $50 \%$ aqueous acetic acid medium in well cleaned stoppered bottle. The reaction was allowed for 12 h at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for completion. The products were extracted using ether, separated confirmed by TLC and chemical analysis. The compounds were identified azobenzene and benzaldehyde.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The oxidation of anils by $\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{VI})$ in presence of oxalic acid in aqueous acetic acid medium at constant ionic strength was carried out. The oxidation reaction showed the following features:
(i) The oxidation of benzylidene aniline (BA) showed first order with respect to $\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{VI})$ under the condition of [anil] $\gg[\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{VI})]$ revealed by the linear plot of log ( $\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{x}$ ) versus time (Table-1).

TABLE-1
$[B A]=2 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M} ;$ Solvent $=50 \% \mathrm{HOAc} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}) ;\left[\mathrm{OxH}_{2}\right]=4 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$
$[\mathrm{NaCl}]=0.2 \mathrm{M}[\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{VI})]=4 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{M}$, Temp. $=30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

| Time $(\mathrm{s})$ | $(\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{x}) \times 10^{3} \mathrm{M}$ | $\mathrm{k}_{1} \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~S}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 300 | 1.296 |  |
| 600 | 1.180 |  |
| 900 | 1.083 | 2.4438 |
| 1200 | 0.967 |  |
| 1800 | 0.791 |  |
| 2400 | 0.726 |  |
| 3000 | 0.652 |  |
| 3600 | 0.578 |  |

(ii) The order of reaction at different concentration of BA at constant ionic strength follows fractional order with respect to substrate (Table-2).
(iii) The order of cooxidation reaction with respect to oxalic acid from $\left(1.0 \times 10^{-3}\right.$ to $\left.6.0 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}\right)$ at constant $[\mathrm{BA}]$ and $\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{VI})$ showed the fractional order $(0.76)$ (Table-3).

TABLE-2
Solvent: $50 \% \mathrm{HOAc} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$;
$\left[\mathrm{OxH}_{2}\right]=4 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M} ;[\mathrm{NaCl}]=0.2 \mathrm{M}$;
$[\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{VI})]=4 \times 10^{-4} ; \mathrm{Temp} .=30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

| $[\mathrm{BA}] \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$ | $\mathrm{k}_{1} \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1.788 |
| 2 | 2.443 |
| 3 | 3.024 |
| 4 | 3.522 |
| 5 | 3.928 |
| 6 | 4.314 |

TABLE-3
[BA] $=2 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$; Solvent: $50 \%$
$\mathrm{HOAc} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}) ;[\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{VI})]=4 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{M}$;
Temp. $=30^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\mathrm{NaCl}]=0.2 \mathrm{M}$

| $\left[\mathrm{OxH}_{2}\right] \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$ | $\mathrm{k}_{1} \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.876 |
| 2 | 1.343 |
| 3 | 1.828 |
| 4 | 2.443 |
| 5 | 3.003 |
| 6 | 3.329 |

(iv) The various changes of percentage of AcOH medium under constant concentration of all the reagents, revealed no appreaciable change in the rates of oxidation (Table-4).

TABLE-4
$[\mathrm{BA}]=2 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M} ;[\mathrm{NaCl}]=0.2 \mathrm{M} ;\left[\mathrm{OxH}_{2}\right]=4 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$;
Temp. $=30^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{VI})]=4 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{M}$

| Solvent composition |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HOAc | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ | $\mathrm{k}_{1} \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| 25 | 75 | 2.014 |
| 30 | 70 | 2.234 |
| 35 | 65 | 2.342 |
| 40 | 60 | 2.371 |
| 45 | 55 | 2.404 |

(v) The kinetic study on the effect of changing ionic strength of the medium $\left(0-2.0 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{M}\right)$ during the cooxidation at constant condition of the other reagents showed, no considerable changes in the rates (Table-5).

TABLE-5
$[B A]=2 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$; Solvent $=50 \% \mathrm{HOAc} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$;
$\left[\mathrm{OxH}_{2}\right]=4 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$; Temp. $=30^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{VI})]=4 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{M}$

| $[\mathrm{NaCl}] \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{M}$ | $\mathrm{k}_{1} \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 2.68 |
| 1 | 2.68 |
| 4 | 2.66 |
| 8 | 2.64 |
| 12 | 2.62 |
| 16 | 2.51 |
| 20 | 2.44 |

(vi) The rates of reactions increased linearly with increase in $\left(\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$ions either by adding dilute sulphuric acid $(0.2 \mathrm{M})$ or dilute perchloric acid ( 0.35 M ) (Table-6).

TABLE-6
$[\mathrm{BA}]=2 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$; Solvent $=50 \% \mathrm{HOAc} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}) ;\left[\mathrm{OxH}_{2}\right]=4 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$; $[\mathrm{NaCl}]=0.2 \mathrm{M} ;[\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{VI})]=4 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{M}$; Temp. $=30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

| $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{\mathrm{x10-3}} \mathrm{M}$ | $\mathrm{HClO}_{4}$ <br> $\mathrm{k}_{1} \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ <br> $\mathrm{k}_{1} \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 4.67 | 6.98 |
| 8 | 7.46 | 9.20 |
| 20 | 9.77 | 10.99 |
| 50 | 14.75 | 13.24 |

(vii) Addition of pyridine suppressed the cooxidation reaction which did not reveal as a base catalyzed reaction. The suppression in the rate may be due to intermediate complex formation between (oxalic acid-chromic acid complex) and pyridine (Table-7).
(viii) The activation parameters of the cooxidation of BA were calculated at the reaction temperature between 303 and 323 K (Table-8).

TABLE-7
$[\mathrm{BA}]=2 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$; Solvent $=50 \%$
$\mathrm{HOAc} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}) ;\left[\mathrm{OxH}_{2}\right]=4 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$;
$[\mathrm{NaCl}]=0.2 \mathrm{M} ;[\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{VI})]=4 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{M} ;$
Temp. $=30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

| [Added pyridine] $\times 10^{-2} \mathrm{M}$ | $\mathrm{k}_{1} \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 2.443 |
| 2 | 1.449 |
| 4 | 0.891 |
| 12 | 0.284 |
| 16 | 0.123 |

TABLE-8
$[\mathrm{BA}]=2 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$; Solvent $=50 \%$ $\mathrm{HOAc} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}) ;\left[\mathrm{OxH}_{2}\right]=4 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$; $[\mathrm{NaCl}]=0.2 \mathrm{M} ;[\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{VI})]=4 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{M}$

| Temperature $(\mathrm{K})$ | $\mathrm{k}_{2} \times 10 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 303 | 1.22 |
| 308 | 1.60 |
| 313 | 2.25 |
| 318 | 3.02 |
| 323 | 4.10 |

TABLE-9
ACTIVATION PARAMETER

| Anils | Rate constants <br> $\mathrm{k}_{1} \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ | Ea <br> $\left(\mathrm{KJ} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right)$ | $\Delta \mathrm{S}^{\neq}$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{Jdeg}^{-1} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right)$ | $\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\neq}$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{KJ} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right)$ | $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\neq}$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{KJ} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BA | 2.44 | 50.106 | -99.045 | 47.597 | 80.119 |
| $p-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{BA}$ | 3.10 | 46.597 | -116.913 | 44.087 | 79.511 |
| $p-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ BA | 3.22 | 42.555 | -129.934 | 40.045 | 79.415 |
| $p-\mathrm{Cl} \mathrm{BA}^{2}$ | 2.13 | 53.400 | -97.563 | 50.891 | 80.456 |
| $p-\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ BA | 1.90 | 55.376 | -88.408 | 53.965 | 80.751 |
| $m-\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ BA | 2.05 | 52.204 | -101.909 | 49.693 | 80.555 |

From the above results, it is noted that the electron releasing group increases the rate of the reaction when compared to unsubstituted anil.

When the substituents are present in the benzaldehyde moiety of aromatic anil, the following findings were recognized. (i) The electron releasing group like methyl (or) methoxy present in the p-position of benzaldehyde moiety of the anil enhance the rate of cooxidation. (ii) The chlorine present in $p$-position doesnot bring down the cooxidation rate appreciably. (iii) The nitro group at the $p$-position brings down the rate of cooxidation appreciably.

Based on the present results the rate increases with increasing concentration of oxalic acid. This behaviour is characterstic of the formation of an intermediate complex. Therefore it is assumed that the chromic acid under the reaction conditions forms a complex with oxalic acid.

The fractional order of the oxidation with respect to substrate BA (0.50), first order with respect to oxidant $\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{VI})$ and fractional order (0.76) with respect to oxalic acid have been proved by assuming the following mechanism.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (\mathrm{COOH})_{2}+\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{VI}) \stackrel{\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{1}}}{\rightleftharpoons} \mathrm{C}_{1} \\
& \mathrm{C} 1+\mathrm{BA} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{1}}} \text { Products }
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ is $1: 1$ complex of oxalic acid and chromic acid.

$$
\text { Therefore; } \mathrm{C}_{1}=\frac{\mathrm{K}_{1}[\mathrm{COOH}]_{2}[\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{VI})]_{\mathrm{tot}}}{1+\mathrm{K}_{1}[\mathrm{COOH}]_{2}}
$$

where $[\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{VI})]_{\text {tot }}=[\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{VI})]+\left[\mathrm{C}_{1}\right] ;[\mathrm{BA}] / \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{Exp}}=(1 / \mathrm{k})+(1 / \mathrm{k}) \mathrm{K}_{1}\left[(\mathrm{COOH})_{2}\right]$.
The oxidation of ternary system may correctly be assumed to consist of two independent reactions occurring simultaneously, since the oxidation of anils with $\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{VI})$ was very sluggish in the absence of oxalic acid.

Therefore, the following rate law is proposed.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{-\mathrm{d}[\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{VI})]}{\mathrm{dt}}=\mathrm{k}_{1}[\mathrm{BA}]^{0.50}\left[\mathrm{OxH}_{2}\right]^{0.76}[\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{VI})]+\mathrm{k}_{2}\left[\mathrm{OxH}_{2}\right]^{2}[\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{VI})] \\
& \begin{aligned}
& =\mathrm{k}_{\text {obs }}[\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{VI})] \\
\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{obs}} & =\mathrm{k}_{1}[\mathrm{BA}]^{0.50}\left[\mathrm{OxH}_{2}\right]^{0.76}+\mathrm{k}_{2}\left[\mathrm{OxH}_{2}\right]^{2}
\end{aligned} \\
& \therefore \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{obs}}=\mathrm{k}_{1}[\mathrm{BA}]^{0.50}\left[\mathrm{OxH}_{2}\right]^{0.76} \\
& \frac{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{obs}}}{[\mathrm{BA}]^{0.50}}=\mathrm{k}_{1}\left[\mathrm{OxH}_{2}\right]^{0.76} \\
& \mathrm{OxH}_{2}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CrO}_{4} \stackrel{\mathrm{~K}_{1}}{\rightleftharpoons} \mathrm{C}_{1} \\
& \mathrm{C}_{1}+\mathrm{BA} \stackrel{\mathrm{~K}_{2}}{\rightleftharpoons} \mathrm{C}_{2} \\
& \mathrm{C}_{2} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{k}_{3}} \text { Products } \\
& \text { Rate }=\mathrm{k}_{3}\left[\mathrm{C}_{2}\right]=\mathrm{k}_{\text {obs }}[\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{VI})] \\
& {[\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{VI})]_{\text {tot }}=\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CrO}_{4}\right]+\mathrm{K}_{1}\left[\mathrm{OxH}_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CrO}_{4}\right]+\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{~K}_{1}\left[\mathrm{OxH}_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CrO}_{4}\right][\mathrm{BA}]}
\end{aligned}
$$

Rearranging we get,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{k}_{3}\left[\mathrm{C}_{2}\right] & =\mathrm{k}_{\text {obs }}\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CrO}_{4}\right]\left\{1+\mathrm{K}_{1}\left[\mathrm{OxH}_{2}\right]+\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{~K}_{1}\left[\mathrm{OxH}_{2}\right][\mathrm{BA}]\right\} \\
& =\mathrm{k}_{3} \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{~K}_{1}\left[\mathrm{OxH}_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CrO}_{4}\right][\mathrm{BA}]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\frac{\left[\mathrm{OxH}_{2}\right]}{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{obs}}}=\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{k}_{3} \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{~K}_{1}}+\frac{\left[\mathrm{OxH}_{2}\right]}{\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{~K}_{1}}\right)\left(\frac{1}{[\mathrm{BA}]}+\frac{\left[\mathrm{OxH}_{2}\right]}{\mathrm{k}_{3}}\right)
$$
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