Chemical Compositions of Persian Gulf Water Around The Qeshm Island At Various Seasons

M. PAYEHGHADR* and A. ELIASI[†]

Department of Chemistry, Payame Noor University (PNU), Karaj, Iran E-mail: mpayehghadr@pnu.ac.ir; mahmood_payehghadr@yahoo.com

In this paper, the results of chemical analysis of Persian Gulf water around Qeshm Island in Strait of Hormuz, is reported. The water samples are taken from various beach places around Qeshm Island and at four different seasons for investigation of effects of place and season changes on water compositions. The total dissolved solids (TDS) at 105, 180 and 550 °C were found as 43900-57550, 42507-53500 and 34830-47200 mg/L, respectively. The pH was between 8.01-8.34 and the average density was 1.027 g/mL. Concentration of Ca²⁺, K⁺, Mg²⁺, Na⁺, Sr²⁺, Ni²⁺, Cl⁻, Br⁻, S²⁺, SO₄²⁻ and CO₃²⁻ determined as 316-678, 173-555, 1915-1341, 7646-9002, 5-6, 0.312-0.324, 20855-22720, 0.072-0.078, 575-1020, 1725-3060 and 154-160 mg/L, respectively. Some minor components such as Li, Fe, Zn, Mn, SiO₂, Cu, Zr, Mo, V, Pb, Sn and Al were determined.

Key Words: Qeshm Island, Water chemical analysis, Sea water, Persian Gulf, Strait of Hormuz.

INTRODUCTION

The extraction and recovery of bromine from seawater have been reported¹. In literature²⁻⁵ some scanty data is available on the extent and mechanism of evolution of Br₂. On the other hand, we need to the total composition data of Persian Gulf water for feasibility studies of some important materials manufacturing from sea water design, but the reliable data in literatures is not sufficient in this relation. This project must be done in Qeshm Island for manufacturing of bromine (as original goal), magnesium hydroxide, *etc.* Thus Persian Gulf water around the Qeshm Island must be analyzed for that purpose.

Qeshm Island is the biggest Island in Persian Gulf which covers an area of 1295 km². This island is placed on Strait of Hormoz. The potable water of this island is provided by evaporation and reverse osmosis (RO) systems. The feeds of these systems are provided from Persian Gulf water around of the Qeshm Island. So, the knowledge about chemical compositions of the water is very important for providing potable water. On the other hand, there are more than 70 elements in sea

[†]Department of Chemical Industries Research, Iranian Research Organization for Science and Technology, No. 71, Forsat Street, Enghelab Ave., Tehran, Iran.

Vol. 22, No. 7 (2010)

water and marines among which 9 important elements are Na⁺, Mg²⁺, Ca²⁺, K⁺, Sr²⁺ and Cl⁻, SO₄²⁻, HCO₃⁻ and Br⁻ some of them are valuable for recovery. It is obvious that a feasibility study is necessary before constructing a unit for the recovery. Also, chemical composition of the sea water is one of the most important factors in feasibility study and economic aspects of manufacturing processes for recovery of the mentioned compounds.

In this paper, the chemical analysis of Persian Gulf water around of Qeshm Island is reported and for this goal we have used the AAS, FES, ICP, spectrophotometric, ion selective and classical methods for analysis of water samples⁵⁻⁹. In order to demonstrate the role of season changes on sea water composition around the Island, water samples are taken from different places around of the island at four different seasons for 1 year.

EXPERIMENTAL

Samples are taken in poly(ethylene terephthalate) (PET) bottles with fixed cork sent to laboratory for chemical analysis. The samples were taken from 1.5-2.0 Km distance of beach in about 40 cm depth and were sending to Tehran with airplane as soon as possible. In all cases the samples kept at room temperature. Thus the samples have sent to laboratory about 24 h and have been analyzed at 25-30 h after sampling. Because our goal is the design of some salts and bromine units from sea water, we take the samples from different suitable places. For investigation of seasonal effect, the Tourgan¹ that was a best place for manufacturing unit, have selected.

Method of analysis: Atomic and emission spectrometry are the best methods for analysis. Whenever, high concentration of components of sea water and the variety of its components make serious problems in analysis. Since, the samples must be diluted for analysis and then concentration of some components would be below of detection limit of atomic absorption instrument for desired analysis. Therefore, a combination of atomic absorption spectrometry (AAS) and inductively coupled plasma emission spectrometry (ICP-ES) has been used for chemical analysis. Atomic absorption spectrometry is used for major metallic ion detections by working curve method and minor metallic ions analyzed with AAS by standard addition method. Some of minor cations analyzed with ICP-ES method, too.

Chloride and sulfate anions are analyzed by argentometric titration and gravimetric using barium chloride solution, respectively. Bromide and iodide anions are analyzed with ion-selective electrode (ISE) methods.

Total dissolved solids (TDS) is an important factor for water analysis. This parameter has been measured by evaporation of known volume seawater samples at 105 °C (TDS105), 180 °C (TDS180) and 550 °C (TDS550) in a temperature programmable furnace, by a porcelain crucible. In a typical procedure a porcelain crucible is placed at 550 °C furnace for 1 h and then is weighed after cooling in dessicator (its weight is marked as B). Then a known volume of seawater contains 10-200 mg total dissolved solids is poured in the crucible and is evaporated by

5284 Payehghadr et al.

Asian J. Chem.

water bath till dryness and then the sample is placed at 105 °C furnace for 1 h. The crucible is cooled in dessicator and is weighed. This work is repeated for several times until less than 2 % differences is obtained after two consecutive weighing (its weight is marked as A). Finally, the total dissolved solid (TDS) is obtained from the following relation:

Total dissolved solids =
$$\left[\frac{(A-B)}{V_s}\right] \times 1000$$
 (1)

where, TDS is total dissolved solids in mg/L and V_s is the sample volume in mL. These procedures are repeated at 180 and 550 °C temperatures⁶.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

All of measured quantities and their values are reported in Tables 1-4. The second and the third columns of Table-1 show the values which are related to the samples were taken from beside the beach of Tourgan (Tourgan 1) and about 3 km far from the beach of Tourgan (Tourgan 2), respectively. The reported values show that the chemical compositions of the both samples are nearly the same. Namely, 3 km distance from the beach has not any important effect on chemical composition

| | | | 5110110 |
|-------------------------------|-----------|-----------|---------|
| Measured quantity | Tourgan 1 | Tourgan 2 | Toula |
| Ca ²⁺ | 678 | 478 | 528 |
| K^+ | 555 | 543 | 552 |
| Mg^{2+} | 1340 | 1268 | 1311 |
| Na ⁺ | 8819 | 7906 | 7859 |
| Ni ²⁺ | 0.320 | 0.324 | 0.312 |
| Li ^{+*} | 0.310 | 0.310 | 0.320 |
| Fe ^{2+*} | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.010 |
| Zn^{2+*} | 0.130 | 0.128 | 0.075 |
| Mn^{2+*} | 0.037 | 0.034 | 0.040 |
| Si ^{4+*} | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cl⁻ | 21100 | 20855 | 20910 |
| Br | 73 | 72 | 78 |
| S ²⁻ | 765 | 822 | 911 |
| SO_4^{2-} | 2295 | 2466 | 2733 |
| CO ₃ ²⁻ | 156 | 154 | 160 |
| pH | 8.05 | 8.05 | 8.09 |
| TDS (105 °C) | 43900 | 44082 | 45780 |
| TDS (180 °C) | 42507 | 42692 | 42855 |
| TDS (550 °C) | 35312 | 35497 | 34830 |
| Density (g/mL) | 1.027 | 1.027 | 1.027 |

TABLE-1 PERSIAN GULF WATER MEASURED QUANTITIES AND THEIR VALUES. THE SAMPLES ARE TAKEN FROM THREE DIFFERENT PLACES AROUND QESHAM ISLAND (TOURGAN 1, TOURGAN 2 AND TOULA) AT SPRING

All of concentrations are in ppm (mg/L) except those are marked by * which are in μ g/L.

TABLE-2

PERSIAN GULF WATER SPECIES AT THREE DIFFERENT PLACES AROUND QESHM ISLAND (TOURGAN 1, SALAKH AND TABL) AT SUMMER

| Measured quantity | Tourgan 1 | Salakh | Tabl |
|-------------------|-----------|--------|-------|
| Ca ²⁺ | 316 | 342 | 342 |
| K^+ | 311 | 397 | 173 |
| Mg ²⁺ | 1341 | 1305 | 1015 |
| Na ⁺ | 8940 | 9002 | 7646 |
| Sr ²⁺ | 6 | 6 | 5 |
| Li+* | 231 | 252 | 250 |
| Fe ^{2+*} | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Zn^{2+*} | 120 | 128 | 130 |
| Mn ^{2+*} | 23 | 28 | 32 |
| Si ^{4+*} | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cl⁻ | 21300 | 22720 | 21300 |
| S ²⁻ | 575 | 1020 | 895 |
| SO_4^{2-} | 1725 | 3060 | 2685 |
| F | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| pН | 8.34 | 8.01 | 8.10 |
| TDS (105 °C) | 55204 | 57544 | 50948 |
| TDS (180 °C) | 51300 | 53500 | 47400 |
| TDS (550 °C) | 45300 | 47200 | 41800 |
| Density (g/mL) | 1.028 | 1.028 | 1.026 |

All of concentrations are in ppm (mg/L) except those are marked by * which are in μ g/L.

TABLE-3

PERSIAN GULF WATER SPECIES AT THREE DIFFERENT PLACES AROUND QESHAM ISLAND (TOURGAN 1, SUZA AND TABL) AT AUTUMN

| Measured quantity | Tourgan 1 | Suza | Tabl |
|-------------------|-----------|-------|-------|
| Ca ²⁺ | 480 | 470 | 480 |
| K ⁺ | 520 | 510 | 510 |
| Mg^{2+} | 1430 | 1430 | 1450 |
| Na ⁺ | 8000 | 8300 | 8170 |
| Sr ²⁺ | 9 | 6 | 6 |
| Li ^{+*} | 350 | 340 | 350 |
| Fe ^{2+*} | <15 | < 15 | < 15 |
| Zn^{2+*} | 180 | 150 | 230 |
| Mn ^{2+*} | 35 | 35 | 35 |
| Si ^{4+*} | 29 | 54 | 150 |
| Cu ²⁺ | 0.50 | - | - |
| Zr^{4+} | < 2 | _ | - |
| Mo ⁶⁺ | < 1 | _ | - |
| V^{4+} | < 1 | - | - |
| Pb ²⁺ | < 1 | - | - |
| Sn ²⁺ | < 1 | _ | - |
| Al ³⁺ | 67 | _ | - |
| Cl⁻ | 21298 | 23430 | 24850 |
| Br⁻ | 99 | _ | - |
| S ²⁻ | 730 | 824 | 773 |

5286 Payehghadr et al.

Asian J. Chem.

| SO ₄ ²⁻ | 2190 | 2472 | 2319 | |
|-------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|--|
| F⁻ | < 2 | _ | - | |
| рН | 8.03 | 8.15 | 8.16 | |
| TDS (105 °C) | 45416 | 51416 | 45528 | |
| TDS (180 °C) | 42500 | 42200 | 43100 | |
| TDS (550 °C) | 37400 | 37000 | 36300 | |
| Density (g/mL) | 1.027 | 1.027 | 1.027 | |

All of concentrations are in ppm (mg/L) except those are marked by * which are in µg/L.

| PERSIAN GULF WATER SPECIES AT TWO DIFFERENT PLACES AROUND |
|---|
| QESHAM ISLAND (TOURGAN 1 AND TOULA) AT WINTER |

| Measured quantity | Tourgan 1 | Toula |
|-------------------------------|-----------|-------|
| Ca ²⁺ | 479 | 533 |
| K ⁺ | 453 | 500 |
| Mg^{2+} | 999 | 1570 |
| Na ⁺ | 9200 | 10730 |
| Ni ²⁺ | 0.332 | 0.328 |
| Li ^{+*} | 312 | 325 |
| Fe^{2+*} | 9 | 11 |
| Zn^{2+*} | 0.132 | 0.080 |
| Mn^{2+*} | 32 | 38 |
| Si ^{4+*} | 0 | 0 |
| Cl | 22420 | 21300 |
| Br⁻ | 62 | 69 |
| S ²⁻ | 880 | 977 |
| SO_4^{2-} | 2640 | 2931 |
| NO ₃ ²⁻ | 2.4 | 2 |
| CO_{3}^{2-} | 150 | 156 |
| pH | 8.04 | 8.11 |
| TDS (105 °C) | 43720 | 45805 |
| TDS (180 °C) | 42325 | 42880 |
| TDS (550 °C) | 35130 | 34855 |
| Density (g/mL) | 1.028 | 1.028 |

All of concentrations are in ppm (mg/L) except those are marked by * which are in μ g/L.

of the samples. Third column shows the measured values for the sample which was taken from the beach of Toula. All of these quantities are related to the samples which were taken at spring.

Effect of the season changes on concentration of major cations and anions of the studied samples are shown in Figs. 1 and 2. Major cation concentrations of the studied samples at summer are compared in Fig. 3 for three different places. These figures show that the changes of seasons and sampling positions have not any important effects on the water chemical composition.

The effect of sampling positions on major anion concentrations are shown in Fig. 4. According to this figure, the effects of sampling positions on anion concentrations are negligible. Effects of season changes and sampling positions on total dissolved solids (TDS) are shown in Figs. 5 and 6, respectively. These figures show



Fig. 1. Effect of season changes on major cation concentrations of Persian Gulf water around Qeshm Island (Tourgan 1)



Fig. 3. Major cation concentrations in three different area around Qheshm Island (Tourgan 1, Salakh and Tabl) at summer





Fig. 2. Effect of season changes on major anion concentrations of Persian Gulf water around Qeshm Island (Tourgan 1)



Fig. 4. Major anion concentrations in three different area around Qheshm Island (Tourgan 1, Suza and Tabl) at summer





island (Tourgan 1)

■Tourgan 1 ■Tourgan 2 ■Toula Fig. 6.

TDS10



5288 Payehghadr et al.

Asian J. Chem.

that the effects of the mentioned parameters on TDS measured values are negligible. There are a little difference between TDS values of samples which were providing at different seasons and positions which can be related to uncontrollable site and laboratory errors.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank Iranian Research Organization for Science and Technology (IROST) for financial support of this work.

REFERENCES

- 1. A.M. El-Hamouz and R. Mann, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, **46**, 3008 (2007).
- 2. J.W. Oldfield and B. Todd, Desalination, 38, 233 (1981).
- 3. W.S.W. Lee, J.W. Oldfield and B. Todd, *Desalination*, 44, 209 (1983).
- 4. A. Bousher, P. Brimblecombe and D. Midgley, Water. Res., 20, 865 (1986).
- 5. F.H. Butt, A.G. Maadhah, F. Rahman and H.M. Al-Zahrani, Desalination, 45, 345 (1983).
- Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater, American Public Health Association (1995).
- 7. Z. Marczenko, Separation and Spectrophotometric Determination of Elements, John Wiley and Sons (1986).
- 8. M.M. Rutgers, Van Der Loeff and W.S. Moore, Methods of Seawater Analysis, Chap. 13 (1999).
- 9. C.R. Cho and R.P. Ashdown, Seawater Analysis using the Varian 820-MS, ICP-MS Application note No. 32, Varian Corporation.

(*Received*: 17 August 2009; *Accepted*: 20 March 2010) AJC-8551