Asian Journal of Chemistry

Isolation and Characterization of Phytoconstituents from *Casuarina equisetifolia* (Casuarinaceae)

A.N. AHER*, S.C. PAL, S.K. YADAV†, U.K. PATIL† and S. BHATTACHARYA‡

Department of Pharmacognosy, Natural Product Laboratory,

N.D.M.V.P.S. Samaj's College of Pharmacy, Shivajinagar Gangapur Road, Nashik-422 002, India Fax: (91)(253)2580250; Tel: (91)(235)2375663; E-mail: anilkumar_nasik@yahoo.co.in

The methanolic extracts of bark, wood, leaf and fruits of *Casuarina equisetifolia* were studied for isolation of tannins and flavonoids by thin layer chromatography (TLC), column chromatography and preparative-thin layer chromatography (P-TLC) and characterized by spectroscopic techniques (UV-visible spectroscopy, infrared spectroscopy, ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectroscopy and mass spectrometry). The tannins (catechin, ellagic acid and gallic acid) were isolated from bark and flavonoid (quercetin) and lupeol from leaf and fruit, respectively and identified with help of preliminary phytochemical methods, physical properties, spectroscopic data and Co-TLC with authentic standards.

Key Words: *Casuarina equisetifolia*, Gallic acid, Ellagic acid, Column chromatography.

INTRODUCTION

Casuarina equisetifolia (Casuarinaceae) is beautiful tree with droping branches, 10-50 m high¹. It is found in dry hill sides of open forests in India, Sri Lanka and Australia². The following phyto-constituents have been isolated from the plant so far; kaempferol, quercetin³, alicyclic acids (shikimic acid and quinic acid), amino acids⁴, taraxarol, lupenone, lupeol, gallic acid, β -sitosterol⁵, catechin and gallocatechin^{6,7}. The plant is used as astringent¹, in diarrhoea⁸, cough, ulcers, toothache, lotion for swelling⁹ and diabetes¹⁰. The biological activities, *viz.* anticancer, antibacterial⁹, hypoglycemic, antifungal² of the leaf has been reported. Antibacterial activity (*S. aureus*) was showed by isolated compounds 24-ethyl cholest-5,22-dien-3 β -ol, 24-ethyl cholest-5-en-3 β -ol, and cholesterol from leaves¹¹.

Literature reviewed suggested that the isolation and characterization of phytoconstituents from the plant has not been studied and henceforth in the present study the investigations were carried out.

[†]Department of Pharmacognosy, V.N.S. Institute of Pharmacy, Neelbud, Bhopal-462 044, India.

[‡]Dr. Bhanuben Nanavati College of Pharmacy, Mithibai College Campus, V.M. Road, Vile Parle (West), Mumbai-400 056, India.

Asian J. Chem.

3430 Aher et al.

EXPERIMENTAL

Preparation of plant extracts: Coarsely powdered materials of leaf, bark, wood and fruit (100 g each) were subjected to reflux with 250 mL of methanol for 4 h coded as MEL, MEB, MEW and MEF followed by subsequent filtration and evaporation to yield extract.

Phytochemical screening: Preliminary phytochemical screening of wood, bark, fruits and leaves were tested for the presence of phytoconstituents¹²⁻¹⁶.

Separation and isolation of phytoconstituents by chromatographic methods: Gradient fractionation of acetone soluble part (from the methanolic bark extract) was performed by eluting the column with toluene:ethyl acetate:methanol (4:3:3) followed by methanol over silica gel (60-80#), resulting in the isolation of compounds designated as ANA 01 (catechin) and ANA 02 (ellagic acid); ANA 04 (gallic acid) from fractions no. 9-22 and 32-36, respectively. The separations of isolates were confirmed by spraying with ferric chloride (Table-1).

		TABLE-1
	TLC PATTERN OF CO	DLUMN FRACTIONS FROM ACETONE
	SOLUBLE PART OF	F METHANOLIC EXTRACT OF BARK
No	Test	Ferric chloride spray

S. No.	Test -	Ferric chloride spray		
5. 110.	Test	Colour	Shape	R _f
1	Fr. No. 9-22	Green	Oval	0.74
		Black	Oval	0.64
2	Gallic acid	Black	Circular	0.64
3	Catechin	Green	Circular	0.74
4	Fr. No. 32-36	Blue	Oval	0.57
5	Ellagic acid	Blue	Oval	0.57

ANA 05 (lupeol) was identified from petroleum-ether extract of fruits by benzene: ethyl acetate (9:1) followed by spraying with vanillin-sulphuric acid reagent (Table-2). Methanolic extract of leaf was chromatographed by toluene:ethyl acetate:formic acid (5:4:1), followed by spraying with ferric chloride (Table-3). The presence of ANA 03 (quercetin) was evidenced in UV light. The extracts were processed by preparative TLC (dimension: 20 cm × 20 cm, Merck, Germany) to isolate the compounds.

TLC	PATTERN OF PE	TABLE-2 TROLEUM ETHE	R EXTRACT OF FRU	ЛТ
S No	Test	Van	illin sulphuric acid sp	ray
S. No.	Test	Colour	Shape	R _f
			Kidney	0.29
			Elongated	0.47
1	Fruit	Pink	Moon	0.77
1	Tiult	F IIIK	Circular	0.64
			Circular	0.92
			Elongated	0.98
2	Lupeol	Pink	Circular	0.64

TADLES

Vol. 22, No. 5 (2010) Isolation & Characterization of Phytoconstituents from C. equisetifolia 3431

S. No.	Test	Ferric chloride spray		
5 . INO.		Colour	Shape	R _f
		Black	Elongated	0.97
		F. Black	Elongated	0.91
		F. Black	Elongated	0.81
1	MEL	F. Black	Elongated	0.67
		F. Black	Elongated	0.24
		F. Black	Elongated	0.16
		F. Black	Elongated	0.08
2	Ouercetin	Black	Elongated	0.67

TABLE-3 TLC PATTERN OF METHANOLIC EXTRACT OF LEAF

Characterization of isolated compounds: The isolated compounds were characterized with help of physical characters and spectroscopic analysis (UV spectroscopy, IR spectroscopy, Mass spectrometry and NMR spectroscopy).

Characterization of compound ANA01: Crystalline pale brown powder, soluble in acetone and alcohol, m.p. 175-177 °C, R_f value 0.74 [toluene (4):ethyl acetate (3):methanol (3)]. UV (MeOH) max: 219, 279 nm; FT-IR: (KBr, v_{max} , cm⁻¹): 3820, 3391 (O-H), 2933, 2892, 1627 (C=O), 1522, 1471, 1289 (C-O-C), 1245, 1198 (C-O) and 868; LC-ESI-MS: m/e: 290 (5 %, M⁺, C₁₅H₁₆O⁺₆), 289 (12 %, M⁺-1), 245 (32 %, M⁺-CH₃O₂), 205 (100 %, M⁺-C₂H₆O₄), 203 (40 %) and 179 (5 %); ¹³C NMR (DMSO, 300 MHz): δ 156.59 (C-7), 156.33 (C-5), 155.51 (C-3'), 144.99 (C-4'), 130.75 (C-8), 118.64 (C-6), 115.26 (C-6'), 114.64 (C-9), 99.23 (C-10), 95.27 (C-5'), 94.02 (C-2'), 81.12 (C-3), 66.45 (C-2) and 27.98 (C-4); ¹H NMR (DMSO, 300 MHz): δ 9.01(s), 6.72 (1H, H-6, 8, s), 6.68 (1H, H-2', 5', s), 6.61 (1H, H-6', s), 5.88 (s), 5.70 (1H, H-5, 7, s), 4.92 (1H, H-3', 4', s), 4.48 (1H, H-3, s), 3.56 (1H, H-3, s), 2.39 (s), 2.37 (s) and 2.64 (2H, H-4, d).

Characterization of compound ANA02: Crystalline pale yellow powder, slightly soluble in methanol, water and soluble in pyridine; m.p. 339-341 °C, R_f value: 0.63 [ethyl formate (5):dichloromethane (5):formic acid (2):acetic acid (2)]. UV (MeOH) max: 365, 254 nm; FT-IR: (KBr, ν_{max}, cm⁻¹): 3072, 3596 (O-H), 1699 (C=O), 1622 (C=C), 1581, 1509, 1447, 1398 (C-O), 1195 and 882; LC-ESI-MS: m/e: 301.8 (10 %, M⁺-C₁₄H₆O⁺₈), 300.7 (10 %), 283.8 (40 %), 271.9 (4 %), 256.8 (50 %, M⁺-H₂O⁺O₂), 228.9 (100 %, M⁺-CH₃O₄), 212.9 (8 %), 200.9 (30 %) and 184.9 (60 %); ¹³C NMR (C₆D₅N, 300 MHz): δ 164.23 (C-7,7'), 142.09 (C-2,3,2',3'), 124.48 (s), 123.11 (C-4, 5, 6, 4', 5', 6') and 111.92 (C-1,1'); ¹H NMR (C₆D₅N, 300 MHz): δ 8.14 (1H, H-1', s) and 5.28 (1H, H-2, 3, 2', 3', s).

Characterization of compound ANA03: Crystalline yellow powder, soluble in acetone and alcohol; m.p. 215-217 °C, R_f value: 0.67, [toluene (5):ethyl acetate (4):formic acid (1)]. UV (MeOH) max: 255, 372 nm; FT-IR: (KBr, v_{max} , cm⁻¹): 3405 (O-H), 3100, 1667 (C=O), 1627 (C=C), 1522, 1471, 1319 (C-O), 1262 (C-O-C), 1198 and 868; LC-ESI-MS: m/e: 301.7 (0.5 %, M⁺, $C_{15}H_{10}O_{6}^{+}$), 272.9 (6 %), 256.7

3432 Aher et al.

Asian J. Chem.

(15 %), 229.2 (8 %), 192.8 (10 %), 178.8 (100 %, M⁺-C₆H₆O₂) and 150.9 (65 %, M⁺-C₇H₆O₃); ¹³C NMR (d_6 -acetone, 300 MHz): δ 177.70 (C-4), 165.95 (C-7), 162.87 (C-5), 158.58 (C-3), 149.13 (C-3'), 148.35 (C-4'), 146.59 (C-2), 137.61 (C-1'), 124.51 (C-9), 122.04 (C-10), 116.98 (C-8), 116.36 (C-6), 104.88 (C-6'), 99.60 (C-2') and 94.78 (C-5'); ¹H NMR (d_6 -acetone, 300 MHz): δ 7.74 (1H, H-6, s), 7.73 (1H, H-8, s), 7.65 (1H, H-2', s), 7.62 (1H, H-5', s), 6.89 (1H, H-6', s), 6.86 (1H, H-3), 6.38 (1H, H-7, s), 6.18 (H-5, s) and 4.88 (1H, H-3', 4', s).

Characterization of compound ANA04: Crystalline colourless powder, soluble in methanol and distilled water; m.p. 235-237 °C, R_f value: 0.64 [toluene (4):ethyl acetate (3):methanol (3)]. UV (MeOH) λ_{max} : 272.8 nm; FT-IR: (KBr, v_{max} , cm⁻¹): 3285 (O-H), 3072, 1703 (C=O), 1615 (C=C), 1501, 1446, 1339, 1245 and 867; LC-ESI-MS: m/e: 169.9 (M⁺, C₆H₆O⁺₅), 168.9 (100 %, M⁺-1) and 125 (40 %, M⁺-COOH); ¹³C NMR (*d*₆-acetone, 300 MHz): δ 170.936 (C-7), 146.755 (C-3,C-5), 140.023 (C-4), 122.792 (C-1) and 110.79 (C-2,C-6); ¹H NMR (*d*₆-acetone, 300 MHz): δ 9.136 (1H, H-7, s), 7.08 (1H, H-2, H-6, s) and 5.011 (1H, H-3, H-4, H-5, s).

Characterization of compound ANA05: Crystalline white powder, soluble in petroleum ether, acetone and alcohol; m.p. 215-217 °C, Rf value: 0.64 [benzene (9):ethyl acetate (1)]. UV (MeOH) max: 211.4 nm; FT-IR: (KBr, v_{max}, cm⁻¹): 3325, 3083, 2937, 1642 (C=C), 1451, 1378 (O-H, bend) and 1106; LC-ESI-MS: m/e: $426.7 (0.5 \%, M^+, C_{30}H_{50}O^+), 410.4 (12 \%, M^+-H_2O), 366.0 (5 \%), 353.2 (6 \%),$ 339.4 (20 %), 325.5 (2 %), 311.4 (4 %), 299.4 (27 %), 285.3 (52 %), 271.3 (80 %, $M^{+}-C_{9}H_{19}$), 257.3 (100 %, $M^{+}-C_{10}H_{21}$), 243.2 (80 %, $M^{+}-C_{11}H_{23}$), 229.4 (75 %), 215.3 (60 %), 201.3 (40 %), 189.3 (25 %), 175.4 (52 %), 159.3 (25 %) and 137.2 (7 %); ¹³C NMR (*d*₆-acetone, 300 MHz): δ 151.48 (C-20), 124.55, 109.97 (C-25), 78.49 (C-3), 56.233 (C-5), 51.271 (C-9), 48.989 (C-18), 48.778 (C-19), 43.68 (C-17), 43.518 (C-14), 41.592 (C-8), 40.572 (C-22), 39.504 (C-4), 38.938 (C-1), 37.886 (C-13), 36.219 (C-10), 35.069 (C-16), 28.531 (C-21), 28.272 (C-23), 28.175 (C-15), 25.957 (C-12), 21.604 (C-11), 19.451 (C-30), 19.046 (C-6), 18.270 (C-2), 16.586 (C-24), 16.392 (C-28), 16.068 (C-25), 14.903 (C-27); ¹H NMR (*d*₆-acetone, 300 MHz): δ 4.70 (1H, H-29, d), 4.56 (1H, H-27, dd), 3.32 (1H, H-3, s), 3.13 (1H, -3, s), 2.83 (2H, H-1, 2, 6, 7, 11, 12, 15, 16, m), 2.44 (H-20, H-21, sex), 1.69 (3H, H-22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 28), 1.07 (s), 0.99 (s), 0.86 (multiplet) and 0.76 (s).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Phytochemical screening: A qualitative phytochemical analysis was performed for the presence of carbohydrate, protein, steroid and tannin in all plant parts. In addition, the phytoconstituents reported were alkaloid (bark), saponin (bark, fruit) and flavonoid (fruit, leaf).

Separation and isolation of phytoconstituents: Acetone soluble fraction (from methanolic extract) of bark resulted in column chromatographic separation of **ANA01** (catechin), **ANA02** (ellagic acid) and **ANA04** (gallic acid) (Table-1). Methanolic extract of leaf and petroleum extract of fruit were chromatographed

Vol. 22, No. 5 (2010) Isolation & Characterization of Phytoconstituents from C. equisetifolia 3433

(Tables 2 and 3) for identification of **ANA03** (quercetin) and **ANA05** (lupeol) and isolated by preparative TLC.

Structural elucidation of isolated compounds

ANA01 (Catechin): λ_{max} from UV spectrum indicated the presence of conjugation and two chromophores which is a specific character of flavonoids. FT-IR spectra resulted in the presence of functional groups hydroxyl (-OH) stretch, C-H stretch of alkenes, C=O stretch for lactone and aromatic benzonoid ring. ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR showed the aromatic proton and hydroxyl proton and presence of 15 carbons in structure. The molecular weight of compound (m/e 289) was confirmed by mass spectrum. The data correlates the structure of the isolated compound to phenyl propanoid.

ANA02 (ellagic acid): λ_{max} from UV spectrum indicated the presence of conjugation and two chromophores which is a specific character of flavonoids. FT-IR spectra resulted in presence functional groups hydroxyl (-OH) stretch, C-H stretch of alkenes, C=O stretch for lactone and aromatic benzonoid ring. ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR showed the aromatic proton and hydroxyl proton and presence of 14 carbons in structure. The molecular weight of compound (m/e 302) was confirmed by mass spectrum. The data correlates the structure of the isolated compound to phenolic acid ester.

ANA03 (quercetin): λ_{max} from UV spectrum indicated the presence of conjugation and two chromophores which is a specific character of flavonoids. FT-IR spectra resulted in presence of functional groups hydroxyl (-OH) stretch, C-H stretch of alkenes, C=O stretch for lactone and aromatic benzonoid ring. ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR showed the aromatic proton and hydroxyl proton and presence of 15 carbons in structure. The molecular weight of compound (m/e 302) was confirmed by mass spectrum. The data correlates the structure of the isolated compound to phenyl propanoid flavanol.

ANA04 (gallic acid): λ_{max} from UV spectrum indicated the presence of conjugation and chromophore. FT-IR spectra resulted in presence of functional groups hydroxyl (-OH) stretch, C-H stretch of alkenes, C=O stretch for acid and aromatic benzonoid ring. ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR showed the aromatic proton, acidic proton and hydroxyl proton and presence of 7 carbons in structure. The molecular weight of compound (m/e 170) was confirmed by mass spectrum. The data correlates the structure of the isolated compound to phenolic acid.

ANA05 (lupeol): λ_{max} from UV spectrum indicated the absence of conjugation and chromophore. FT-IR spectra resulted in presence of functional groups hydroxyl (-OH) stretch, C-H stretch of alkenes and C=C stretch for cycloalkene. ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR showed the aliphatic protons and hydroxyl proton and presence of 30 carbons in structure. The molecular weight of compound (m/e 426) was confirmed by mass spectrum. The data correlates the structure of the isolated compound to cyclic terpenoid.

3434 Aher et al.

Asian J. Chem.

The work on screening of the potential of isolated compounds for assessment of antioxidant, antimicrobial and anticancer activities is in progress.

REFERENCES

- 1. K.S. Mhaskar, E. Blatter and J.F. Caius, Kirtikar and Basu's Illustrated Indian Medicinal Plants, Sri Satguru Publications, Delhi, Vol. 10, pp. 3248-3250 (2000).
- 2. S.T. Han, Medicinal Plants in South Pacific, WHO Regional Publications, Geneva, p. 41 (1998).
- 3. E.L. Ansary, A. Mohammad, S.I. Moheb, A.A. Ahmed and S.A.M. Nabiel, *Biosci.*, **32C**, 444 (1977).
- W. Madhusudanamma, K.N.S. Sastry, V.S.S. Rao, K.K. Reddy and M. Santappa, *Leather Sci.*, 25, 369 (1978).
- R.P. Rastogi and B.N. Mehrotra, Compendium of Indian Medicinal Plants, CDRI, Lucknow and NISC, New Delhi, Vol. 5, p. 183 (1998).
- 6. D.G. Roux, *Nature*, **179**, 158 (1957).
- 7. W. Madhulata, V.S.S. Rao, K.K. Reddy and K.N.S. Sastry, Leather Sci., 32, 38 (1985).
- R.N. Chopra, S.L. Nayar and I.C. Chopra, Glossary of Indian Medicinal Plants, NISC, New Delhi, India, p. 55 (1956).
- 9. Wealth of India, Raw Materials, Publications and Information Directorate, CSIR, New Delhi, India, Vol. 3, pp. 380-385 (1992).
- N.D. Prajapati, S.S. Purohit, A.K. Sharma and T. Kumar, Handbook of Medicinal Plants, Agrobios (India), Jodhpur, pp. 121-22 (2003).
- R.P. Rastogi and B.N. Mehrotra, Compendium of Indian Medicinal Plants, CDRI, Lucknow and NISC, New Delhi, India, Vol. 4, p. 165 (2002).
- S.H. Ansari, Essentials of Pharmacognosy Birla Publications Pvt. Ltd., Delhi, India, pp. 589-594 (2006).
- 13. E. Atkinson and E.O. Hazleton, *Biochem. J.*, **16**, 516 (1922).
- 14. H.O. Edeoga, D.E. Okwu and B.O. Mabaebie, Afr. J. Biotech., 4, 685 (2005).
- 15. F. Mojab, M. Kamalinejad, N. Ghaderi and H.R. Vahidipour, Iran. J. Pharm. Res., 2, 77 (2003).
- T.E. Wallis, Textbook of Pharmacognosy, CBS Publishers and Distributors, Delhi, India, pp. 48-49 (1985).

(Received: 4 May 2009; Accepted: 13 January 2010) AJC-8289